

From: [Lily Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:09:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Huang

lilyhuangsf@gmail.com

820 Meade Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Peter Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:10:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Lee

leeboys3@yahoo.com

2927 Larkin Street

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Guoliang Deng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:21:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Guoliang Deng

guoliang.deng@hotmail.com

1863 Alemany blvd

San Francisco ,Ca, California 94112

From: [Eva Ye](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:23:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eva Ye
eva.yep006@gmail.com
1107 Brittany Ln
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Ciuting Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:24:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ciuting Lee

serene3851@gmail.com

1863 alemany blvd

San francisco ca, California 94112

From: [ALICE CHEN](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:32:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ALICE CHEN

ablegirl520@yahoo.com

746 Broadway Street, Apt#A

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Yock Moy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:33:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yock Moy
ym2sf@yahoo.com
2150 Ortega Street
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Steven Lum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:38:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Steven Lum

sjlumsf@yahoo.com

458 17th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Naomi Chong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:39:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Naomi Chong
onejar3@gmail.com
288 gold mine dr
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Andy Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:41:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andy Zhao

zhaoandy38@yahoo.com

82 Curtis street

San Francisco , Colorado CA94112

From: [Reenu Saini](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:45:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Reenu Saini

reenus@gmail.com

195 Anaheim Terrace

Sunnyvale, California 94086

From: [Richard Lovely](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:47:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Richard Lovely
richardlvly@yahoo.com
2327 stokes st
San Jose , California 95128

From: [Hui Kuang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:47:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Kuang
gdk368@gmail.com
2150 Ortega street
San Francisco , California 94122

From: h2638@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:50:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

h2638@yahoo.com

1666 44th ave

San Francisco , Ca 94122

From: [Lawrence Su](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:52:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lawrence Su

88lawrence.su@gmail.com

529 Angus Ave W

San Bruno, California 94066

From: [olga lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:02:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

olga lee

olga_lee_sf@yahoo.vom

2042 21st Ave.

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94116

From: [Pak Kwan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:02:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pak Kwan

reygn@yahoo.com

1590 Quesada Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Gerardo Chirichigno](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:03:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gerardo Chirichigno
gerardo.chirichigno@gmail.com
251 9th Street, 11
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Joanne Xiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanne Xiang
joanne.xiang@gmail.com
2230 Rivera Street
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Cindy Tse](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:11:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Tse
cindyts60@yahoo.com
2830 san bruno ave
San francisco, California 94134

From: [Lisa Thompson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:12:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Thompson
40carats@gmail.com
213 Vicksburg Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Conny Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:12:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Conny Lin

connylin66@hotmail.com

93 Pope st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Chun Hsia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:19:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chun Hsia

chsia@mail.ccsf.edu

2547 33rd Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Tong Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:19:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tong Woo

twoo10@mail.ccsf.edu

2547 33rd Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Isaac Safier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:20:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Before you decide please watch this insightful explanation by Hasan Minhaj on patriot act:

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=MPFPBzr7FgY>

You risk setting off a domino effect that will result in less diversified and more corporate and Wall Street control of the housing stock.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Isaac

Isaac Safier

isaacsafier@gmail.com

820 Lawton St.

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Rensha Luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:25:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rensha Luo

rensha3344@yahoo.com

1767 cape hatteras was

San jose, California 95133 ca usa

From: lisayu0213@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:31:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lisayu0213@yahoo.com

314 oxford st

san francisco, California 94134

From: [su_mei_yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:34:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

su mei yu

sumeiyu69@gmail.com

323 lisbon st

SF, California 94112

From: [Miu Ling Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:34:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Miu Ling Ng
helgang2013@gmail.com
659 Turk St #203
San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Huo xian Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:35:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huo xian Li
angelashining@hotmail.com
Bay shore
Sf, California 94124

From: [Anqi Sun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:36:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anqi Sun

anqi77.loving0849@gmail.com

588 Mission Bay Blvd N, Apt 142

San Francisco, California 94158

From: [Xian zhan Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:39:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xian zhan Li
xianzhanli1958@gmail.com
323 Lisbon st
SF, California 94112

From: [Julia Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:39:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia Huang

huang74@yahoo.com

Potential ave and 22nd st

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Luang GUI Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:43:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Luang GUI Lin
need138138@yahoo.com
82 Exeter st
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94124

From: [Xiao Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:46:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiao Lin

xiao071763@hotmail.com

1562 Thomas Ave

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Yaner Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:48:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yaner Xie

xie_yaner@yahoo.com

Princeton place

Castro Valley , California 94552

From: [Wenjuan Qi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:48:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wenjuan Qi
jennyqi71@gmail.com
40072 Kelly Street
Fremont, CA 94538

From: [Emily Chou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:58:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Chou
doublebeez88@gmail.com
Harriet Ave
Campbell , California 95008

From: [Alan Tran](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:01:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Tran
atm888@yahoo.com
171 hale
Sf, California 94030

From: [Yiu Poon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:01:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yiu Poon

bp38a@yahoo.com

15 vistaview court

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Alan Tran](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:01:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Tran
atm888@yahoo.com
171 hale
Sf, California 94030

From: [Jenny Pang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:02:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Pang
pang_li2000@yahoo.com
1043 Jamestown Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Sanly Chung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:03:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sanly Chung
sanlyg@yahoo.com
722 jackson street
San Francisco , California 94133

From: [May Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:03:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Chan
pwml74@yahoo.com
2426 29th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Lucy Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:03:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lucy Lu
20062006@yahoo.com
227 Ashton ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Vicki Hi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:07:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicki Hi

hi_vicki83@yahoo.com

125 Bismark st

San Francisco , California 94014

From: [Candy Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:14:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Candy Chan
candy2233@yahoo.com
4796 Romeo place
Fremont, CA 94555

From: [Tina Chiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:15:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Chiang
jeminah@gmail.com
719 Sargent St
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Elena Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:16:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elena Xu
elenaxu@yahoo.com
215 Westgate Drive
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Hong Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:19:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Xu

hongxu2163@yahoo.com

539 36th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Tony Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:26:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lin

jj268@yahoo.com

Excelsior ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Fei Yan Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:31:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fei Yan Liu

feikong@sbcglobal.net

316 Peninsula Avenue

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94134

From: [Chris You](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:35:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chris You

cyouhuang@yahoo.com

489 Clifton st

San Jose, California 95128

From: [Wai seng Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:39:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wai seng Ng
waing158@gmail.com
158 hale street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Carrie Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:41:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Carrie Chan
carrieauc@gmail.com
2582 32nd ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [JULIE Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:42:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

JULIE Zhou

bobtang@gmail.com

2230 3rd ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Tom Walsh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:44:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

Thanks!

Tom Walsh
26th & Kirkham

Tom Walsh
tomwalsh1534@yahoo.com
1534 26th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Wen Ping Fei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:47:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wen Ping Fei
wmenpingfei@gmail.com
1555 31st Ave
San Francisco , Ca94122

From: [Wen Ping Fei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:48:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wen Ping Fei
wmenpingfei@gmail.com
1555 31st Ave
San Francisco , Ca94122

From: [Kwan Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:49:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kwan Li

kwanliinvestment@gmail.com

304 fair haven rd

Alameda, Ca94501

From: [Yi ying Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:53:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi ying Lin

jimzhao415@yahoo.com

1237 Silliman st

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Wendy Lynn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:53:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Lynn
viewlake118@gmail.com
1420 21st Ave
SF, California 94122

From: [David Ayerdi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:03:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Ayerdi
David.Ayerdi@sothebyshomes.com
197 Collingwood Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Joe Luk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:21:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joe Luk
chokluk66@gmail.com
630 47th Ave
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94121

From: [Danny Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:40:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danny Gee

dgee18@gmail.com

193 Teddy ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Ping Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:41:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ping Zhou

zhouping41266@yahoo.com

886 Stonehaven Drive

Walnut Creek, CA 94598

From: [Mei Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:45:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Gee

meigee1966@hotmail.com

193 Teddy Avenue

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Gary Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:48:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gary Gee

garygee1965@gmail.com

193 Teddy Avenue

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Ashley Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:49:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ashley Gee
ashley070102@gmail.com
193 Teddy Avenue
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [angela gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:49:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

angela gee
angelacgee@gmail.com
193 Teddy Avenue
san francisco, California 94134

From: [mei gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:50:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

mei gee

meigee1966@hotmail.com

193 teddy avenue

San Francisco, California 94134-2337

From: [Shao yam Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:53:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shao yam Liu
johnweizhou@gmail.com
161 mount Vernon ave
Ca, California 94112

From: [Joseph Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:55:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Wu
mingzwu28@yahoo.com
1527 Rivera street
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Amanda Gong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:58:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amanda Gong
gongamanda19@gmail.com
645 Brunswick street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Joe Gong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:59:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joe Gong

joegong168@yahoo.com

645 Brunswick street

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Elmer Wei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:00:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

As I was unable to voice my opinion at the 6/8/20 hearing, I would like to express it through this message. I am a tenant, yet even I oppose this ordinance.

I have lived for four years (and counting) under the same homeowners, and as I got to know them, I understand the situation they are going through and sympathize with them. They are a couple who is getting on age: one had recently retired and one due to her age and gender could not get a job again. Collecting rent is their only source of income to pay for daily necessities that all human beings, property owners included, need. In addition, this is their only source of income to pay for the house in which they reside and in which one of the rooms is rented out to me. Homeowners are not greedy, money-grubbing monsters that some tenants make out to be. These tenants do not realize they only have to pay a fraction of what homeowners must pay for home insurance, mortgage, land tax, and other bills and fees tied to the property each and every month. If the tenants do not pay, then how will property owners like this couple pay for the house? When that happens, both the property owners and the tenants won't be able to stay in the house any longer. So, in the end, tenants will still be evicted; it was just a matter of time. If you really care about the tenants in the long run, you would not pass this ordinance. Tenants may not see this, but you should be able to see farther into the future and consider the position of the other half of your constituents (the property owners) instead merely pleasing the tenants in the short term.

Pandemics are nothing new, so are layoffs, but most people think those things will not happen to them or affect them in any way, until they do. Tenants should know this. So why aren't they saving up money when they did have their jobs? Just as it is homeowners' responsibility to provide a livable space for tenants, it is the tenants' responsibility to pay for this service the homeowners provided. I am fortunate to still have a job, and despite my low salary, I have been able to save up quite a sizable amount of money in case I do lose my job, because that is what a responsible, sensible person does. If I could do it, other tenants in my salary range or working one or two more jobs than me should be able to save up for situations like this. The government is not putting responsibility on the tenants, but on the homeowners who didn't cause the pandemic or lay off the tenants in the first place. If anything, it is extraordinary times like these when the government should be stepping up to help all its voters, homeowners and tenants alike, and taking the responsibility, not the homeowners, because this pandemic

affects homeowners as well. The government by and for the people should be taking the responsibility to solve the financial problems of all its citizens, homeowners and tenants alike, not forcing the homeowners to take the responsibility in its place.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A tenant who sees homeowners as fellow human beings and is grateful for their service.

Elmer Wei

xiyouji0607@yahoo.com

762 Colby Street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Mee Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:01:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mee Tam

meewah12@gmail.com

1450 11th ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Randy Quan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:02:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Randy Quan
randyquan01@gmail.com
170 Bruno Ave
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Minting Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:07:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Minting Li

minting_l@hotmail.com

2634 San Jose Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Manson Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:11:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manson Leung

jakedee@gmail.com

61 Lausanne

Daly City, California 94104

From: [Jep Poon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:20:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jep Poon

jpoon650@gmail.com

Hardness and brussels

San francisco, P4134

From: [Patrick Figley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:29:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Patrick Figley

pfigley@gmail.com

Martis peak rd

Incline village, Nevada 89451

From: [Susan Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:36:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Wu

susanwu1998@yahoo.com

350 Ralston Street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [katie.szeto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:36:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

katie szeto
szetokatie@yahoo.com
1336 21st ave
sf, California 94122

From: [Aqiao Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:37:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Aqiao Chen
aqiaochen@yahoo.com
28873 Bailey Ranch Rd
Hayward , California 94542

From: [Athena Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:38:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

I think the ordinance #200375 is unreasonable and encourages tenants who don't want to pay their rent. Now many businesses are reopened. They should back to work, and should pay back their rent in a planned manner.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Athena Ma

Athena Ma
wwwasym@hotmail.com
248 Sadowa st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Aqiao Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:39:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Aqiao Chen
aqiaochen@yahoo.com
28873 Bailey Ranch Rd
Hayward , California 94542

From: [Garrick Ko](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:39:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Garrick Ko

ko.garrick88@gmail.com

2110 35th Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Mei Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:39:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Li

mhli2007@yahoo.com

Naglee

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ryan Yin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:42:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ryan Yin

ryin0@yahoo.com

28871 Bailey Ranch Rd

Hayward, California 94542

From: [Yin Keung Tong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:47:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yin Keung Tong
garytong3393@gmail.com
211 Broad St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Cindy Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:48:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Wong
cindy@preciseauto.net
1890 19th Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Yin Keung Tong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:48:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yin Keung Tong
garytong3393@gmail.com
211 Broad St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [katie.szeto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:51:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

katie szeto

szetokatie@yahoo.com

1336 21st ave

sf, California 94122

From: [Hiram Luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:53:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hiram Luo

hiramluo@yahoo.com

1587 28th ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Debbie Lowe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:58:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Debbie Lowe
4filbertstreet@gmail.com
1630 Filbert Street
San Francisco, California 94123

From: [John Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:58:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Wong
movspc@hotmail.com
Lincoln Ave
Alameda, California 94501

From: [Audrey Ha](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:15:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Audrey Ha
audreyha@yahoo.com
10 Angela Dr
Los Altos , California 94022

From: [Dongmei Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:18:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dongmei Li
sfdongmm@yahoo.com
2096 Quesada Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Tera Black](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:18:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tera Black

terablack120@yahoo.com

120 Holloway ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Fantasy Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:22:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fantasy Wang

fantasy118@gmail.com

118-A Holloway ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Pihong Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pihong Zhao
pihongz99@gmail.com
3060 Chateau Way
Livermore , California 94550

From: [C. Steven Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:53:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

C Steven Huang
write2steven@yahoo.com
1144 Alabama Street
San Francisco , California 94110

From: [jyc.chiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:21:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jyc chiang
the711realty@yahoo.com
p o box 210387
sf, California 94121

From: [Katy Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Katy Chan

hollywoodleathers@yahoo.com

19 Codman

San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Calvin Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:28:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Calvin Louie

cylouiecpa@aol.com

950 Grant Avenue , 2nd Floor

San Francisco, California 94108

From: [Lynn Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lynn Chu

lynnchu108@gmail.com

579 18th Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Teresa Kwan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 12:25:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Teresa Kwan

tbkwan@att.net

1542-42nd Ave

SF, California 94122

From: [Stanley Chiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 1:19:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stanley Chiang

fschiang+sfsupe@gmail.com

719 sargent st

san Francisco, California 94132

From: [Sheryl CHEN](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:04:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sheryl CHEN

tzsherylchen@gmail.com

5364 Evanwood Ave

Oak Park , California 91377

From: [Winnie Davis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 5:32:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Davis

winniecd@aol.com

11280 Corbin Ave Suite A

Porter Ranch , California Ca

From: [ngai chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 6:24:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ngai chiu

Ngaichiu33@gmail.com

22nd south van ness

San francisco, California 94110

From: [Elaine He](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 6:31:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine He
readhe@yahoo.com
2253 33rd ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Yvette Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 8:03:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yvette Liu

yvette@youngsc.com

1760 Yosemite Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Yvette Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 8:04:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yvette Liu

yvette@youngsc.com

1760 Yosemite Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Hua Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 8:39:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hua Cheng

abcbi@yahoo.com

141 Palmwood st

San Jose , California 95122

From: [Renata Browne](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Covid 19 Debt, Eviction Protection
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:01:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please vote to protect people suffering economically because of the coronavirus pandemic. Step up to protect the people depending on you to stop them from being evicted or drowning in debt. Please treat your fellow citizens as you would treat your friends and lend a helping hand. This is a really important step that would prove that you care.

Thanks,

Renata Browne, Mission District resident

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:25:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen
stopunfairhousinglegislation@gmail.com
109 El Camino Real
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Jamie Hua](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:29:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jamie Hua
jamieleehua@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jamie Hua](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:30:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jamie Hua
jamieleehua@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:34:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen
stopunfairhousinglegislation@gmail.com
109 El Camino Real
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Ritu Vohra](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:44:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc.

In contrast some of these expenses have sky-rocketed due to higher water/ electricity usage since people are working or at home most of the time, now. The wear and tear due to regular usage has increased manifold especially for older buildings which has already increased the costs for homeowners to maintain their properties. So without any respite from the government or the impact of any ordinance, the landlords have already seen their expenses increase by 20-30%.

This ordinance potentially wipes out all rent paid in COVID-19 times by tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions,

nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket. In events that tenants decide to walk-out on properties without paying rent after the moratorium is over, it leaves landlords absolutely no ability to recover the rent, without hiring an attorney or taking help from the courts. Costs associated with such recovery efforts will immediately wash out any recovery amounts. In many cases, many landlords even don't know the names of their tenants, so efforts to recover are slim to none. This legislation is a significant event that will decimate their financial well-being.

The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ritu Vohra
ritu_vohra@hotmail.com
1157 Church street
San francisco, California 94114

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:02:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen
stopunfairhousinglegislation@gmail.com
109 El Camino Real
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Meina Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:05:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meina Young

SF voter and

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meina Young

meinayoung1@gmail.com

Anza

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Al Ch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:11:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Al Ch

rentstoday@gmail.com

3001 Baker

San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Janice Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:20:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Lee
janiceflee@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Janice Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:20:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Janice

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Lee
janiceflee@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:30:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen
stopunfairhousinglegislation@gmail.com
109 El Camino Real
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Pete Liwinsky](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:43:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pete Liwinsky
pinktest@hotmail.com
25th ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Amy Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:43:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chu
amycalifornia2016@yahoo.com
2901 Ocean Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Albert Xue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:55:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Albert Xue
ayxue@yahoo.com
4220 Suzanne Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94306

From: [Annie Fu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:58:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Fu
anniecustomdesigns@yahoo.com
41 Exetet st
Sf , California 94124

From: [Olga Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:58:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Olga Lee
Olga_lee_sf@yahoo.com
28 Gloria Ct.
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94113

From: [Johnny Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:02:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Johnny Zhou
johnzhousf@yahoo.com
1250 Sunnydale Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Myron Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Myron Lee
Sfmelee@hotmail.com
718 34th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Sarah Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:13:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sarah Zhu

zhushuangxia@hotmail.com

5572 Dartmouth Dr

San José , California 95128

From: [Kwan Tam Sun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:16:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kwan Tam Sun
tampeggy888@gmail.com
265 san leandro way
san Francisco , California 94127

From: [Sammi Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:18:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sammi Huang
sam.xm.huang@gmail.com
434 Moscow St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Stacy Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:22:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stacy Zhang
mzs282@yahoo.com
305 Valdez Ave
San Francisco, California 94127-2123

From: [Man Yip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:29:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Man Yip

manyuyip999@gmail.com

454 Lisbon street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Andy Cen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:40:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andy Cen

andycen888@yahoo.com

Lee Avenue

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Pete Shen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:40:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pete Shen

shenmanagement@gmail.com

19 La Mancha Cir

Salinas , California 93905

From: [Judy Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:50:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Young

lid999@yahoo.com

22nd avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Yang Yuan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:52:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yang Yuan

yanyuan.cn@gmail.com

2165 48th ave

Oakland, California 94601

From: [Dave Carl](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:03:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dave Carl
nnat006@yahoo.com
22nd Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [David Zhen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:15:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Zhen
davidwhzhen@gmail.com
22nd Avenue
San Francisco , Ca94116

From: 3machunchi@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:17:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

3machunchi@gmail.com
PO Box 15133
Fremont, California 94539

From: [Joyce Jiao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:21:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Jiao
joycej1999@gmail.com
7578 Rainbow Dr
Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Kenny Tang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:23:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenny Tang

kentang88@gmail.com

567-12ave

San Francisco Ca, California 94118

From: [Vicky Mason](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:23:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Mason

vickyli@hotmail.com

337 head street

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Min To](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:30:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min To

minhong888@gmail.com

1388 Broadway #401

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [George Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:31:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

George Wu
okwujingyu@gmail.com
1821 Sacramento st
Berkeley, California 94702

From: [Elaine Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:35:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Chen
elainechen8@gmail.com
Colby&Woolsey Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Amy Pan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:41:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Pan
amygpan@gmail.com
228 University street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Wendy Luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:42:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Luo

wluo02@yahoo.com

575 majestic palm ave

Fremont , California 94539

From: [Lichang Kuang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:53:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lichang Kuang
likuang1218@yahoo.com
1519 41rd Ave
SF, California 94122

From: [Sophia Shan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:09:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Shan
yueshan916@yahoo.com
1530 19th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Langtian Du](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:12:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Langtian Du
langtian_du@yahoo.com
20450 Williams Ave
Saratoga, California 95070

From: [Christina Aassi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:41:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Aassi
christinaguoln@yahoo.com
1977 jonquil cmn
Livermore, California 94551

From: [Kenneth Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:45:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenneth Ma

kcjnma238ken@gmail.com

2283 18th avenue

San Francisco, Ca 94116

From: [Nyh Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:48:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nyh Chan

nyh@properb.com

2466 2x Ave

SF, California 94116

From: [Purvi Sahu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:48:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Purvi Sahu

lovelyforva@yahoo.com

1914 golden gate avenue

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Ankit Sahu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:49:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ankit Sahu

ankit.sahu@gmail.com

24 Bosworth st

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Annie Zeng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:49:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Zeng
anniezeng@gmail.com
270 Broad Street
Sf, California 94112

From: [James Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:01:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Li

jjanminliusa@yahoo.com

15880 rose ave

Los Gatos, California 95030

From: [Lapway Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:07:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lapway Chang
LC828@HOTMAIL.COM
1350 Bayshore Highway
Burlingame, California 94016

From: [Lily Oh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:09:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Oh
chingyunch@gmail.com
654-10th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Eddy Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:25:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eddy Wang

eddy874@yahoo.com

36ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA94121

From: [Tif Ren](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:25:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tif Ren
hren001@hotmail.com
50 Frida Kahlo Way, C4
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [p.Guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:28:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

p Guan
pg1434n@gmail.com
18th Ave & Rivera St
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Joanne L](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:28:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanne L
moonriver133@gmail.com
38th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Amy H](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:29:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy H
amycalifornia2016@yahoo.com
2901 Ocean Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Amy H](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:29:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy H
amycalifornia2016@yahoo.com
2901 Ocean Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Annee Belanger](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:30:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Annee, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Annee Belanger
33 8th St. SF, CA 94103
annee.belanger@gmail.com

From: [wendy Maclay](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:30:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

wendy Maclay

wenxia.home@gmail.com

690 Brockhurst st

Oakland , California 94609

From: [jennifer.yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:32:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jennifer yan

jennifer.yan@gmail.com

1598 Bay St Unit 405

San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Cheng Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:39:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cheng Chan
prosperb.com@gmail.com
24xx 26th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Cheng Chan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:39:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cheng Chan
prosperb.com@gmail.com
24xx 26th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Chi Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:39:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chi Chan

jeff.c.chan@wellsfargo.com

2466 26th ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Chi Chan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:39:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chi Chan

jeff.c.chan@wellsfargo.com

2466 26th ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Garret Tom](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:42:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Garret Tom
gntom@bu.edu
684 Funston Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Jennifer Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:43:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jennifer Liu

jenliu_01@yahoo.com

1036 Innes Ave

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Jennifer Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:43:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jennifer Liu
jenliu_01@yahoo.com
1036 Innes Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: dan_pan@hotmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:45:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

dan_pan@hotmail.com
14530 Deer park ct
Los Gatos , California 95032

From: dan_pan@hotmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:45:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

dan_pan@hotmail.com

14530 Deer park ct

Los Gatos , California 95032

From: [Weijie Zhang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:47:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weijie Zhang
weijiezd@gmail.com
7940 Elmsdale dr
San jose, Ca95120

From: [Huei Juan Lan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:49:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huei Jluan Lan
vickylan28@yahoo.com
3153 Sierra Road
San Jose, California 95132

From: [Huei Juan Lan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:49:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huei Jluan Lan
vickylan28@yahoo.com
3153 Sierra Road
San Jose, California 95132

From: [Can Hui Zhen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:51:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Can Hui Zhen
canhuizhen@msn.com
1818 Vicente St
San Francisco, California 94116-2922

From: [Can Hui Zhen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:51:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Can Hui Zhen
canhuizhen@msn.com
1818 Vicente St
San Francisco, California 94116-2922

From: [Danping Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:53:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danping Liu

liu.danping@yahoo.com

7511 De Foe Dr

Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Danping Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:53:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danping Liu

liu.danping@yahoo.com

7511 De Foe Dr

Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Rita Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:54:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rita Koutsoftas

ritakoutsoftas60@gmail.com

Joost Avenue

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Jenny Guan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:04:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Guan
jennymeiguan@gmail.com
1539 21 Ave
San Francisco , Ca94122

From: [Jenny Guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:04:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Guan
jennymeiguan@gmail.com
1539 21 Ave
San Francisco , Ca94122

From: [Lily Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:09:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Li

Lilycuili@hotmail.com

5251 Missions

San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:17:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
Wawona st
Sf, California 94116

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:17:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
Wawona st
Sf, California 94116

From: [Ivan Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:19:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivan Lee
datoufut@hotmail.com
492 45th Ave
SF, California 94121

From: [Ken Yan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:26:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ken Yan

yankencooky@gmail.com

2035 24th Avenue

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94116

From: [Ken Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:26:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ken Yan

yankencooky@gmail.com

2035 24th Avenue

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94116

From: [George Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:30:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

George Zhou
georgezhou_sf@yahoo.com
228 Oneida Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Wendy Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:43:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Wong

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Wong

ccue.wendywong@gmail.com

2581 30th Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Wendy Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:43:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Wong

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Wong

ccue.wendywong@gmail.com

2581 30th Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [jing zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:48:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Zhang

ilikekk@gmail.com

250 King Street

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Renee Voss](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:51:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Renee Voss

Renee@vossmgmt.com

999 green street #1901

San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Michelle Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:00:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Lin
mcai921@yahoo.com
79 Lake Vista Ave
Daly City, California 94015

From: [Michelle Lin](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:00:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Lin
mcai921@yahoo.com
79 Lake Vista Ave
Daly City, California 94015

From: [Lei Peng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:13:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lei Peng

leilei_1997@yahoo.com

1173 Greenbrook Dr

Danville, California 94526

From: [Lei Peng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:13:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lei Peng

leilei_1997@yahoo.com

1173 Greenbrook Dr

Danville, California 94526

From: [Wesley Ma](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:15:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wesley Ma
leilei1997@gmail.com
4120 Avalon Ct
Fremont, California 94536

From: [Wesley Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:15:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wesley Ma
leilei1997@gmail.com
4120 Avalon Ct
Fremont, California 94536

From: [Jason Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:17:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking blue collar property owner who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Chan

jasoncccchan@gmail.com

705 Foerster St.

San Francisco , California 94127

From: [AmyAmya Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:23:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

AmyAmya Wong
amyw4889@msn.com
139 Farallones st.
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [AmyAmya Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:23:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

AmyAmya Wong
amyw4889@msn.com
139 Farallones st.
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hong Gao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:32:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Gao

hongpgao@yahoo.com

2907 Agua Vista Drive

San Jose, California 95132

From: [Jess Chui](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:40:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jess Chui

chui.jess@gmail.com

239 Alpha st

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Warren Fang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:49:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Warren Fang
warrenfang228@gmail.com
295 Orizaba Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Janice Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:50:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Lee
janiceflee@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Tina Fan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:51:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Fan
tfan38@gmail.com
687 Colby street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Hong Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:04:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

I would like to add, this permanent ban evict is almost like the looters who took merchandise from the store illegally. Business owner now have double what. First covid and now this ban on eviction.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Lam
hongmlam@hotmail.com
1707 McKinnon ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Wenyng Shi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:08:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wenying Shi
swy0415@gmail.com
2158 san jose ave A
Alameda , California 94501

From: [Wenyng Shi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:09:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wenying Shi
swy0415@gmail.com
2158 san jose ave A
Alameda , California 94501

From: [Yan Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:11:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Chen

Angelinachen0609@yahoo.com

51 Cary Ct

Oakland , California 94603

From: [Tony Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:11:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lee

tonyleetonylee@yahoo.com

PO Box 411473

San Francisco, California 94141

From: [Tony Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:11:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lee

tonyleetonylee@yahoo.com

PO Box 411473

San Francisco, California 94141

From: tonyleetonylee@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:12:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

tonyleetonylee@yahoo.com

PO Box 411473

San Francisco, California 94141

From: [Sun Kong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:17:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sun Kong

sunkong51@yahoo.com

345 Wilde ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Sun Kong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:17:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sun Kong

sunkong51@yahoo.com

345 Wilde ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [John Chin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:30:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Chin

jonshee92@gmail.com

255 berry street apt 521

San francisco, California 94158

From: [Tony Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:34:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lin
tonylinsf@yahoo.com
139 Evergreen ave
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Tony Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:35:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lee

tonyleetonylee@yahoo.com

PO Box 411473

San Francisco , California 94141

From: [Tony Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:35:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lee

tonyleetonylee@yahoo.com

PO Box 411473

San Francisco , California 94141

From: [Chao ping xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:42:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chao ping xu
chinaubt@yahoo.com
320 London st
San francisco, California 94112

From: [Gordon Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:46:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gordon Chen

kope_10@yahoo.com

263 capistrano ave

San francisco , California 94112

From: [Gordon Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:46:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gordon Chen

kope_10@yahoo.com

263 capistrano ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Allen Luu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:51:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Allen Luu

mmadbull@hotmail.com

1500 Gibbons Dr

Alameda, California 94501

From: [Bill Sanders](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:52:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Sanders
sandersnbill@gmsil.com
2310 24th Ave
San Francisco , Texas 95114

From: [Bill Sanders](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:52:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Sanders
sandersnbill@gmsil.com
2310 24th Ave
San Francisco , Texas 95114

From: [Eva Yung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:55:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eva Yung
eyung78@yahoo.com
Middlefield
Redwood City , California 94063

From: [Chris Bank](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:55:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chris Bank

christopher.bank@gmail.com

530 brannan st #310

San Francisco , California 94107

From: [Christopher Do](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:56:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christopher Do
chrisdo@sbcglobal.net
1574 church Street
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Frank Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:59:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Frank Yu

frankyu96@yahoo.com

665 Edinburgh

San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Frank Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:59:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Frank Yu

frankyu96@yahoo.com

665 Edinburgh

San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Simon Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:03:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Simon Chu

jackimon@yahoo.com

230 Lake Drive

San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Jo Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:06:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

We are voters in Supervisor Preston's district. Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of

this year; many of us are small “mom and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jo Zhao

Jo.zhao@gmail.com

D5 Judah at the border of Sunset Inner Sunset GGHeight GGP

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Jo Zhao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:06:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

We are voters in Supervisor Preston's district. Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of

this year; many of us are small “mom and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jo Zhao

Jo.zhao@gmail.com

D5 Judah at the border of Sunset Inner Sunset GGHeight GGP

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Joseph Ip](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:11:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Ip

joiptiwo@aol.com

278 bright st

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Joseph Ip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:11:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Ip

joiptiwo@aol.com

278 bright st

San Francisco , California 94132

From: chinaubt@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:13:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chinaubt@yahoo.com

320 London st

San Francisco, California 94112

From: chinaubt@yahoo.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:13:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chinaubt@yahoo.com

320 London st

San francisco, California 94112

From: [Jenny Feng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:25:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Feng
jfeng59@mail.ccsf.edu
228 Thrift Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Cindy Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:31:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Li
licindy34@yahoo.com
2626 Phelps Street
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Tom Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:32:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tom Li
tom628@live.com
1240 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Tom Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:32:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tom Li
tom628@live.com
1240 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Kam sum Tong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:48:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kam sum Tong
Kamtong69@yahoo.com
224 Orizaba Ave
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Anita Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:52:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Lee

atom1522@yahoo.com

PO Box 590035

San Francisco, California 94159

From: [Mark Young](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:03:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mark Young

myoung@uldevelopment.com

33 New Montgomery Street, Ste 1810

San Francisco, California 94105

From: [Mark Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:03:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mark Young

myoung@uldevelopment.com

33 New Montgomery Street, Ste 1810

San Francisco, California 94105

From: [Jenny Fan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:04:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Fan

jennys@xilinx.com

1641 via fortuna

San jose, California 95120

From: [Jenny Fan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:04:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Fan

jennys@xilinx.com

1641 via fortuna

San jose, California 95120

From: [Ivy Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:09:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivy Young

ivy.young@me.com

280 Beacon St

San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Ivy Young](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:09:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivy Young

ivy.young@me.com

280 Beacon St

San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Kwok Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:18:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kwok Yan

kwokyan2009@gmail.com

29 Ave

S F , California 94122

From: [Kwok Yan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:18:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kwok Yan

kwokyan2009@gmail.com

29 Ave

S F , California 94122

From: [Yau Fung Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:27:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yau Fung Wong
yfw446@yahoo.com
446 11th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Yau Fung Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:27:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yau Fung Wong
yfw446@yahoo.com
446 11th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:31:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:31:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Bin Gu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:35:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bin Gu

binlanggu@yahoo.com

Hollenbeck ave

Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [Viv Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:47:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Viv Liu

vivian2205@hotmail.com

74 New Montgomery Street

San Francisco, California 94105

From: [Viv Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:47:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Viv Liu
vivian2205@hotmail.com
74 New Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94105

From: [Sherry Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:48:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherry Lau

slaufu@yahoo.com

1823 41st Avo

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sherry Lau](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:48:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherry Lau

slaufu@yahoo.com

1823 41st Avo

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Fred Chang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:03:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fred Chang
fredchang298@yahoo.com
1821 18th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Fred Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:04:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fred Chang

fredchang298@yahoo.com

1821 18th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Ping Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:10:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ping Yu

pingyu30@hotmail.com

15 sand harbor road

Alameda , California 94502

From: [Xuehua Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:14:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xuehua Huang
xuehuahuang5@icloud.com
451Bright ST
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Xuehua Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:14:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xuehua Huang
xuehuahuang5@icloud.com
451Bright ST
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:23:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:23:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Wai Foon Yip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:23:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wai Foon Yip
sandrayip123@gmail.com
2479 27th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Wai Foon Yip](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:23:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wai Foon Yip
sandrayip123@gmail.com
2479 27th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:23:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:23:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:23:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:24:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Amy Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:35:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Yu
amylalayu@gmail.com
2037 Irving Street
San Francisco, Ca 94122

From: [Amy Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:35:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Yu
amylalayu@gmail.com
2037 Irving Street
San Francisco, Ca 94122

From: [Shirley Xie](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:39:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Xie

shirleyxie123@gmail.com

192 Ney St.

San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Shirley Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:39:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Xie

shirleyxie123@gmail.com

192 Ney St.

San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Laurie Guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:56:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurie Guan
laurieguan@yahoo.com
90 Rae Ave.
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Fannie Lam](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:01:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fannie Lam

fannielam@gmail.com

648 Balboa

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Fannie Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:01:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fannie Lam

fannielam@gmail.com

648 Balboa

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Hui ling Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:01:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui ling Liu
ling1128@iCloud.com
10128 Lupine Ln
Auburn, California 95603

From: [Hui ling Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:01:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui ling Liu
ling1128@iCloud.com
10128 Lupine Ln
Auburn, California 95603

From: [Phoebe Kuong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:04:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Phoebe Kuong
kuong1628@gmail.com
1592 28th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Phoebe Kuong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:04:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Phoebe Kuong
kuong1628@gmail.com
1592 28th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Lu Yuan Wei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:06:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lu Yuan Wei
luyuanwei921@gmail.com
325 Paul Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Li Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:06:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Li Yang

jplyang@gmail.com

1711 32nd ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Jenny Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:06:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Lam
yanyin1016@yahoo.com
650 balboa st
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Jenny Lam](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:06:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Lam
yanyin1016@yahoo.com
650 balboa st
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [bifen Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:08:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

bifen Yu

bifenbetty.yu@yaoo.com

244 Wheeler Ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [bifen Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:08:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

bifen Yu

bifenbetty.yu@yaoo.com

244 Wheeler Ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Winnie Leung](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:09:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Leung
winnieleungwfg@gmail.com
2758 38th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Winnie Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:09:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Leung
winnieleungwfg@gmail.com
2758 38th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Qiao Yi Guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:09:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qiao Yi Guan
xiyouji0607@yahoo.com
762 Colby St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Elmer Wei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:10:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elmer Wei
elmersuferwei@gmail.com
762 Colby Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:10:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
Wawona st
Sf, California 94116

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:10:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
Wawona st
Sf, California 94116

From: [Lin c Kung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:21:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lin c Kung
angel-kung@hotmail.com
211 oak park Drive
San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Hong Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:28:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Xie

omemory2010@gmail.com

320 Mackintosh Terrace

Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Tu To Duong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:30:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tu To Duong
yinchean328@gmail.com
1339, 23rd Avenue
San Francisco , Ca. 94122

From: [Tu To Duong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:30:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tu To Duong
yinchean328@gmail.com
1339, 23rd Avenue
San Francisco , Ca. 94122

From: [Meijiao Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:31:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meijiao Liang
g6gillgill@gmail.com
518 Russia Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Sandy Situ](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:33:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Situ

dswwsitu@yahoo.com

14727 Acacia St

San Leandro , California 94579

From: [Sandy Situ](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:33:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Situ

dswwsitu@yahoo.com

14727 Acacia St

San Leandro , California 94579

From: [Ying Fu Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:37:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Fu Zheng
yingfu816@yahoo.com
858 Duncan Street
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Ying Fu Zheng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:37:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Fu Zheng
yingfu816@yahoo.com
858 Duncan Street
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Iver Hystad](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:37:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Iver Hystad
iverh@hotmail.com
75 Linda Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Nancy Ho](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:43:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nancy Ho

ny8ho@yahoo.com

271 Monticello St.

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Nancy Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:43:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nancy Ho

ny8ho@yahoo.com

271 Monticello St.

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Weigang Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:00:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weigang Yu

frankyu2010@gmail.com

320 Mackintosh Terrace

Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Steven Guan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:04:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Steven Guan

stevenwguan@yahoo.com

28th/Noriega

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Steven Guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:04:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Steven Guan

stevenwguan@yahoo.com

28th/Noriega

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Xinping Ning](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:10:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xinping Ning
cayugahomerental@gmail.com
1350 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Gini Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:11:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gini Zhang

gleong330@yahoo.com

232 Wildwood Avenue, #B

Piedmont, California 94610

From: [Gini Zhang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:11:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gini Zhang

gleong330@yahoo.com

232 Wildwood Avenue, #B

Piedmont, California 94610

From: [Weigang Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:23:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weigang Yu
frankyu2010@gmail.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Tiffany Fang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:29:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Fang
tiffanyf08@live.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Tiffany Fang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:32:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Fang
tiffanyf08@live.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Ashley Fang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:33:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ashley Fang
ashleyf320@gmail.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Helen McClure](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:47:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen McClure

hmclure@pierce.ctc.edu

547 Castro St.

San Francisco , Washington 98499

From: [Tina Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:48:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Liu
tinaliu828@yahoo.com
627 Naples St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tina Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:48:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Liu
tinaliu828@yahoo.com
627 Naples St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:53:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
Wawona st
Sf, California 94116

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:53:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
Wawona st
Sf, California 94116

From: [Yue er Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:59:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yue er Lin

lindalin2009@live.com

2234 39th Ave

S F, California 94117

From: [Yue er Lin](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:59:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yue er Lin

lindalin2009@live.com

2234 39th Ave

S F, California 94117

From: [Ray Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:04:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ray Li

raymle@yahoo.com

1536 June Ave

San Jose, California 95122

From: [Ray Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:04:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ray Li

raymle@yahoo.com

1536 June Ave

San Jose, California 95122

From: [Henry Shen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:07:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Henry Shen
henryshen13@gmail.com
49 Vernon
San Francisco ca, California 94132

From: [Henry Shen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:07:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Henry Shen
henryshen13@gmail.com
49 Vernon
San Francisco ca, California 94132

From: ycxiamen@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:11:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ycxiamen@gmail.com

1907 16th AVE

San Francisco , Colorado CA. 94116

From: ycxiamen@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:11:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ycxiamen@gmail.com

1907 16th AVE

San Francisco , Colorado CA. 94116

From: [Crystal Chiu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:14:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Crystal Chiu

crystalrich9271@yahoo.com

615 Taraval Street

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Crystal Chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:14:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Crystal Chiu

crystalrich9271@yahoo.com

615 Taraval Street

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Jason Ho](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:15:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Ho
jasonhousa@yahoo.com
Kirkham Street
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94122

From: [Jason Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:15:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Ho
jasonhousa@yahoo.com
Kirkham Street
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94122

From: [Conny Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:20:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Conny Lin

connylin66@hitmail.com

133 cross street

San Francisco , Utah 84112

From: [May Pang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:32:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Pang

Mayqueenpo@yahoo.com

238 Brazil AVE

SF, Colorado CA94112

From: [May Pang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:32:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Pang

Mayqueenpo@yahoo.com

238 Brazil AVE

SF, Colorado CA94112

From: [Tony Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:32:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Ng

tonysyng@sbcglobal.net

1515 48th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Tony Ng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:32:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Ng

tonysyng@sbcglobal.net

1515 48th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Sandy Tom](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:33:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Tom

mootomtom@gamil.com

1534 46th ave

san francisco , California 94122

From: [Win Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:52:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Win Chen

win11713@gmail.com

Guttenberg st

Sf, California 94112

From: [Shelly Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:54:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shelly Chen
ericjee88@yahoo.com
168 Shawnee Ave
Sf, California 94112

From: [Annie Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:02:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Liang
lianganne82@gmail.com
1568 Geneva Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Sophia Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:09:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Chen

csophua@yahoo.com

945 Taraval street 203

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Paul KL Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:30:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paul KL Cheng
paulcheng4817@aol.com
351 Raymond Avenue
San Francisco, Ca 94134

From: [Paul KL Cheng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:30:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paul KL Cheng
paulcheng4817@aol.com
351 Raymond Avenue
San Francisco, Ca 94134

From: [Jaye Woo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:20:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jaye Woo

jayewoo3438@gmail.com

3438 Ulloa st

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jaye Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:20:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jaye Woo

jayewoo3438@gmail.com

3438 Ulloa st

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Anita Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:56:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Lau
ahlau399@gmail.com
43 John St
San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Suet-Yim Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:22:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Suet-yim Lau

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Suet-Yim Lau
47irving@gmail.com
2545 Irving St.
San Francisco, Ca 94122

From: [Tina Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:27:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Wong

tinawong28@gmail.com

547-25 ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Lisa Baltodano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:43:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Baltodano
lisa.baltodano@yahoo.com
8195 Primoak Way
Elk Grove, California 95758

From: [Judy Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:46:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Louie
judyklouie@yahoo.com
Center St
Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Linda Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:55:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Zhu

lindahzhu@yahoo.com

1119 Bending Willow Way

Pittsburg , California 94565

From: [Rose Kong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:56:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rose Kong
rosek10@gmail.com
1384 10th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Lily Chow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:17:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Chow

mslilyc@hotmail.com

36421 Dijon Dr

Newark Ca , California 94560

From: [Christina Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:21:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Chen
christin2c@hotmail.com
1069 Capitol Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: ngvernon@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:10:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ngvernon@yahoo.com

563 N Park Victoria Drive

Milpitas , California 95035

From: [Richard Tu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:21:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Richard Tu
richard_Y_tu@Hotmail.com
2510 21Ave
San Francisco, Ca 94116

From: [Richard Tu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:21:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Richard Tu
richard_Y_tu@Hotmail.com
2510 21Ave
San Francisco, Ca 94116

From: [Fred O. Lewis III](#)
To: [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [dennis.herrara@sfgov.org](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#)
Cc: [Frederick Lewis III \(dealmaker@charter.net\)](#)
Subject: Proposed Covid-19 Tenant Protection Ordinance - File #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:27:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear City Leaders,

I am writing to you today to voice my concerns and opposition to the proposed ordinance. Homelessness is a problem in San Francisco and everyone agrees on that but shifting that burden on private property owners will only hurt the cause. Eliminating a property owner's right to bring an Unlawful Detainer against a resident will only empower the few that want to take advantage of the situation by not paying their rent at all. Requiring owners to a 6 month repayment program for their residents insures everyone fairness.

I have 3 Caucasian tenants now who have not shown me any evidence they cannot pay their rent due to Covid-19 and have not paid their rent since April. During the 3 months I have respectfully asked for proof of their dilemma and a proposed payment plan. They have all rejected my requests or given curt responses. In this particular case they have made it clear I can take no action against them even though they are acting in bad faith.

I continue to pay my property taxes, mortgage, PG & E, resident manager, maintenance man and rent board fees all needed to service the building. I as a private owner am providing free housing which I cannot afford. We all need to share in this problem and shifting that burden on property owners places an unfair financial burden on those property owners. This government problem cannot be placed on private individuals. Housing is essential and so is food and gas. I cannot walk out of a grocery store or a gas station with free groceries or gas because of my inability to pay. A resident shouldn't be allowed to do the same.

Please reconsider your proposal so it is fair for all parties.

Thank you.

Frederick O. Lewis III
Managing Partner
California Affordable Housing Group, LP

Oakland Affordable Housing Group, LP

Oakland Affordable Housing Group II, LP
American Liberty Investments, LP

(209) 522-9999/ fax (209) 522-5939
dealmaker@charter.net

From: [Vivian Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:45:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vivian Gee

viviangee815@gmail.com

210 Del Prado Dr

Daly City, California 94015

From: [YAYING YU](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:52:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YAYING YU

jolie.yu@yahoo.com

141 Woolsey street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [YAYING YU](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:52:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YAYING YU

jolie.yu@yahoo.com

141 Woolsey street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Feng Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:59:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Feng Huang

vickykelvin123@gmail.com

169. Whipple Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Mei Qing Situ](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:09:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Qing Situ

meiqsitu@gmail.com

691 Geneva Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Rosanna Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:11:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rosanna Yang
xingrong680@yahoo.com
Holloway and Arch
S F, California 94132

From: [Jing Hu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:13:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Hu

jinghu88@yahoo.com

76 Lydia ave.

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94124

From: [Jing Hu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:13:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Hu

jinghu88@yahoo.com

76 Lydia ave.

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94124

From: [Terry Chong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:15:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisors,

Covid19 and the prolong and wide spread shutdowns have caused extreme financial hardship to tenants and property owners alike.

Many properties owners are small mom-and-pop shop who work and count on rental income to supplement their income so that they can afford to pay mortgage, property tax, insurance, utilities and maintenance costs, plus their basic expenses. Many are retired seniors who count on rental income to supplement their fixed income just to get by.

We urge you to oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections".

The litmus test is: if this ordinance is so good, will you apply to your properties or properties owned by the City or by Non-Profits?

Ordinance #200375 is unconstitutional. "Life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness" means the enjoyment of material property one worked hard for. And "congress shall make no law to infringe on one's Property Rights."

Ordinance #200375 forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of the Constitution.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. We have already seem many Property owners exiting rental market due to the draconian renters protection laws.

Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs. This ordinance potentially wipes out all rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing hardship, too -- many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper under water. Many seniors have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial

ruin for not being able to collect rent for most of 2020. This loss will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads shall they fail to pay for mortgage and taxes.

Ordinance #200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. "People are not angel" wrote James Madison -- that is why we have limited government and check-and-balance. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to the tenants -- even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady and likely increased in expenses will cause more foreclosures and bankruptcies to property owners.

The City should take responsibility and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M rentes relief fund for those who can't afford to pay rent during COVID-19. Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. We are sympathetic, but we are also negatively affected. Many of us barely hang on. We are not all wealthy. We don't have deep pocket that can afford us not to have any rental income for most of this year. We are small "mom and pops" providers who can't carry this added financial burden during Covid.

Hence, I urge that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well -- we are all people.

And should you pass it, we urge that you make no exemptions for properties owned by the city or non- profits.

Thank you!

Sincerely,
Terry chong

Terry Chong
focusgrow@gmail.com
1212 10th Avenue #302
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [yinlai.meng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:17:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yinlai Meng
christinecookie@gmail.com
1215 Olive Branch Ln
San Jose, California 95120

From: [Kinny Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:18:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kinny Li
kinnyli028@gmail.com
47 Guttenberg street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ping Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:34:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ping Wang

heloping@yahoo.com

1941 23rd Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [George Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:34:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

George Wu
okwujingyu@gmail.com
1821 Sacramento st
Berkeley, California 94702

From: [Choi Hung Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:45:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Choi Hung Wong
choihwong@gmail.com
240 Sagamore st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ling Guo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:48:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ling Guo

lglifegood221@yahoo.com

Farragut Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ling Guo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:50:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ling Guo

lglifegood221@yahoo.com

Farragut Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Yan Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:54:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Wang

malachi9858@gmail.com

8th Avenue

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Hong Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:11:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Chan
hjin1300@gmail.com
2646 25th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: emilyguan832@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:13:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

emilyguan832@gmail.com

832 Grafton Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Quan Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:15:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Quan Liu

quanliu09@gmail.com

163 Desmond Street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Liyi Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:22:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liyi Lau
kelly8895@yahoo.com
915 Bay Street
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [John Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:23:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Wong
jwong004@gmail.com
573 Illinois Ave
San Jose, California 95125-1535

From: [Hong Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:25:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Wu

xiaohongwu2013@yahoo.com

166 Desmond Street

San Francisco CA , California 94134

From: [Alice Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:28:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alice Lau

alice1260mpr@gmail.com

1369

San Francisco CA , California 94134

From: [chenghui.jiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:31:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chenghui jiang

jchjenny@sina.com

topsail dr

vallejo, California 94591

From: [De Feng Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:34:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

De Feng Yu
watery2k@gmail.com
52 WATERVILLE ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94124

From: [Cui Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:34:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cui Xie
tiffanycui@yahoo.com
18 Sala Terrace
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [lidia Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:34:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lidia Zheng

lidiasf415@yahoo.com

426 Delta st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Ruth Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:35:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ruth Woo

ruthwoo@aol.com

26 Nahua Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Mars Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:36:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mars Chan

momodrift@hotmail.com

6655 Riverside Blvd

Sacramento , California 95831

From: [Chung Jim Tai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:37:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chung lim Tai
kentaihouses@gmail.com
2307 28th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jingyun Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:40:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jingyun Zhang
fionzhang1234@outlook.com
1273 silver ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Jifen Mao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:41:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jifen Mao

maojifen@yahoo.com

1342 22nd Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Baomei Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:43:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baomei Liu

ucbaomei@gmail.com

1630 Portola Dr.

San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Baomei Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:43:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baomei Liu
ucbaomei@gmail.com
1630 Portola Dr.
San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Yuan Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:49:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuan Tan

hzhkong@yahoo.com

47 Bannock street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Casper Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:55:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Casper Leung
casperleung2000@gmail.com
537 37th ave.
san francisco, California 94121

From: [Casper Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:58:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Casper Leung
casperleung2000@gmail.com
537 37th ave.
san francisco, California 94121

From: [Stephy Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:02:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stephy Li

binglili9988@hotmail.com

600 Holloway ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Linda Xi](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:17:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Xi
lindaxi1006@gmail.com
7509 Geary blvd
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Linda Xi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:17:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Xi
lindaxi1006@gmail.com
7509 Geary blvd
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Karen Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:19:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Huang

klmhuang@yahoo.com

395 Richmond Street, Apt 7

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Karen Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:19:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Huang

klmhuang@yahoo.com

395 Richmond Street, Apt 7

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Baoping Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:20:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baoping Tan

baoping0424@gmail.com

804 Stockton st apt7

San Francisco, California 94108

From: [Hsu Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:23:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hsu Wang

wang5352906@yahoo.com

535 29th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Ning Gan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:23:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ning Gan

ninggan99@gmail.com

3279 Orwell pl

Fremont , California 94536

From: [Ricky Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:25:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ricky Wong

ric0324@hotmail.com

Ric0324@hotmail.com

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Yi Na Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:25:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi Na Chen

yinachensf@yahoo.com

261 Beverly Street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Connie Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:51:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Lee
conniemlvs@yahoo.com
2078 revere ave
San francisco, California 94124

From: [Connie Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:51:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Lee
conniemlvs@yahoo.com
2078 revere ave
San francisco, California 94124

From: [Christie Wan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:01:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christie Wan
christiewmn@yahoo.com
237 Randolph street
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94132

From: [Steed Ahn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:02:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steed

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Steed Ahn

steed@steadahn.com

405 Serrano Drive #9H

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Janice Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:03:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Lee
janiceflee@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: chinhonglou@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:06:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chinhonglou@yahoo.com

2927 Wawona st

Sf, California 94116

From: [Li Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:07:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Li Li

hlfex627@gmail.com

Jackson st

San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Jin Cai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:10:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jin Cai
meichoi10@gmail.com
243 Sargent st
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Eric Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:13:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eric Wong

yim3366@yahoo.com

15 Apollo Street

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94124

From: [Teresa Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:21:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Teresa Lau
tgogolf@yahoo.com
32 Commons Ln
Foster City , California 94404

From: [Lily Woo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:27:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Woo

lilywoo64@gmail.com

1864 28TH Avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Lily Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:28:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Woo

lilywoo64@gmail.com

1864 28TH Avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Charles Zuo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:35:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Charles Zuo
czuo18@gmail.com
1917 8th ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Theresa Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:46:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Theresa Liu
rhxqsf@yahoo.com
2690 22nd Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Vickie Brown](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:58:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vickie Brown

vbrown1219@yahoo.com

32 Glenview Dr.

San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Jessica Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:06:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Liu

jessica@jlis.com

3428 Balboa

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Jessica Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:06:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Liu

jessica@jlis.com

3428 Balboa

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Benjamin Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Benjamin Woo
benw002@pacbell.net
120 Cambridge st
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [John Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:13:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Ma

johnma3254@gmail.com

3254 Vintage Oaks Ct

San Jose , California 95148

From: [Judy Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:14:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Chan
chanj6705@gmail.com
157 Rolph St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jane Kao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:14:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Kao
yuaijane@yahoo.com
371 16th Ave
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Luciano Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:21:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Luciano Chan
luciano.chan3@gmail.com
157 Rolph St
SF, California 94112

From: [Mei Chang Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:25:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Chang Yu
meiyu986@yahoo.com
300 orizaba ave
California , California 94132

From: [Yu Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:28:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Liang

liangyuying@live.com

170naples st

San Francisco , Colorado CA94112

From: [Erwen Guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:47:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Erwen Guan

erwen83@hotmail.com

72 Sears Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Vanita Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:51:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vanita Louie
vanitalouie17@gmail.com
89 Barcelona Ave
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Vanita Louie](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:51:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vanita Louie

vanitalouie17@gmail.com

89 Barcelona Ave

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Tom Jue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:53:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tom Jue

fyrtom@yahoo.com

477 Myra Way

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Tom Jue](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:53:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tom Jue

fyr tom@yahoo.com

477 Myra Way

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Al Ch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:53:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Al Ch

starlitedoheny@gmail.com

2025 stockton #1

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Al Ch](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:53:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Al Ch

starlitedoheny@gmail.com

2025 stockton #1

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Yu Ting Zhong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:58:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Ting Zhong
ytzh26@gmail.com
1543 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [viet.nguyen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:05:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Viet Nguyen

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

viet nguyen

vietory101@gmail.com

196 Urbano Dr

san francisco , California 94127

From: [viet.nguyen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:05:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Viet Nguyen

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

viet nguyen

vietory101@gmail.com

196 Urbano Dr

san francisco , California 94127

From: [Yang Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:07:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yang Zhu

yangw.zhu@gmail.com

27 Florentine st

Sf, Texas 94113

From: [JOANNE CHEN](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:10:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

JOANNE CHEN

Qianshanchen@hotmail.com

263 TUNNEL ave

sf, California 94134

From: [Jonathan Leong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:13:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jonathan Leong
jonathan@aadp.org
2169 Harbor Bay Pkwy
Alameda, California 94502-3019

From: [Jonathan Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:13:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jonathan Leong
jonathan@aadp.org
2169 Harbor Bay Pkwy
Alameda, California 94502-3019

From: [elvis kwok](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:15:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

elvis kwok

elvisteam@gmail.com

550 niantic

daly city, ca 94014

From: [Karen Mai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:20:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Mai

karen@maihomes.com

255 Byxbee St

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Pauline Sham](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:34:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing Wztremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pauline Sham
pauline.7592020@gmail.com
1636-A Irving st
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Kong Yip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:35:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kong Yip

kongyeeyip@yahoo.com

1754 40th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Ron Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:35:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ron Lee

rlee288@aol.com

5013 Diamond Heights Blvd

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Ron Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:35:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ron Lee

rlee288@aol.com

5013 Diamond Heights Blvd

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:45:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
Wawona st
Sf, California 94115

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:45:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
Wawona st
Sf, California 94115

From: [Hai Mai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:47:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hai Mai

mzs2282@gmail.com

305 Valdez Ave

San Francisco, California 94127-2123

From: [Samson Mai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:52:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samson Mai

samson.yuchi.mai@gmail.com

315 Foerster St

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jessica Siru](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:00:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Siru

jessthetwo@yahoo.com

Pacheco and Funston

San Francisco , Colorado CA. 94116

From: [Jessica Siru](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:04:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Siru

jessthetwo@yahoo.com

Pacheco and Funston

San Francisco , Colorado CA. 94116

From: [Horatio Jung](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:06:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Horatio Jung
horatiojung@gmail.com
1335 32nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Horatio Jung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:06:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Horatio Jung
horatiojung@gmail.com
1335 32nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Joyce Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Chan

jmch888@aol.com

1000 North Point Street, #309

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Margie Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:28:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Margie Lee

leem.889@gmail.com

1080 Page Street

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Posee Chung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:29:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Posee Chung

posee.chung@gmail.com

119 Delano Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94112

From: [Serina Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:49:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Serina Huang

serian68@yahoo.com

65 Theresa st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Meng Ling Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:49:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meng Ling Wu

lingwu1122@gmail.com

1819 42Nd Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94122

From: [Meng Ling Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:49:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meng Ling Wu

lingwu1122@gmail.com

1819 42Nd Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94122

From: [Jack Yuan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:55:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jack Yuan

jackyuanca@gmail.com

1688 pine st

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94109

From: [Fong Fong Ga](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:01:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fong Fong Ga
fongfongga@gmail.com
568 47th Ave
San Francisco, California CA

From: [David Gong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:10:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Gong
DAVIDYGONG888@GMAIL.COM
1851 Palou Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Bao Zhu Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:16:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bao Zhu Wang

benlisy@163.com

2601 Newhall street

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [stephen lew](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:19:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.
Stephen Lee
Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

stephen lew
stephenlew2@hotmail.com
230 Jules Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Honghui Cai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:23:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Honghui Cai
gary030@hotmail.com
159 Granada Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [John Ng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:26:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Ng
JohnNgSF@aol.com
242 29th Avenue
San Francisco, CA, California 94121

From: [John Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:26:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Ng
JohnNgSF@aol.com
242 29th Avenue
San Francisco, CA, California 94121

From: [Kanny Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:36:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kanny Wong

kannymathew@gmail.com

571 Darien way

San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Jie Cai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:36:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jie Cai

davidwongg215@yahoo.com

287 Broad St

San Francisco , Colorado CA94112

From: [Jie Cai](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:36:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jie Cai

davidwongg215@yahoo.com

287 Broad St

San Francisco , Colorado CA94112

From: [Mathew Qiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:38:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mathew Qiu

mathew57qiu@gmail.com

571 Darien way

San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Sandra Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:41:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chan

mingchu59@icloud.com

32nd Avenue x Pacheco Ave.

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Anna Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:46:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Lee

annaclee2003@gmail.com

1466 Mardan Drive

San Jose, California 95132

From: [Anna Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:46:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Lee

annaclee2003@gmail.com

1466 Mardan Drive

San Jose, California 95132

From: [Matt WONG](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:48:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Matt WONG

Mattw888@gmail.com

964 Hayes Street

San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Matt WONG](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:48:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Matt WONG

Mattw888@gmail.com

964 Hayes Street

San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Yuanwen Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:48:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuanwen Wu

yuanwen_wu@hotmail.com

1115 leslie dr

san jose, California 95117

From: [Nelson Lum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:50:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Nelson Lum

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nelson Lum
nelson1431@aol.com
194 Prague Street
12045, California 94112

From: [Nelson Lum](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:50:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Nelson Lum

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nelson Lum
nelson1431@aol.com
194 Prague Street
12045, California 94112

From: [L Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:52:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

L Huang

lhdh_vt@hotmail.com

Irving Street

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Bev Yip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:59:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bev Yip
beverlyyip@Gmail.com
550 Monterey blvd
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Bev Yip](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:59:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bev Yip
beverlyyip@Gmail.com
550 Monterey blvd
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Patrick Man](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:02:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Patrick Man

hkg1@comcast.net

550 Panorama Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Patrick Man](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:02:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Patrick Man

hkg1@comcast.net

550 Panorama Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Qilin Xue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:11:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qilin Xue

qilin.mike.xue@gmail.com

3136 E Laurel Creek Rd

Belmont, California 94002

From: [Brian Seto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:17:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Brian Seto

bsseto@gmail.com

1938 11th Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Mixi Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:23:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mixi Li
mixili59@comcast.net
59Glenview Dr.
SF, California 94131

From: [Heidi Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:30:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Heidi Chang

loveturnmills@gmail.com

1958 32nd Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Citania Tam](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:31:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Citania Tam

citania.tam@gmail.com

619 1st Avenue

San Mateo, California 94401

From: [Citania Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:31:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Citania Tam

citania.tam@gmail.com

619 1st Avenue

San Mateo, California 94401

From: [Kipling Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:32:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kipling Lee

kipsterone@yahoo.com

Funston and Pacheco

San Francisco , Colorado CA. 94116

From: [Jeffrey La](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:33:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeffrey La

jla@oscarpring.com

514 Myra way

San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Sharon Au](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:49:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sharon Au

au.sharon@yahoo.com

15356 Laverne dr.

San Leandro , California 94579

From: [Shirley Yang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:07:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Yang
yshirley22@yahoo.com
92 Middlefield Dr
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Shirley Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:07:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Yang
yshirley22@yahoo.com
92 Middlefield Dr
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [YAN YU](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:09:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YAN YU

yenn1111@gmail.com

2339 12th Ave

San Francisco, California 94116-1907

From: [Warren Yip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:13:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Warren Yip
Warrenyip@gmail.com
550 Monterey Blvd
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Warren Yip](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:13:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Warren Yip

Warrenyip@gmail.com

550 Monterey Blvd

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [DaBiao Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:18:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

DaBiao Li

luckyyan668@gmail.com

416 Wilde Ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Winnie Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:19:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Wu

winniewu1033@gmail.com

35th & Ulloa

San Francisco , Colorado CA94116

From: [Miao Ying Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:22:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Miao Ying Wang
luckyyan668@gmail.com
416 Wilde Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: jeanniechilin@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:24:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jeanniechilin@yahoo.com
2538 43rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Allison Fung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:27:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Allison Fung
afung1@hotmail.com
10 Flood avenue
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Weijie Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:32:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weijie Zhao
weijiezhao@ymail.com
550 Townsend st
San Francisco , California 94103

From: [Polly Tong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:48:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Polly Tong

pollystation@gmail.com

University

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Julie Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:49:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Lee

julieylee777@gmail.com

547 Naples St

San Francisco, Colorado CA .94112

From: [Yu Qun Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Qun Chen
sfdating@yahoo.com
1958 19th Ave
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94116

From: [Eric Tang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:37:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eric Tang

etloanmach@aol.com

P o box 26516

San Francisco , California 94126

From: [Eric Tang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:37:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eric Tang

etloanmach@aol.com

P o box 26516

San Francisco , California 94126

From: [Zhongxing Gong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:38:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhongxing Gong
zhongxinggong@yahoo.com
1850 35th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Eileen Hu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:41:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eileen Hu

eileenxhu@gmail.com

4423 Kenneth ave

Fair Oaks, California 95628

From: [Yunzhu Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:42:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yunzhu Ma
yzm1689@gmail.com
126 Orizaba Ave
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [David Cheung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:51:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Cheung
dcheung0821@gmail.com
888 ridgecrest st
Monterey park , Ca91754

From: [Zhaolian Jian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:55:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhaolian Jian

jjanzhaolian60@icloud.com

1462 Quesada Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Zhaolian Jian](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:55:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhaolian Jian

jjanzhaolian60@icloud.com

1462 Quesada Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Sherlyn Chew](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:08:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherlyn Chew

Sherlyn Chew

1sherlynchew@gmail.com

432 Francisco St

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Zhen Chao Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:11:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhen Chao Liu
jasonliu4408@gmail.com
87 Clearfield Drive
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Shaoxian Qin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:19:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shaoxian Qin

kellyqin02@yahoo.com

Carleton

Daly City, California 94015

From: [May Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:20:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Lee

mlee062@yahoo.com

57 Belle Ave.

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Alvin Lam](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:37:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alvin Lam

alvinlam11@live.com

646 Balboa Street

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94118

From: [Alvin Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:37:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alvin Lam

alvinlam11@live.com

646 Balboa Street

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94118

From: [Peggy Ling](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:47:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peggy Ling

lingp@sfusd.edu

3725 Pacheco Street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [May Cheng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:52:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Cheng
mcheng1609@gmail.com
1609 24 St
SF, California 94108

From: [May Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:52:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Cheng
mcheng1609@gmail.com
1609 24 St
SF, California 94108

From: [Jimmy Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:56:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jimmy Chan

jimmyandtina2012@gmail.com

1645 Pacheco Street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Qing wei Feng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:59:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qing wei Feng
davidfeng02@yahoo.com
3438 TARAVAL street
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Xue f Chou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:02:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xue f Chou
xfchou@yahoo.com
1639 32nd ave
San Francisco , Ca94122

From: [Judy Cai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:08:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Cai
miaojuancai@gmail.com
2770 38th ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Kun Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:10:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kun Lei
tingkunlei@gmail.com
2770 38th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [David Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:14:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Chan

changed2010@gmail.com

1611 47th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Winnie Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:16:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Lau

mytudy888@gmail.com

Jules/Grafton

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Curtis Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:18:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Curtis Chan

curtburt20@gmail.com

Jules/Grafton

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jing Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:30:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Li

jingng@sbcglobal.net

584 San Jose Ave

San Francisco , California 94110

From: [chiu Gong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:30:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chiu Gong

chiugong85@mail.com

Amazon/ Naples

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hua Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:30:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hua Yang

moondreamly@gmail.com

848 Edinburgh st.

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Kar Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:31:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kar Lau

khlfish1848@gmail.com

Amazon/ Naples

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hua Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:33:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hua Yang

moondreamly@gmail.com

848 Edinburgh st.

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jenny Chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:40:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Chiu
lookitschristinaa@yahoo.com
247Brighton ave
S. F, California 94112

From: [Roy Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:42:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Roy Gee

jungrgee@gmail.com

471 Lakeshore Dr.

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Cynthia Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:46:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cynthia Chan
cynchan656@gmail.com
323 Raymond Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Danny Ruan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:48:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danny Ruan
druanblu@gmail.com
150 Francisco
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [R Yam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:51:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

R Yam

rycsuc@gmail.com

Teddy Ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Michelle Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:58:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Huang
Minscousa@gmail.com
562 Grove St
San Francisco , California 94102

From: [Harrison Guo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:01:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Harrison Guo
lonewolf_HG62@outlook.com
609 Clearfield Drive
Millbrae, California 94030

From: [Hoi S Mak](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:01:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Daughter of retired parents, who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hoi S Mak
sit_dolby@yahoo.com
7441 Kentland Ave
West Hills, California 91307

From: [Ricky R](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:03:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ricky R
swingfeetalot@gmail.com
322 Raymond Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Ben Chung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:04:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ben Chung
lbzhong@sbcglobal.net
38th Ave
San Francisco , Colorado CA94121

From: [Jeannette Guo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:05:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeannette Guo
jeannetteguo@gmail.com
609 Clearfield Drive
Millbrae, California 94030

From: [Tennyson Guo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:06:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

This is seriously relentless.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tennyson Guo
t_guo@u.pacific.edu
609 Clearfield Drive
MILLBRAE, California 94030

From: [James Guo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:06:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Guo

jamesguo1608@msn.com

609 CLEARFIELD DR

MILLBRAE, California 94030

From: [Lillian Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:09:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lillian Ng

lillian@lillianng.com

510 Castenada Avenue

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Kei Mak](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:09:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kei Mak

lkmak5@yahoo.com

Garfield st X Byxbe st

San Francisco , Colorado CA94132

From: [Jun Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:10:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun Chen
cathyjunchen@gmail.com
1344 Halibut St
FOSTER CITY, California 94404

From: [Ceci Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:18:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ceci Liang

liangceci@yahoo.com

260 King Street, 519

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Ceci Liang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:18:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ceci Liang

liangceci@yahoo.com

260 King Street, 519

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Weichen Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:35:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weichen Chen

weichen53@yahoo.com

2355 31st ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Wayne Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:35:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wayne Li
wli13988@gmail.com
Egbert Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Bina Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:46:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bina Ng

binaxng1@yahoo.com

168 Taraval street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Bina Ng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:46:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bina Ng
binaxng1@yahoo.com
168 Taraval street
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Yan Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:53:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Chen
yanqingchen716@gmail.com
Noriega and 25th ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Lisa Chew](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:54:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Chew

llchew@sbcglobal.net

1738 36th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Lisa Chew](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:54:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Chew

llchew@sbcglobal.net

1738 36th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sandra Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:56:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chen

sandrachen_19@yahoo.com

Woolsey St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Sandra Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:58:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chen

sandraacx3@yahoo.com

Noriega St

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Yan Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:00:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Chen
yanchen716@yahoo.com
Woolsey st.
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Yan Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:04:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Chen
yanchen716@yahoo.com
Girard St.
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Sandra Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:05:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chen

sandrasaysagioo@gmail.com

Noriega

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sandra Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:06:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chen

sandrasaysagioo@gmail.com

Noriega

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sue Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:14:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sue Ng
janiceflee@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Thanh Kien Hua](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:15:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Thanh Kien Hua

bytommy@yahoo.com

31 Ellington Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Joseph Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:17:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Chen

josephchen727@gmail.com

Noriega St

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Joseph Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:17:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Chen

josephchen727@gmail.com

Noriega St

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [James Loke](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:20:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Loke

loke.james@yahoo.com

110 Mary Teresa St

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Shushi Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:22:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shushi Huang
wadeshuang@yahoo.com
12 Junior Ter
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [David Ng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:29:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Ng

ngstersfso@hotmail.com

1975 21st Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [David Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:29:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Ng
ngstersfso@hotmail.com
1975 21st Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Derek Chin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:30:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Derek Chin
derekchin01@gmail.com
9 Carolyn Lane
Mill Valley, California 94941-3476

From: [Derek Chin](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:30:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Derek Chin
derekchin01@gmail.com
9 Carolyn Lane
Mill Valley, California 94941-3476

From: [Celina Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:41:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Celina Tan
ping112@hotmail.com
940 Visitacion Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Anita Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:38:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Lee

atom1522@yahoo.com

PO BOX 590035

San Francisco, California 94159

From: [Anita Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:38:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Lee

atom1522@yahoo.com

PO BOX 590035

San Francisco, California 94159

From: [Tony Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:20:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lau
tlaucn@gmail.com
848 Edinburgh st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tong Jiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:17:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tong Jiang
tong.jiang@gmail.com
1263 Farragut Dr
Fremont, California 94539

From: [Marianne Schier](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:22:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Marianne Schier

bacisf@yahoo.com

376 Arguello

San Francisco , Ca 94118

From: [Marianne Schier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:22:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Marianne Schier

bacisf@yahoo.com

376 Arguello

San Francisco , Ca 94118

From: [Min Fang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:22:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min Fang

minfangmmf@yahoo.com

122 Summit Way

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Jim Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:37:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jim Lee

jimmymaii84@yahoo.com

54 Cassandra ct

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Boren Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:05:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Boren Huang
borenhuang@sbcglobal.net
472 33rd ave,
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Xiaomin Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:16:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaomin Huang

borenhuang@sbcglobal.net

472 33rd ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Lori Chiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:21:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lori Chiang
lorichiang52@gmail.com
627-29th Avenue
San Francisco, Ca, California 94121

From: [Nai Bin Gao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:29:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nai Bin Gao
gaonaibin@hotmail.com
4400 Pacheco St
San Francisco Ca, California 94116

From: [Nai Bin Gao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:29:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nai Bin Gao

gaonaibin@hotmail.com

4400 Pacheco St

San Francisco Ca, California 94116

From: [Christina Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:50:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Zhou
christinabzhou@yahoo.com
877 Arguello Dr
San Leandro , California 94578

From: [Jacqueline Nakano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:50:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jacqueline Nakano
jcnakano20@aol.com
750 36th ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Jacqueline Nakano](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:50:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jacqueline Nakano
jcnakano20@aol.com
750 36th ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:54:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
2927 Wawona st
Sf, California 94116

From: [Xue Liang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:57:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xue Liang

artstv@aol.com

1878 22 nd ave

San Francisco , Ca 94116

From: [Xue Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:57:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xue Liang

artstv@aol.com

1878 22 nd ave

San Francisco , Ca 94116

From: [Cecelia Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:57:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecelia Ng
ceceliang@yahoo.com
80 Seneca
San Francisco , Maine P4112

From: [Cecelia Ng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:57:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecelia Ng
ceceliang@yahoo.com
80 Seneca
San Francisco , Maine P4112

From: [Julie Ni](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:05:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Ni
julieni@hotmail.com
2100 Lady Emma Ct
Gold River, California 95670

From: [Sam Seto](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:35:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sam Seto

sam_seto@yahoo.com

469 Noe street

San Francisco , California 94114

From: [Sam Seto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:35:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sam Seto

sam_seto@yahoo.com

469 Noe street

San Francisco , California 94114

From: [Pui Yuen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:40:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking potential housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pui Yuen

let366@yahoo.com

463 sunnydale ave

San Francisco, CA 94134

From: [Sabrina Lui](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:41:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sabrina Lui
sabrinalui@hotmail.com
610 Blair Avenue
Piedmont, California 94611

From: [Jun Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:46:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun Wu

junwu17369@gmail.com

1630 Geneva Ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Susan Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:07:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Chang

sachang99@gmail.com

345 Iris way

Palo Alto , California 94303

From: [David Meng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:07:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Meng
mengqiang_99@yahoo.com
1833 Esprit ct
San jose, California 95131

From: [Fanny Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:08:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fanny Lee

fannyli238@yahoo.com

4889 Manitoba Dr

San Jose, California 95130

From: [Michael Zeng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:08:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Zeng
zengyh@yahoo.com
4396 enterprise place
Fremont , California 94538

From: [Min Fang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:10:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min Fang

ivyfang198@yahoo.com

765 athens street

San Francisco , Ca 94122

From: [Tony Chiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:11:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Chiang
winniechiang63@yahoo.com
7th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Zee Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:11:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zee Yu
zyu2032@gmail.com
50 Fell St
San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Cindy Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:17:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Lee
cindysheung@yahoo.com
2269 star ave
Castro Valley , California 94546

From: [Anna Seid](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:18:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Seid

hcya001@gmail.com

5800 sacramento St

Richmond, California 94804

From: [Lisa Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:20:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Zhou

lisa_yan_zhou@yahoo.com

456 union street

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Allan Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:21:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Allan Li
allanli748@gmail.com
748 Prague street
S f, California 94112

From: [Mei Su Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:22:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Su Liu

mable0826@hotmail.com

1234 20th ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Andrea Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:27:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Chang

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andrea Chang
andreadchang@gmail.com
1000 3 rd St, # 202
San Francisco, California 94158

From: [Phil Chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:27:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Phil Chiu

pcplumg99@gmail.com

2309 poppy

Burlingame , California 94010

From: [Rui Hua Feng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:28:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rui Hua Feng
gabbywu6@yahoo.com
1152 ingerson Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Da Chen Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:31:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Da Chen Li
gabbywu7@yahoo.com
658 Athens st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [A Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:32:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

A Lee

cw6lee@yahoo.com

Lawton 10th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [William Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:33:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

William Wong
junwu173692@gmail.com
117 Miriam st
Daly City, California 94014

From: [Mike Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:33:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mike Liang
mliang@gmail.com
1560 Geneva Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94112

From: [Wei Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:35:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Liu

wtinaliu@gmail.com

966 Wren ct

Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Eva Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:36:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eva Wong
wonge33@yahoo.com
614 Sawyer st
Sf, California 94134

From: [Grace Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:45:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Zhou
gracezhou88@gmail.com
Corvette dr
San jose, California 95129

From: [Kehming Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:49:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Kehming Yang

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kehming Yang
kenyang2@gmail.com
1218 Valerian ct
Sunnyvale, California 94086

From: [Joy Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:52:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joy Lee

joyuk58@hotmail.com

81 Margaret Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jade Park](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:55:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jade Park

piaojing@gmail.com

1353 El Camino Real

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Wei Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:10:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Wu

weiqwu1972@gmail.com

1121 40th # 4407

Emeryville , California 94608

From: [Lucy Fang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:10:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lucy Fang
amazingg88@gmail.com
9660 Galvin Ave
San Diego , California 92126

From: [Lay Yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:10:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lay Yee

imcc528@gmail.com

1722 34th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Alan Hu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:11:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Hu
alanxhu@gmail.com
888 7th St
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Yi Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:12:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi Wong

wkaran@hotmail.com

Leland ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Romi Lucian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:13:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Romi Lucian
romi.lucian@gmail.com
121 Trenton St
SF, California 94133

From: [Lan Zhong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:13:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lan Zhong
lanschulz@yahoo.com
5918 Harbor View
San Pablo , California 94806

From: [Iris Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:15:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Iris Li

irislee0405@hotmail.com

5415 California

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Wistaria Sum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:16:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wistaria Sum

s_wistaria@hotmail.com

875 40th Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Connie Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:17:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Tam

sfconstance@gmail.com

449 gold mine dr

San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Judy Chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:18:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Chiu

juchiu@yahoo.com

537 19th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Zhaoyang Wen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:22:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhaoyang Wen

zhaoyangw@yahoo.com

888 7th Street Unit 5

San Francisco , California 94107

From: [Bill Tang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:25:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Tang
tangb8899@gmail.com
1115 Ellen Ct
Napa, California 94558

From: [Kitty Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:29:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kitty Lee

kittyklee@yahoo.com

1481 Murchison Drive

Mills , California 94030

From: [Heidi Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:32:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Heidi Zheng
zhenghm@hotmail.com
1418 Danby Ave
San Jose , California 95132

From: [Wan Ci Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:37:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wan Ci Chen
wchenci28@gmail.com
141 Elmira Street
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Hwei Luh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:49:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hwei Luh

hwluhyang@yahoo.com

1280 Echo Valley Dr

San Jose, California 95120

From: [Wendy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:49:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Chen
wendywuchen@comcast.net
450 17th Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Alex Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:50:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alex Chen

alexliechen@gmail.com

415 tucker ave

Alameda, California 94501

From: [Ying Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:52:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Zhao

ying.zhao@quantumii.com

1751 19th ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Samantha Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:54:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samantha Lau
shksamantha@gmail.com
158 Beverly st
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Samantha Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:56:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samantha Lau
samilau@yahoo.com
150 Beverly st
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Ernest Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:59:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ernest Leung
ernestleung36@gmail.com
343 lakeshore dr
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Cindy Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:01:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Lee
cynthia323@yahoo.com
1271 23rd Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Eve Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:05:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eve Xu

evexu@hotmail.com

Eli

San Francisco , California 94102

From: [Amanda Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:09:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amanda Li
amandali388@yahoo.com
2131 16th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Thomas Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:09:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Thomas Lau

lau.thomas60@gmail.com

2300 Sloat blvd

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Amy Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:10:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Zhang

lanamyz@gmail.com

37844 Los Arboles Dr.

Fremont, Bayern 94436

From: [Bing Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:12:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bing Lu
blu5354@yahoo.com
2131 16th ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [David Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:16:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Wang
david20168@yahoo.com
1423 45th ave
San Francisco , Ca 94122

From: [Julie Jian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:25:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Jian

juliejian@ymail.com

Juliejian@ymail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [steven.guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:30:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

steven guan

stevenwguan@yahoo.com

28th / Noriega

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Linda Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:35:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Ng
mslindang@yahoo.com
2520 Bantry Ln
South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Connie Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:40:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Wang
cywang25@yahoo.com
30 Santa Ysabel Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Mu Xian Tang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:47:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mu Xian Tang
lisatang728@gmail.com
2519 42nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Janice Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:52:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Lam

lamyugioh@aol.com

3769 Callan Blvd

South San Francisco ca, California 94080

From: [Tony Koo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:01:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Koo

tonykoo7@yahoo.com

2271 Cecilia Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Janice Waung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:04:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Waung
janicewaung@abcglobal.net
8100 Oceanview
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Sarah Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:07:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sarah Chu

sarah.jj.chu@gmail.com

1322 43rd ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Jie Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:10:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jie Li

jcli822@yahoo.com

1074 Stockton St

San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Chris Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:12:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chris Zheng
chingstherapy@att.net
1074 Stockton St
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Tiffany Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:13:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Zheng
tiffanyz@gmail.com
1074 Stockton St
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Di Fun Tong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:18:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Di Fun Tong
deefuntong@yahoo.com
441 Yerba Buena Ave
San Francisco , California 94127

From: [yiki.xian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:21:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yiki xian

linxian@yahoo.com

45874 bridge port pl

Fremont, California 94539

From: [leon.s.li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:21:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

leon s. li
leonli4873@sbcglobal.net
374 Lisbon street
San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Cecilia Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:23:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecilia Yu

tecbo@yahoo.com

P.o. box 580103

Elk Grove, California 95758

From: [Annie Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:24:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Chu
annie7chu2003@yahoo.com
21Ave and Irving St
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94122

From: [Xian hua Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:25:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xian hua Huang
leonli4873@sbcglobal.net
374 Lisbon street
San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Jack Y](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:27:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jack Y

mib2_0@yahoo.com

1546 meadow ridge cir

San jose, California 95131

From: [Rong Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:31:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rong Li
gloriali@sbcglobal.net
1439 California drive
Burlingame, California 94010

From: [Alson Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:31:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alson Wong
asam415@aol.com
1536 Leavenworth St
San Francisco , California 94109

From: [Alson Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:31:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alson Wong
asam415@aol.com
1536 Leavenworth St
San Francisco , California 94109

From: [Xiaofeng Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:32:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaofeng Chen
xiaochen0522@gmail.com
297 maynard st
Sf, Ca94112

From: [Karen Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:32:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Lin

yutianhuang007@gmail.com

318 Bowdoin st

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [John Zeng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:57:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Zeng
johnzeng@hotmail.com
2456 Franciscan Ct
Santa Clara , California 95051

From: [Ivan Soon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:58:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivan Soon

isoon76@gmail.com

2480 39th Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Huanyu Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:04:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huanyu Li

huanyuivor@yahoo.com

315 Munich Sat

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Yichun Ding](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:04:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yichun Ding
yichunding@yahoo.com
10082 Imperial Ave
Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Huanyu Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:04:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huanyu Li

huanyuivor@yahoo.com

315 Munich Sat

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Hongbing Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:05:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hongbing Wang
hbhwangwang@gmail.com
307 Lomita Ave
Millbrae, California 94030

From: [Marilyn Kwan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:05:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Marilyn Kwan

popoquan@yahoo.com

21st Ave / Judah St

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Danny Ruan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:08:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danny Ruan

qruan@sbcglobal.net

346 28th Ave

San Francisco , Ca94121

From: [Hong Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:09:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Wang
annahwang@yahoo.com
1889 Tripoli Ave
San Jose , Ca 95122

From: [Wendy Cai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:10:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Cai
wencaigws@aim.com
2335 32nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Jessica Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:13:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Li
wenamu@gmail.com
2335 32nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Yi na Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:13:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi na Chen

yinachensf@yahoo.com

261 Beverly Street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [karena.kong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

karena kong

karena.kong@gmail.com

667 Lakeview Avenue

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [karena.kong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:18:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

karena kong

karena.kong@gmail.com

667 Lakeview Avenue

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Spencer Luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:19:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Spencer Luo
spencerluo@yahoo.com
45 Hahn St
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Xiuying Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:23:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiuying Lei
yanlei84@yahoo.com
856 Brunswick street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Joyce Fang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:24:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Fang
joycefang@icloud.com
254 Teddy Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Sandy Luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:25:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Luo
sandy88luo@gmail.com
45 Hahn Street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Kevin Pei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:26:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Pei

kevinpei2001@gmail.com

3628 Norwood Ave

San Jose , California 95148

From: [Bi Yan Ye](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:30:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bi Yan Ye

biyanye1987@163.com

Aleman Blvd

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Gary Shiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:32:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gary Shiu

gshiu@hotmail.com

150 Allison St

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jun Jie Kuang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:40:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun Jie Kuang
fabbeyo926@gmail.com
621 Velasco Avenue
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [John Doi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:41:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Doi
toprol25@gmail.com
32 South wood dr
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Roger Xiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:43:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Roger Xiang
rogerxiang90@gmail.com
481 2nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Nick Chow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:46:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nick Chow

spmer89@gmail.com

13634 Howen Dr

Saratoga, California 95070

From: [Jenny Mo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:55:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Mo
calljebbyno@yahoo.com
2843 Ingalls
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Tina Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:59:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Wong
timom9@yahoo.com
150 Havenside
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Tim Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:02:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tim Wu
timeu962@yahoo.com
2843 Ingalls St
San Francisco 94124, California 94124

From: [Ying Foster](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:06:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Foster
yinghino@hotmail.com
980 Rancho Prieta Rd.
Los Gatos, California 95033

From: [Lisa Zeng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:10:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Zeng

lisazeng415@gmail.com

481 2nd Ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Ming Lim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:14:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Lim

limfrankie2003@yahoo.com

1475 Jamestown Dr

Cupertino , California 95013

From: [Qi Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:14:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qi Li

qili_guan@yahoo.com

30620 Shepherd Hills dr

Diamond Bar , California 91789

From: [Jean Zhen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:15:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jean Zhen

zhen.jean@yahoo.com

2071 19th ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Cindy Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:18:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Li
warrenj.li@yahoo.com
33 Dunsmuir st
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Wendy Wei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:19:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Wei

wendyweiran@gmail.com

5150 Diamond Heights Blvd

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Xiong Jian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:19:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiong Jian

jx94112@gmail.com

368 Stratford dr.

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Wei Tian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:27:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Tian

edward.tian@gmail.com

18920 Cyril pl

Saratoga, California 95070

From: [Yung Chien](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:34:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yung Chien

yung.chien@hotmail.com

78 Lydia Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94124

From: [Yuanxuan Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:37:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuanxuan Wang
zellux@gmail.com
115 San Juan Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Wilson Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:37:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wilson Leung
wil@gmail.com
135 th Ave
San Leandro , California 94578

From: [wei.chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:40:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

wei chen

chen.wei1338@gmail.com

1742 fitzgerald avenue

san francisco, California 94124

From: [Irene Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:41:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Irene Chen
robertmchen@yahoo.com
1306 34th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Julia Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:42:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia Yu

julia.yu415@gmail.com

294 Raymond avenue

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Robert Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:42:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Robert Chen
robertmchen@yahoo.com
1306 34th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Alice Chung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:42:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alice Chung
ac@gmail.com
25 th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: kwok.so
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:50:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

kwok so

kwokchunso@gmail.com

285 Seneca Ave

San Francisco, California 94112-3219

From: [Mao Ye](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:51:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mao Ye

m.daniel.ye@gmail.com

1140 Brussels Street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Ming Jia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:51:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Jia

jjamf2f@gmail.com

645 Ashbourne Drive

Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [Shirley Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:53:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Lin
shirleyrose168@gmail.com
706 Standiford Ave
Modesto, California 95350

From: [LAN WU](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:54:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

LAN WU

cswulan@gmail.com

360 Guerrero Street #305

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94103

From: [Michael Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:57:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Wong

Mwong@yahoo.com

1388 sitter

San Francisco , California 94109

From: [Magdalen Cheng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:05:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Magdalen Cheng
mcheng.sf@gmail.com
2049 23rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Magdalen Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:05:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Magdalen Cheng
mcheng.sf@gmail.com
2049 23rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Helen Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:07:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen Zhao
zhaohelen@yahoo.com
19505 Christina way
Cerritos , Colorado 90793

From: [ZhenWei Liao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:09:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ZhenWei Liao
weiliao8579@gmail.com
1220 La Playa St, #208
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Paklee Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:13:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paklee Wong
canton89@gmail.com
150 Havenside Drive
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Cynthia Yip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:16:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cynthia Yip
cyip67@yahoo.com
294 raymond avenue
San francisco, California 94134

From: [John Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:24:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Li
johnbody10@hotmail.com
835 rolf st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Sharon J](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:25:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sharon J

swtu_98@yahoo.com

Teddy Ave at alpha St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Sharon J](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:25:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sharon J

swtu_98@yahoo.com

Teddy Ave at alpha St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Ken L](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:30:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ken L

LKK97@yahoo.com

P.O. Box 880658

San Francisco, California 94188

From: [Anna Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:33:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Huang

huang4152000@gmail.com

1435 30th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Anna Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:33:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Huang

huang4152000@gmail.com

1435 30th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Fei Yan Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:40:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fei Yan Liu

feikong@sbcglobal.net

316 Peninsula Avenue

San Francisco, Ca, California 94134

From: [Annie Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:43:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Yu
annieyu1016@gmail.com
1707 43rd
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Annie Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:43:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Yu

annieyu1016@gmail.com

1707 43rd

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Cai Chiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:44:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cai Chiang
cchiang678@gmail.com
526 Campbell ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Shirley Wei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:47:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Wei
shirleywei94@gmail.com
762 Colby St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Yao Dong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:54:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yao Dong

yaodongdavis@yahoo.com

2316caravaggio Dr

Davis , California 95618

From: [Jenny Qi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:56:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Qi
maps5731@gmail.com
23rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Magdalen Cheng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:58:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Magdalen Cheng
cheng4rent@gmail.com
2049 23 Av
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Magdalen Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:58:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Magdalen Cheng
cheng4rent@gmail.com
2049 23 Av
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Rui Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:59:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rui Zhang
crz8968@gmail.com
8460 Peninsula Way
Newark CA, California 94560

From: [Yu Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:59:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Lee

tomyu211@yahoo.com

133 Irvington street

Daly city, California 94014

From: [Lisa Baltodano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:13:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Baltodano

lisa.baltodano@yahoo.com

8195 Primoak Way

Elk Grove, California 95758

From: [Karen Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:17:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Huang

th_fashion@yahoo.com

13707 S Budlong Ave,

Gardena,, California 90247

From: [Hongping Chai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:24:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hongping Chai

hongpingchai@yahoo.com

6201 Main Branch Rd

San Ramon, California 94582

From: [Hedda Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:25:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hedda Wong
heddakok@gmsil.com
284 Leland Ave
S.F., California 94134

From: [Philip Z](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:25:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Philip Z
pzzhang1@gmail.com
235 Stonecress st
Gilroy , California 95020

From: [Binxuan Xia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:28:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Binxuan Xia
xiabinxuan@gmail.com
1161 Highland Ter.
Fremont, California 94539

From: [Sheng Yen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:28:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sheng Yen

wwinnieadrian@yahoo.com

12421 Canyonlands dr

Rancho Cordova , California 95742

From: [Lina Bei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:28:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lina Bei
shoping6688@gmail.com
Rio tejo way
Elk Grove, California 95757

From: [Raj Suresh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:34:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raj Suresh

raj.suresh95131@gmail.com

2011 nunes dr

san jose, California 95131

From: [Liya Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:38:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liya Ma

liyamalym@gmail.com

1443 34th ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Liya Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:39:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liya Ma

liyamalym@gmail.com

1443 34th ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Denise Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:41:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Denise Lee
sfluckyred@yahoo.com
2286 28 Th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Denise Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:41:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Denise Lee
sfluckyred@yahoo.com
2286 28 Th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Qiu ci Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:42:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qiu ci Huang
beckyhuang70@yahoo.com
147 Ralston st
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [jenny.liao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:44:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jenny liao

zheminliao@yahoo.com

814 5street

woodland, California 95679

From: [marianne Schier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:45:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

marianne Schier
bacisf@Yahoo.com
376 Aguello Blvd
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Becky Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:45:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Becky Yu

beckyyu0822@gmail.com

90 Carr St

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Becky Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:47:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Becky Yu

beckyyu0822@gmail.com

90 Carr St

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Becky Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:49:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Becky Yu

beckyyu0822@gmail.com

90 Carr St

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Julie Yau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:52:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Yau

becky_design@yahoo.com

Ingerson and Jennings

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Julie Yau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:52:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Yau

becky_design@yahoo.com

Ingerson and Jennings

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Jian Pan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:58:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jian Pan

joycepan2009@yahoo.com

7301 Geary Blvd

San Francisco, California 94121-1633

From: [Jian Pan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:58:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jian Pan

joycepan2009@yahoo.com

7301 Geary Blvd

San Francisco, California 94121-1633

From: [Anderson Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:00:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anderson Chen
anderson1328@yahoo.com
1112 Sanchez Ave
Burligame, California 94010

From: [Anderson Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:00:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anderson Chen
anderson1328@yahoo.com
1112 Sanchez Ave
Burligame, California 94010

From: allenkong2007@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:02:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

allenkong2007@yahoo.com

7301 Geary Blvd.

San Francisco, California 94121

From: allenkong2007@yahoo.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:02:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

allenkong2007@yahoo.com

7301 Geary Blvd.

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Yow Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:06:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yow Liu

jeanl415@yahoo.com

252 Gold Mine Dr

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Yongtao Lian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:08:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yongtao Lian
winterlian168@gmail.com
1817 Bonita Rd
San Pablo, California 94806

From: [Lian yuan Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:13:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lian yuan Liu

lianyliu63@gmail.com

950madrid st

San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Cindy Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:14:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Liu
cindyre@gmail.com
215 rose dr
Milpitas, 9535

From: [JianPing Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:14:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

JianPing Lin

jian_ping_lin@yahoo.com

275 Waterville street

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Wang on Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:24:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wang on Wong

wilsonwong976@gmail.com

131 Chicago way

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Wang on Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:24:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wang on Wong
wilsonwong976@gmail.com
131 Chicago way
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jerry Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:25:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jerry Li
ljenica@sbcglobal.net
23rd ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jerry Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:25:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jerry Li
ljenica@sbcglobal.net
23rd ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Na Xie](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:26:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Na Xie

herbylam@sbcglobal.net

2074 36th Ave.

SF, California 94116

From: [Na Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:26:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Na Xie

herbylam@sbcglobal.net

2074 36th Ave.

SF, California 94116

From: [Siu sim Lai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:32:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Siu sim Lai

lisalai88@yahoo.com

1372 palos verdes dr.

San mateo, California 94403

From: [KIMBERLY Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:34:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

KIMBERLY Wong
felixwong888@yahoo.com
8473 lavender way
Elk Grove , California 95624

From: [Benson Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:42:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Benson Louie

benlm5@yahoo.com

572 Arguello Blvd

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Ying Su](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:42:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Su

ysu12255@yahoo.com

7613 balmoral way

San ramon, Ca94582

From: [Grace Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:44:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Lee

grace.weiyin.lee@gmail.com

1251 Turk St. # 410

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Grace Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:44:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Lee

grace.weiyin.lee@gmail.com

1251 Turk St. # 410

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Bin Gao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:45:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bin Gao

gaonaibin@gmeal.com

64 Sylvan Dr

San Francisco Ca, California 94132

From: [Bin Gao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:45:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bin Gao

gaonaibin@gmeal.com

64 Sylvan Dr

San Francisco Ca, California 94132

From: [Elaina Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:46:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaina Wang
helenray6@gmail.com
1010 16th street
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Anna Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:55:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Wu

yvonne01@gmail.com

13102 Andy st

Cerritos , California 90703

From: [Anna Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:56:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Wu

yvonne01@gmail.com

13102 Andy st

Cerritos , California 90703

From: [Daisy Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:08:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Daisy Lei
daisylei@yahoo.com
815 Garfield Street
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94105

From: [Jeffrey Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:08:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeffrey Ho

jhoconstruction@gmail.com

St

Sf, California 94134

From: [Cynthia Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:09:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cynthia Zhang
cxzhang4728@yahoo.com
12270 Somerville Dr.
Saratoga, California 95070

From: [yone_wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:16:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yone wong

yonechio@yahoo.com

457 Lakeshire Dr.

Daly City, California 94015

From: [SIMON CHIO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:19:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

SIMON CHIO

simonchio@yahoo.com

457 Lakeshire Drive

Daly City, California 94015

From: [ut chio cheong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:20:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ut chio cheong
utchio88@yahoo.com
457 Lakeshire Drive
daly City, California 94015

From: [Ina Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:21:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ina Zhu

helen8798lucky@hotmail.com

1430 30th ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Yuexiu Su](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:30:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuexiu Su

suyiexiu@hotmail.com

667 Paris St

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Simon Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:31:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Simon Yang

ysimon945@yahoo.com

12270 Somervy Dr

Saratoga, California 95070

From: [Grace Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:39:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Lee

grace.weiyin.lee@gmail.com

1251 Turk St. # 410

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Grace Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:39:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Lee

grace.weiyin.lee@gmail.com

1251 Turk St. # 410

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Eric Chio](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:43:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eric Chio
seanchio@yahoo.com
457 Lakeshire Dr.
Daly City, California 94015

From: [Benson Hue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:43:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Benson Hue

benson@moniserv.com

1600 Noriega

San Francisco, California CA

From: [Lily Fu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:46:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Fu

anniestcustomdesigns@yahoo.com

41 exeter st

Sf , California 94124

From: [Yvonne Ip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:49:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yvonne Ip
yvonneip3393@gmail.com
1238 24th Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94122

From: [Zhi Guang Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:50:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhi Guang Zhou

zhiguangzhou20@gmail.com

1315 Polk St. # 505

San Francisco , California 94109

From: [Zhi Guang Zhou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:50:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhi Guang Zhou

zhiguangzhou20@gmail.com

1315 Polk St. # 505

San Francisco , California 94109

From: [Manna Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:54:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manna Li
924mli@gmail.com
18 Ramsell street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Manna Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:54:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manna Li

924mli@gmail.com

18 Ramsell street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Nieves Constancio](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:59:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nieves Constancio
benconstancio1948@gmail.com
7401 west pkwy
Sacramento , California 95823

From: [Iris Quan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:06:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Iris Quan

irisquan11@gmail.com

2036 sorrelwood ct

San Ramon , California 94582

From: [Emily Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:11:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Lee

taichixiaoli@gmail.com

18th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Ming Hu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:12:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Hu

hukmj@yahoo.com

30 Puffin ct.

Sacramento, California 95834

From: [Jennifer Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:21:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jennifer Ho

jlh580_2000@yahoo.com

176 elder Ave

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Samantha Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:26:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samantha Chang

libraschang@yahoo.com

1786 28th Ave

San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Manna Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:28:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manna Li

924mli@gmail.com

18 Ramsell street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Manna Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:28:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manna Li

924mli@gmail.com

18 Ramsell street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Sasha DePari](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:33:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sasha DePari

ee0809@yahoo.com

11th Ave

San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Jingjing Shi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:45:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jinqing Shi

jessihao@gmail.com

30 Lydia ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Jingjing Shi](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:45:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jinqing Shi

jessihao@gmail.com

30 Lydia ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Vincent Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:46:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vincent Chen

chenj877@yahoo.com

Italy

SF , California 94112

From: [Vincent Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:50:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vincent Chen

chenj877@yahoo.com

Italy

SF , California 94112

From: [Charlotte Dewar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:50:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Charlotte Dewar
charlotte@asiasublime.com
254 Boulder St
Nevada City, California 95958

From: [Elaine Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:53:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Leong
elaineleong28@yahoo.com
2408 26th Ave
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94116

From: [Gang Shi Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:54:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gang Shi Li
gangshi530@yahoo.com
1515 Benton St #C
Alameda , Ca 94501

From: [Hequn Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:58:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hequn Xu
wenjietang2017@hotmail.com
178 wilson street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Stacey Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:58:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stacey Wu

stacey17wu@hotmail.com

La Campana Way

Sacramento , California 95822

From: [Rui Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:00:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rui Yang
ryang667@gmail.com
667 Paris St
San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Victor Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:08:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely, Vic

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Victor Chan

chvictorchan@gmail.com

63 Navajo Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jinger Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:08:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jinger Tan

nikitan38658204@hotmail.com

171 Ledyard st

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Eason Ko](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:12:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eason Ko

EASONKO1004@GMAIL.COM

15558 TRACY ST

SAN LORENZO, California 94580

From: [Tiffany Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:16:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Liu
yanxieliu@gmail.com
672 Brussels street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Tiffany Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:17:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Liu
yanxieliu@gmail.com
672 Brussels street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Stanley Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:18:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stanley Li

sweethomeinca@gmail.com

5008 Wagon Wheel away

Antioch, California 94531

From: [Theresa Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:23:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Theresa Chan
xpchan@hotmail.com
Jules Ave. / Grafton
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jimmy Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:26:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jimmy Lee

jjcoinc@yahoo.com

8 Upland DR

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94127

From: [Sue Ouyang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:33:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sue Ouyang

bingquanli@yahoo.com

31st Avenue

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [yongqin wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:33:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yongqin wang

happyness34@yahoo.com

3327 hartselle way

Sacramento, California 95827

From: [Sunny Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:35:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sunny Xie

sunnyxie2000@hotmail.com

norfolk and 2nd ave

San Mateo, California 94401

From: [Junhai Bai](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:36:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Junhai Bai

hbai3@mail.ccsf.edu

266 Ney St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Junhai Bai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:36:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Junhai Bai

hbai3@mail.ccsf.edu

266 Ney St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tina Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:39:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Wong

tina@tinacwong.com

Taraval St

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Selena Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:41:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Selena Chu
selenachu10@gmail.com
2330 41st Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jenny Feng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:44:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Feng
jennychinafeng@gmail.com
39540 Pardee ct
Fremont , California 94538

From: [Jane Zuo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:46:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Zuo

janezz99@yahoo.com

34320 Blackstone Way

Fremont , California 94555

From: [Johnny Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:51:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Johnny lee
amylee88@gmail.com
2111 35th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sissy Riley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:56:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sissy Riley

Sissy@SissyRiley.com

718 Laurel Ave

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Sissy Riley](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:56:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sissy Riley

Sissy@SissyRiley.com

718 Laurel Ave

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [genli Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:02:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

genli Li
genli0822@gmail.com
2902 jennings St
san francisco , California 94124

From: [genli Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:02:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

genli Li
genli0822@gmail.com
2902 jennings St
san francisco , California 94124

From: [Wen Ping Fei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:07:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wen Ping Fei
wenpingfei@gmail.com
1555 31st Ave
San Francisco , Ca94122

From: [Mei Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:08:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Liu
liu_rumei@yahoo.com
5647 Portrush pl
San Jose , California 95138

From: [Nancy Gee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:12:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nancy Gee

nancy368@gmail.com

15 junior ter

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Nancy Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:12:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nancy Gee

nancy368@gmail.com

15 junior ter

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Boya L](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:14:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Boya L

luboya92@gmail.com

1617 34th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Meiru Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:16:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meiru Liu

liurumei@gmail.com

2049McKenzie pl

San Jose , California 95131

From: [Ying Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:18:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Zhang

zhangying798@hotmail.com

5662 conifer dr

La palma, California 90623

From: [Wei Hsu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:20:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Hsu
anniecheng777@gmail.com
28th ave
San Francisco , Ca94116

From: [Ming Yuan Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:23:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Yuan Zhang
mingzhang1523@gmail.com
232 Wildwood Avenue
Piedmont, California 94610

From: [Michael Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:23:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

If city can pay landlord lost then we can discuss it.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Lu

abe_lu@yahoo.com

2968 19th Ave

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Yan Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:26:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Zhu

petty903@hotmail.com

11 Leo St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Wee Jung Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:27:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wee Jung Chan
weejung56@gmail.com
522 23RD Avenue
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Sam Dong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:28:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sam Dong
samdong@gmail.com
2340 Balboa St
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Nizar Elmashni](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:30:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nizar Elmashni
nizchamp@aol.com
2370 evergreen dr
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Ching Chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:32:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ching Chiu
judychiu43@gmail.com
121 Laura street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Joseph Riley](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Riley

jriley.Millbrae@gmail.com

718 Laurel Ave

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Joseph Riley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Riley

jriley.Millbrae@gmail.com

718 Laurel Ave

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Kam Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:41:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kam Li

kamli3044@gmail.com

1188 Via Manzanitas

San Lorenzo, California 94580

From: [Betty Hom](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:42:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Betty Hom

bettyajoy@yahoo.com

169 Serravista Avenue

Daly City, California 94015

From: [Amy Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:44:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Lee
1140Clay@gmail.com
1140 Clay St
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Andree Jiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:47:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andree Jiang
andreemisc@gmail.com
47 DUBOCE ave
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Donald Gibbs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:50:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Donald Gibbs
dagibbs@ucdavis.edu
45 Park Hill Ave. #4
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Doreen Deng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:50:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Doreen Deng
rxingh@yahoo.com
Po box 410174
San Francisco, California 94141

From: [Simon Leo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:00:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Simon Leo
simonleo88@gmail.com
Felton
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Qing Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:05:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qing Lin

linqing2004@gmail.com

6412 berwickshire Way

San Jose , California 95120

From: [Mora Wheeler](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:10:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mora Wheeler

fam87@outlook.com

687 bright st

San Francisco , California 94142

From: [Mora Wheeler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:10:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mora Wheeler

fam87@outlook.com

687 bright st

San Francisco , California 94142

From: [Wallis Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:14:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wallis Wong
wallis8838@yahoo.com
12 Bitting Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Alan Owyang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:17:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Owyang
alan.owyang@gmail.com
1141 Montgomery St
San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Meiyuan Xiao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:19:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meiyuan Xiao
amyxiao465@gmail.com
465 Sawyer Street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Yi Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:19:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi Lu

yipingluwang@yahoo.com

Crest Rd & Avenida De Calma

Rancho Palos Verdes, California 90275

From: [Winnie So](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:24:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie So

locle2128@yahoo.com

586 Pineview dr

San jose, California 95117

From: [Jennifer Sun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:26:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jennifer Sun

huisunsh@yahoo.com

610 Funston Ave

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Shaoming Kuang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:34:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shaoming Kuang

shaomkuang@yahoo.com

627 Naples st.

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Joe Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:35:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joe Chen

jabc888@gmail.com

260 Loyola Dr

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [minxi.liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:36:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

minxi liu

minxiliu@sbcglobal.net

812 5th ave. #d

Oakland, California 94606

From: [Aaron Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:38:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Aaron Lee
cki.aaronlee@gmail.com
1132 Carpentier Street
San Leandro, California 94577

From: [Lu Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:39:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lu Wang
wanglurg@gmail.com
4667 Whitwood Ln
San Jose, California 95130

From: [aeboken](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: COMMENTING on Land Use and Transportation Committee Agenda Item #3 Adopt the Hazards and Climate Resilience Plan as the 2020 Local Hazard Mitigation Plan File #200419
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:42:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I would like to follow up on my previous comments with the following:

- One of the geological hazards listed is dam or reservoir failure. Since Sunset Reservoir is on the side of a hill, it's technically classified as a dam. Although north basin has been seismically retrofitted, south basin hasn't.
- One of the combustion-related hazards is large urban fire. The dedicated non-potable water Emergency Firefighting Water System hasn't been expanded to the Westside.

Eileen Boken
Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Chen Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:42:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chen Lee

gracelee288@yahoo.com

1132 Carpentier Street

San Leandro, California 94577

From: [Qing Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:44:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qing Yang

qingyang.uf@gmail.com

20233 Glasgow Dr

Saratoga , California 95070

From: [angela.Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:47:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

angela Chen

angelachen32@yahoo.com

77 seneca avenue

San francisco, California 94112

From: [Anna Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:48:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Chen
anche415@gmail.com
77 Seneca Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ru Fang Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:53:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ru Fang Li
yw986@yahoo.com
2459 42th Ave.
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Ru Fang Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:53:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ru Fang Li
yw986@yahoo.com
2459 42th Ave.
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Christina Yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:53:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Yee

chrisfyee@yahoo.com

67 Via Aspero

Alamo, California 94507

From: [Christina Yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:53:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Yee

chrisfyee@yahoo.com

67 Via Aspero

Alamo, California 94507

From: [Jason Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:54:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Chen
jasonchen684@yahoo.com
77 Seneca Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Julia chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:54:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia chu

j6julia@yahoo.com

1710 32nd ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Cindy Mei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:55:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Mei
cindyme96@yahoo.com
2819 Pacheco
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Cindy Mei](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:55:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Mei
cindyme96@yahoo.com
2819 Pacheco
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Manling Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:56:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manling Chen
bamboohoo@gmail.com
140 Baltimore Way
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jenny Chiu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:57:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Chiu
drjenny@hotmail.com
323 6th Ave
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Jenny Chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:57:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Chiu
drjenny@hotmail.com
323 6th Ave
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Pearson Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:00:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

WFH has already put a lot of pressure of finding replacement tenants as more and more people are moving out of the city plus decreasing in rent, landlord are facing very difficult time right now specially for a new (2019) accidental landlord like me.

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small “mom and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pearson Huang
pearson.hiang@gmail.com
519-521 5th ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Cris Ye](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:01:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cris Ye
ye.yufeng@yahoo.com
Newcomb Ave & Phelps St
San Francisco , California 94124

From: drjenny@hotmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:02:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

drjenny@hotmail.com

323 6th Ave

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [april.huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:02:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

april huang
aprilhuang@live.com
1130 silliman st
san francisco , California 94134

From: [christine.yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:04:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

christine yee

christineyee27@gmail.com

761 1/2 yale st

los angeles, California 90012

From: [Susan Mai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:05:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Mai
susanmai99@gmail.com
Farragut Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [ali_ahmadi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:06:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ali ahmadi

cyee09@icloud.com

761 1/2 yale st

los angeles, California 90012

From: [Seewan Chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:08:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Seewan Chiu

imseewan@gmail.com

323 6th ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Vivian Jiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:09:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vivian Jiang

vivian_venus@yahoo.com

25685 Fernhill

Los Altos Hills, California 94024

From: [J So](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:14:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

J So

socjanet@gmail.com

285 Seneca Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Taimei Yeh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:14:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Taimei Yeh
taimeiyeh@yahoo.com
1422 Rosalie Drive
Santa Clara , California 95050

From: [Ken Chun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:24:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ken Chun

kenchun@yahoo.com

1025 Alameda de las Pulgas #228

Belmont, California 94002

From: [Choi Mei Seto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:24:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Choi Mei Seto
seto1520@yahoo.com
55 Tucker Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94134

From: [Anderson Seto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:28:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anderson Seto
seto1520@Yahoo.com
55 Tucker Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94134

From: [Dayuan Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:34:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dayuan Lu
dayuan.lu@yahoo.com
646 Lakeview Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Angela Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:37:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angela Zhang
wzgold88@gmail.com
3502 pinnacle ct,
San Jose, California 95132

From: [Cindy Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:39:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Wang
cindyx2001@yahoo.com
895 Rolph Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [weisheng.guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:40:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

weisheng guan

guanjason7@gmail.com

335 hanover st

san francisco, California 94112

From: [Denis Deng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:46:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Denis Deng

deng7005@yahoo.com

Genebern

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Samantha Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:46:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samantha Lee
rawriateyou20@gmail.com
Rivera st. and 16th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Xin Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:50:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xin Tan

floratan88@icloud.com

706 Mendell St

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Zhuzhuan Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:53:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhuzhuan Li
qqjohn8@gmail.com
2443 22nd ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Emily Sun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:53:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Sun
emilyrjs.hk@gmail.com
1335 39th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Iris Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:54:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Iris Wang

irisproperty@yahoo.com

470 S Lexington Dr

Folsom , California 95630

From: [Danny Ton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:54:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danny Ton
imdannyton@gmail.com
327 6th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Emily Sun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:54:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Sun

emilyrjs.hk@gmail.com

1335 39th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Cindy Pang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:54:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Pang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Delta st
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Alice Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:54:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alice Chu

ac123412003@yahoo.com

2885 Alice ct

Fremont , California 94539

From: [Ruijing Sun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:55:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ruijing Sun
emilys.8800@gmail.com
1335 39th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Irene Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:56:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Irene Yu

iyu8278@gmail.com

383-29th Ave

San Francisco, Ca 94121

From: [Ying Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:59:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Li
nanhai10@yahoo.com
20 th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Tony Pang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Pang
Tony93@yahoo.com
Campbell
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Xing-na Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:01:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xing na Wang

xingna@yahoo.com

650 vienna street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Edward Pang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:02:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edward Pang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Teddy Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Jacky Pang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jacky Pang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Campbell Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Sui Pang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:06:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sui Pang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Delta Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Jeffrey Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:07:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeffrey Chen

jeff@gospg.com

1763 Roberta Dr

San Mateo, California 94403

From: [Shirley Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:08:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Chang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Campbell Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Edward Pang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:10:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edward Pang
thenameedward@gmail.com
36th ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Ashley Trung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:11:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ashley Trung
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Teddy Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Sarah Shang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:12:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sarah Shang
sshang@yahoo.com
Dorado Terrace
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Sarah Shang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:12:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sarah Shang

sshang@yahoo.com

Dorado Terrace

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Stephanie Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:13:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stephanie Chen

Stephanie.wp.chen@gmail.com

1453 170th ave

Hayward, California 94541

From: [Stephanie Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:13:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stephanie Chen

Stephanie.wp.chen@gmail.com

1453 170th ave

Hayward, California 94541

From: [Hui Rong Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Rong Li
gangshi530@yahoo.com
3018 Delaware St
Oakland , Ca94602

From: [Hui Rong Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Rong Li
gangshi530@yahoo.com
3018 Delaware St
Oakland , Ca94602

From: [Luke Taylor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Luke Taylor
oaklegalaid@yahoo.com
2330 23th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Luke Taylor](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Luke Taylor
oaklegalaid@yahoo.com
2330 23th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Tisa Vo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:41:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tisa Vo
tisa.vo@gmail.com
1112 Masonic Avenue
San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Jerry Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:43:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jerry Li
redfox3270@yahoo.com
41461 Denise St
Fremont, California 94539-4559

From: [Jerry Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:43:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jerry Li
redfox3270@yahoo.com
41461 Denise St
Fremont, California 94539-4559

From: [sue liao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:47:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

sue liao

liao_s@hotmail.com

431 faxon Ave

san francisco, ca 94112

From: [Jessica Song](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:47:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Song
songding@yahoo.com
2543 Viewridge dr
Chino hills, California 91709

From: [Jessica Song](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:47:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Song
songding@yahoo.com
2543 Viewridge dr
Chino hills, California 91709

From: [Lishan Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:51:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lishan Chen
chenlishan2006@gmail.com
1275 Manzanita Drive
Millbrae ar, California 94030

From: [Lishan Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:51:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lishan Chen
chenlishan2006@gmail.com
1275 Manzanita Drive
Millbrae ar, California 94030

From: [Lu Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:15:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lu Yu

ylu2097@yahoo.com

2559 30th Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Lu Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:15:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lu Yu

ylu2097@yahoo.com

2559 30th Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [DONGPING Ye](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:20:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

DONGPING Ye
necolye@hotmail.com
1247 37th Ave
SAN FRANCISCO , Ca 94122

From: [DONGPING Ye](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:20:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

DONGPING Ye
necolye@hotmail.com
1247 37th Ave
SAN FRANCISCO , Ca 94122

From: [Joanna Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:25:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanna Lei

joannalmlei@yahoo.com

P O Box 27485

San Francisco, Ca 94127-0485

From: [Joanna Lei](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:25:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanna Lei

joannalmlei@yahoo.com

P O Box 27485

San Francisco, Ca 94127-0485

From: [Mei Jiang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Jiang
meijiang18@yahoo.com
580 9th street
Oakley, CA 94607

From: [Mei Jiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Jiang

meijiang18@yahoo.com

580 9th street

Oaky, CA 94607

From: [Yan Yuan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Yuan

yanyuan.cn@gmail.com

2165 48th ave

Oakland, California 94601

From: [Yan Yuan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Yuan

yanyuan.cn@gmail.com

2165 48th ave

Oakland, California 94601

From: [Becky Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Becky Lee

blee42003@yahoo.com

1658 26TH Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Jessica Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:38:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Yu

yuanyu73@hotmail.com

Benton st.

Santa Clara , California 95051

From: [Rose Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:41:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rose Lee

rose.lee.ad@gmail.com

1338 Arleen Ave

Sunnyvale, California 94087-3520

From: [Rose Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:41:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rose Lee

rose.lee.ad@gmail.com

1338 Arleen Ave

Sunnyvale, California 94087-3520

From: [Jing Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:59:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Huang

jinghuang616@gmail.com

1644 Via Fortuna

San Jose , California 95129

From: [Jing Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:59:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Huang

jinghuang616@gmail.com

1644 Via Fortuna

San Jose , California 95129

From: [Judy Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:14:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Liu

judyliu2008@yahoo.com

3918 Boulder Canyon Dr

Castro Valley , California 94552

From: [Judy Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:14:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Liu

judyliu2008@yahoo.com

3918 Boulder Canyon Dr

Castro Valley , California 94552

From: [Xuequn Lin](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:31:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xuequn Lin

linxuequn2@gmail.com

233 Randolph St.

San Francisco , California 94132-3117

From: [Xuequn Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:31:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xuequn Lin

linxuequn2@gmail.com

233 Randolph St.

San Francisco , California 94132-3117

From: [Xian Yu Zhao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:41:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xian Yu Zhao

linxuequn2@gmail.com

233 Randolph St.

San Francisco , California 94132-3117

From: [Xian Yu Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:41:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xian Yu Zhao

linxuequn2@gmail.com

233 Randolph St.

San Francisco , California 94132-3117

From: [Kevin Sui](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:57:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Sui

ksui@rocketmail.com

Sneath Lane

San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Kevin Sui](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:57:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Sui

ksui@rocketmail.com

Sneath Lane

San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Sophia Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:08:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Chen
csophia2088@gmail.com
867 47th Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Sophia Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:08:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Chen
csophia2088@gmail.com
867 47th Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Sophia Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:09:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Chen
csophia2088@gmail.com
867 47th Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Sophia Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:09:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Chen

csophia2088@gmail.com

867 47th Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Edmund Kwan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:02:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edmund Kwan
ekwan00@msn.com
225 22nd Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Edmund Kwan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:03:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edmund Kwan
ekwan00@msn.com
225 22nd Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Ling Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:19:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ling Liu
mmlingliu@gmail.com
26490 Mockingbird Ln
Hayward, California 94544

From: [Ling Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:19:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ling Liu
mmlingliu@gmail.com
26490 Mockingbird Ln
Hayward, California 94544

From: [Austin Dang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:21:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Austin Dang

austidang415@gmail.com

320 Cambridge st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Dennis Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:37:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dennis Wong
chefdennis@yahoo.com
3405 Geary Blvd
San Francisco , Ca 94118

From: [Dennis Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:37:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dennis Wong
chefdennis@yahoo.com
3405 Geary Blvd
San Francisco , Ca 94118

From: [Qinghua Yang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:30:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qinghua Yang
snydwx@163.com
1748 mission st Apt A
San Francisco CA, California 94103

From: [Qinghua Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:30:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qinghua Yang
snydwx@163.com
1748 mission st Apt A
San Francisco CA, California 94103

From: [Yu Xue Ying](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:54:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Xue Ying

yu_Xue_Ying@icloud.com

934 Ingerson ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Yehong Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:01:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yehong Wu

rain0262@hotmail.com

638 6th ave

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Yehong Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:01:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yehong Wu

rain0262@hotmail.com

638 6th ave

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Jean Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:07:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jean Zhu

qinjeanzhu@gmail.com

2310 23rd Ave

San Francisco , Texas 95114

From: [Carol Wang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:08:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Carol Wang
xwang_mailbox@yahoo.com
1061 West Hill Ct
Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Carol Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:08:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Carol Wang
xwang_mailbox@yahoo.com
1061 West Hill Ct
Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Kenneth Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:11:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenneth Wong

wong2288@yahoo.com

591 41. Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Bill Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:11:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Woo

billzwo08@gmail.com

4634 17th st

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Petra Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:34:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Petra Liang

petra10248@yahoo.com

28

Trabuco Canyon , California 92679

From: [Petra Liang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:34:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Petra Liang

petra10248@yahoo.com

28

Trabuco Canyon , California 92679

From: [Charles Qiao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:37:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Charles Qiao
charlesq28@hotmail.com
5317 Piazza Court
Pleasanton , California 94588

From: [Charles Qiao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:37:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Charles Qiao

charlesq28@hotmail.com

5317 Piazza Court

Pleasanton , California 94588

From: [Jin Guo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:38:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jin Guo

sandyguopro@gmail.com

849 west orange Avenue

South san Francisco , California 94080

From: [Jin Guo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:38:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jin Guo

sandyguopro@gmail.com

849 west orange Avenue

South san Francisco , California 94080

From: [Wan yi Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:39:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wan yi Huang
wanyihuang1961@gmail.com
2321 galway drive
South sf , California 94080

From: [Wan yi Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:39:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wan yi Huang
wanyihuang1961@gmail.com
2321 galway drive
South sf , California 94080

From: [Yi Mei Mei](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:45:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi Mei Mei

faxon33398@yahoo.com

2819 Pacheco Street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Yi Mei Mei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:45:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi Mei Mei

faxon33398@yahoo.com

2819 Pacheco Street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Michelle Kong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:45:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Kong
michellekong838@gmail.com
72 Robblee Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [James Dial](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:53:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

STOP!!!

I appreciate the the difficulties the Covid 19 situation presents for my tenants....in addition to me. I am definitely OK with temporary relief on rent and evictions. I would do this voluntarily. However, making this "relief" permanent is not fair, and I hope not legal. The financial burden of this relief should be more broadly distributed: city, state, all SF residents including ALL tenants, business, etc.

While the funding solution built into this proposition may be politically easy, it is neither logical nor "right."

The form letter below details the many reasons why.

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic

needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small “mom and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Dial
dial1930@aol.com
1940 20th Street
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Shirley Tan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:56:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Tan

sukyeetan@yahoo.com

377 el paseo

Millions, California 94030

From: [Shirley Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:56:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Tan

sukyeetan@yahoo.com

377 el paseo

Millions, California 94030

From: [Wadhong Kong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:58:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wadhong Kong
hongkong@yahoo.com
72 Robblee Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [gm.sukara](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Vote YES on Eviction Protection Ordinance (200375)
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:05:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my strong support for Supervisor Preston's Eviction Protection Ordinance, File No. 200375. Even before COVID-19, renters in San Francisco were struggling to make ends meet. With so many people now out of work, and with no ability to make income in the foreseeable future, I am terrified of what will happen to tenants after the state of emergency expires, and months of back rent become due. Supervisor Preston's ordinance would stop landlords from evicting tenants who can't pay because of COVID-19 related income loss. It doesn't stop landlords from getting what they may be owed, it just takes eviction off the table. This is the most important step San Francisco can take to stop mass displacement after the state of emergency.

While I have been able to work through this crisis, my partner has had a great reduction in her hours. Our landlords have previously used any opportunity to try to get us out of our rent-controlled apartment (and I have no doubt that they will see this as another chance to take a run at us and the one other rent-controlled apartment in our building).

I am urging you to join the following organizations and support this important legislation: San Francisco Tenants Union Housing Rights Committee Affordable Housing Alliance Chinatown Community Development Center SEIU 1021 SEIU 2015 SEIU USWW UNITE Here! Local 2 Transport Workers Union Local 250-A United Educators of San Francisco Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club PODER Causa Justa :: Just Cause Senior Disability Action San Francisco Gray Panthers Eviction Defense Collaborative Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation Latino Democratic Club Noe Neighborhood Council Richmond District Rising United to Save the Mission Dolores Street Community Services SOMCAN ACCE SF Communities United for Health and Justice Coleman Advocates Filipino Community Center Chinese for Affirmative Action SOMA Pilipinas Community Tenants Association Public Health Justice Collective

Thank you,

George Sukara

From: [Bill Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:17:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Tam

bill18182000@yahoo.com

Sweeny

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Wei Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:29:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Wu

weiwu08@gmail.com

1502 Kennewick dr

Sunnyvale , California 94087

From: [Wei Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:29:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Wu

weiwu08@gmail.com

1502 Kennewick dr

Sunnyvale , California 94087

From: [Demetrious Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:33:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Demetrious Koutsoftas
deme@dkgeotech.com
60 Joost Avenue
San Francisco, California 95131

From: [Demetrious Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:33:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Demetrious Koutsoftas
deme@dkgeotech.com
60 Joost Avenue
San Francisco, California 95131

From: [Yan Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:39:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Li

k2u2y@yahoo.com

1655 20th ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Yan Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:39:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Li

k2u2y@yahoo.com

1655 20th ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Ngan Au](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:40:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ngan Au

sweetasian888@yahoo.com

101 towngreen lane

Foster city , Ca 94404

From: [Ngan Au](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:40:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ngan Au

sweetasian888@yahoo.com

101 towngreen lane

Foster city , Ca 94404

From: [Ngan Au](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:41:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ngan Au

sweetasian888@yahoo.com

101 towngreen lane

Foster city , Ca 94404

From: [Ngan Au](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:41:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ngan Au

sweetasian888@yahoo.com

101 towngreen lane

Foster city , Ca 94404

From: [K.L.](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:43:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

K L

mango_888@yahoo.com

369 10 ave

Sf, Ca94118

From: [K.L.](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:43:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

K L

mango_888@yahoo.com

369 10 ave

Sf, Ca94118

From: [Lisa T](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:45:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa T
qq8888@juno.com
535 11 ave
Sf, California 94118

From: [Lisa T](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:45:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa T
qq8888@juno.com
535 11 ave
Sf, California 94118

From: [Hui Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:45:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Chen

linda.chen160@gmail.com

119 N. Menlo Park St.

Mountain House, California 95391

From: [Hui Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:45:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Chen

linda.chen160@gmail.com

119 N. Menlo Park St.

Mountain House, California 95391

From: [Amy Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:46:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Wu

amywu178@gmail.com

584 Leland Ave

San Francisco , Ca94134

From: [Amy Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:47:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Wu

amywu178@gmail.com

584 Leland Ave

San Francisco , Ca94134

From: [Kong Lam](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:48:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kong Lam

manyuyiip999@gmail.com

454 Lisbon street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Kong Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:48:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kong Lam

manyuyiip999@gmail.com

454 Lisbon street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Harry Zhu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:53:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Harry Zhu

hzhux@yahoo.com

101 Ganesha Common

Livermore, California 95344

From: [Harry Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:54:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Harry Zhu

hzhux@yahoo.com

101 Ganesha Common

Livermore, California 95344

From: [Ting Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:55:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ting Wang
ting_ting21@yahoo.com
3 Orizaba Ave
SF, California 94112

From: christystam@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:55:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

christystam@yahoo.com

1350 24th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: christystam@yahoo.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:55:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

christystam@yahoo.com

1350 24th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Huirong Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:56:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huirong Zhu

harryzhux@gmail.com

731 W La Canada Ave

Mountain House, California 95391

From: [Huirong Zhu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:56:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huirong Zhu

harryzhux@gmail.com

731 W La Canada Ave

Mountain House, California 95391

From: [Sandy Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:57:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Tam

sandy0798@yahoo.com

3116 Baylis street

Fremont , California 94538

From: [Vicky Guan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:01:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Guan

vickyg68@yahoo.com

609 Sawyer st

San Fransico, Colorado CA 94134

From: [Vicky Guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:01:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Guan

vickyg68@yahoo.com

609 Sawyer st

San Fransico, Colorado CA 94134

From: [Charles Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:02:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Charles Xie

charlesx@rocketmail.com

7268

Vallejo, California 94591

From: [li Zou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:04:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

li Zou

zou.rowley@gmail.com

1080 s blaney ave

San Jose , California 95129

From: [li Zou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:05:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

li Zou

zou.rowley@gmail.com

1080 s blaney ave

San Jose , California 95129

From: [li Zou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:05:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

li Zou

zou.rowley@gmail.com

1080 s blaney ave

San Jose , California 95129

From: [li Zou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:05:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

li Zou

zou.rowley@gmail.com

1080 s blaney ave

San Jose , California 95129

From: [Haoxiang Xia](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:06:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Haoxiang Xia
darkeywill@outlook.com
1080 s blaney ave
San Jose, California 95129

From: [Haoxiang Xia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:07:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Haoxiang Xia
darkeywill@outlook.com
1080 s blaney ave
San Jose, California 95129

From: [Mingjin Zou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:07:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mingqin Zou
mingqingzou@outlook.com
1643 butano dr
Milpitas, California 95035

From: [Mingjin Zou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:07:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mingqin Zou
mingqingzou@outlook.com
1643 butano dr
Milpitas, California 95035

From: [Wendy Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:08:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Liu
windyffl@hotmail.com
4030 Moorpark Ave
San Jose, California 95117

From: [Wendy Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:08:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Liu
windyffl@hotmail.com
4030 Moorpark Ave
San Jose, California 95117

From: [S Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:17:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

S Li

jmsdliu@gmail.com

1000 Sloat Blvd

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Ming Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:17:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Xie

mxie201@yahoo.com

416 Biscayne Ave

Foster city , California 94404

From: [Catherine Luk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:20:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Catherine Luk
cathyyluk@yahoo.com
195 Saint Elmo Way
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [sarah.gang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:21:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

sarah gang

gqsago@gmail.com

1567 elmores way

el dorado hills, California 95762

From: [Xiaomei Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:21:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaomei Lei
xiaomeilei1@gmail.com
500 Plymouth Ave
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [Jason Kuan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:27:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Kuan
jasonkuan0304@gmail.com
1992 alemany blvd
San Francisco , Ca94112

From: [Jason Kuan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:27:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Kuan
jasonkuan0304@gmail.com
1992 alemany blvd
San Francisco , Ca94112

From: [Jing Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:35:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Xu
jessiexu542@yahoo.com
118 Holloway ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: sunriseRF@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:36:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

sunriseRF@gmail.com

19 York Dr

Piedmont, California 94611-4122

From: sunriseRF@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:36:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

sunriseRF@gmail.com

19 York Dr

Piedmont, California 94611-4122

From: [Wad y Kong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:37:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wad y Kong

Dakong098@yahoo.com

1736 burrows st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Cecilia Sio](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:37:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecilia Sio
ceciliasiu88@yahoo.com
Shelter Creek
San Bruno , Ca94066

From: [Cecilia Sio](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:37:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecilia Sio
ceciliasiu88@yahoo.com
Shelter Creek
San Bruno , Ca94066

From: [Han Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:40:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Han Zhao

zhao2005@gmail.com

3165 Oakmont Drive

South San Francisco , California 94080

From: [Hong Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:40:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Xu

hongxu2163@yahoo.com

539 36th ave

S.F, California 94121

From: [Byron Ler](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:44:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Byron Ler

byronlee64@yahoo.com

611 19th avenue

San Francisco, Utah 84121

From: [Byron Ler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:44:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Byron Ler

byronlee64@yahoo.com

611 19th avenue

San Francisco, Utah 84121

From: [lili.chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:50:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lili chen

chll_lcjt@yahoo.com

10180Byrneave

Cupertino, California 95014

From: [lili.chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:50:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lili chen

chll_lcj@yaho.com

10180Byrneave

Cupertino, California 95014

From: [Joyce Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:50:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Yu
hkjoyceyu@gmail.com
1551 Southgate Ave
Daly City , California 94015

From: [Hong Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:50:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Yu

hongyu7558@gmail.com

1424 Chiplay Dr

San Jose, California 95122

From: [Hong Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:50:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Yu

hongyu7558@gmail.com

1424 Chiplay Dr

San Jose, California 95122

From: [Emily Qin](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:55:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Qin

da-qin@msn.com

2131 24th Ave

SF, California 94116

From: [Emily Qin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:55:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Qin

da-qin@msn.com

2131 24th Ave

SF, California 94116

From: [Eda Wei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:03:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eda Wei

eda0823@yahoo.com

Pope

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [David Low](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:06:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Low

davidylow@gmail.com

1788 silver ave

San Francisco, Ca , California 94124

From: [Yan-Xiang Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:06:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan-Xiang Li

elainedingusa@gmail.com

5851 mission st.

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Yan-Xiang Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:06:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan-Xiang Li

elainedingusa@gmail.com

5851 mission st.

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Joyce Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:15:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

As a young motivated person. I'm working hard to support myself, and finally get enough down-payment to buy a small condo , but a year ago realized that I can't offer all of those expenses (tax, loan interest , HOA fees) , and I had to rent it out to reduce these burdens and then rent a small place for myself with longer commute . It's hilarious that I bought a place but I couldn't stay . And yet I probably won't get the rental check if this bill is past. I tried so hard to make life better, please don't destroy my life. I'm the person suffering from the pandemic too even though I own a property.

Joyce Yu
hkjoyceyu@gmail.com
1551 Southgate Ave
Daly City , California 94015

From: [Ivy Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:16:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivy Huang

ivyhhh@hotmail.com

278 Victoria street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Ivy Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:16:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivy Huang

ivyhhh@hotmail.com

278 Victoria street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Julia Tam](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:17:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia Tam

jtamfok@gmail.com

13250 Franklin Ave

Mountain View, California 94040

From: [Julia Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:17:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia Tam

jtamfok@gmail.com

13250 Franklin Ave

Mountain View, California 94040

From: [Sam Liang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:21:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sam Liang
samzkliang@gmail.com
1350 24th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Sam Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:21:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sam Liang
samzkliang@gmail.com
1350 24th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Richard Ivanhoe](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Vote YES on Eviction Protection Ordinance (200375)
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:21:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my strong support for Supervisor Preston's Eviction Protection Ordinance, File No. 200375.

Even before COVID-19, renters in San Francisco were struggling to make ends meet. San Francisco ordered many of its citizens to stay home and not go to work. With so many people now out of work, and with no ability to make income in the foreseeable future, I am concerned about what will happen to tenants after the state of emergency expires, and months of back rent become due.

Supervisor Preston's ordinance would stop landlords from evicting tenants who can't pay because of COVID-19 related income loss. It doesn't stop landlords from getting what they may be owed, it just takes eviction off the table. This is the most important step San Francisco can take to stop mass displacement after the state of emergency.

I am urging you to support this important legislation:

Thank you,

--Richard--

From: [Belinda Wang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:21:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Belinda Wang

belinda_wang@yahoo.com

550 Ortega Avenue

Mountain view, California 94040

From: [Belinda Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:21:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Belinda Wang

belinda_wang@yahoo.com

550 Ortega Avenue

Mountain view, California 94040

From: [Evan Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:21:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Evan Chan

evanallenchan@gmail.com

1275 Sloat Blvd.

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Helen Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:22:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen Li

helenli88@gmail.com

1428 silliman street

San Francisco , California Ca

From: [Delice Jeong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:24:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Delice Jeong
jeongdelice@yahoo.com
950 Stockton st
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Delice Jeong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:24:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Delice Jeong
jeongdelice@yahoo.com
950 Stockton st
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Steven Yip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:26:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Steven Yip

stevenyip8989@yahoo.com

2337 Alemany Blvd

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Elaine Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:27:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Fong

fong.elaine@gmail.com

520 36th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Susan Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:28:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Lee

susanleelee1416@gmail.com

518 36th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Vicky Jiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:31:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Jiang

vickyjiang2006@yahoo.com

713 hill avenue

South San Francisco , California 94080

From: [Tina Cen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:31:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Cen
tinacentc@gmail.com
5700 Mission
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tina Cen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:31:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Cen
tinacentc@gmail.com
5700 Mission
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Andrew Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:33:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andrew Koutsoftas
andrewkoutsoftas@gmail.com
60 Joost Ave
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Andrew Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:33:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andrew Koutsoftas
andrewkoutsoftas@gmail.com
60 Joost Ave
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Bill Kwan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:33:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Kwan

billkwan1@gmail.com

2327 29th Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Mujuan Kong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:34:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mujuan Kong
jennykong@yahoo.com
5853 Mission Street #10
SF, California 94112

From: [Li Cuip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:34:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Li Cui
zhengylee@yahoo.com
102 Teddy Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA94134

From: [Manna Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:36:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manna Chen
gshu93@yahoo.com
140 Baltimore Way
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Amy Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:37:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Lee

amyjj2002@gmail.com

2744 41st Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA. 94116

From: [Huifang Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:39:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huifang Xu

fannytsui@foxmail.com

77 Pasadena street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Qi Jun Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:40:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qi jun Tan

floratan.1029@yahoo.com.hi

2077 21 Ave

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94116

From: [Shanni Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:48:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shanni Huang
shanni.huang@gmail.com
1705 Plaza Sol
San Jose, California 95131

From: [Yu Wang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:49:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Wang

yrwang411@yahoo.com

411 38th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Yu Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:49:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Wang

yrwang411@yahoo.com

411 38th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Yu Wang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:50:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Wang

yrwang411@yahoo.com

411 38th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Yu Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:50:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Wang

yrwang411@yahoo.com

411 38th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Paul Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:51:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paul Wang
pwang36@yahoo.com
1803 30th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Paul Wang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:51:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paul Wang
pwang36@yahoo.com
1803 30th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Seren Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:52:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Seren Liu

jliu6006@gmail.com

670 oak park way

Redwood city, California 94062

From: [Seren Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:52:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Seren Liu

jliu6006@gmail.com

670 oak park way

Redwood city, California 94062

From: [Margaret Chung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:56:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Margaret Chung
kowmom228@hotmail.com
421 Hazelwood Ave
San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Eddy Tsang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:56:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

In addition, the government is mandating small business owners (property owners) to provide funding under covid 19 while these small business are not qualified for any assistance on covid 19 government's loanS or assistant programs. The support related to covid 19 should be an effort of government and this ordinance allows government to pass this responsibility to property owners. It is an unfair ordinate. There are more issues here such as how to enforce there is no fraudulent and what is the penalty for making fraudulent claim. Would city allow forgetting property tax, water, mortgage etc? This ordinate is going to create more issues than what the covid 19 would cause as it triggers other issues. If city want to help tenants, would it be better to give fund to tenants to pay the rent and will not require too much ripple effects created by human not the covid virus. This ordinate will create more damage than the virus itself.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eddy Tsang
eddytsang2015j@gmail.com
530 20th ave
San francisco, California 94121

From: [Demetrious Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:58:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Demetrious Koutsoftas
deme@dkgeotech.com
60 Joost Ave
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Demetrious Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:58:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Demetrious Koutsoftas
deme@dkgeotech.com
60 Joost Ave
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Rita Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:58:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rita Koutsoftas

ritakoutsoftas60@gmail.com

60 Joost Ave

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Rita Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:58:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rita Koutsoftas

ritakoutsoftas60@gmail.com

60 Joost Ave

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Andrea cheung](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:59:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andrea cheung
caye1998@yahoo.com
900 Noriega st
san Francisco , California 94122

From: [Andrea cheung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:59:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andrea cheung
caye1998@yahoo.com
900 Noriega st
san Francisco , California 94122

From: [Julie Fitzgerald](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:59:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Fitzgerald

jafitz22@gmail.com

217 Pary

San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Sally Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:02:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sally Wu

sallywu56@yahoo.com

540 30th Ave

San Francisco , Ca 94121

From: [Sally Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:02:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sally Wu

sallywu56@yahoo.com

540 30th Ave

San Francisco , Ca 94121

From: [Kui Gong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:03:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Say if the only bread earner of my tenant died from covid19, I should provide free housing for the family permanently. It doesn't make sense

Kui Gong
kgc94@yahoo.com
1331 south Wolfe rd
Sunnyvale , California 94087

From: [Kui Gong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:03:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Say if the only bread earner of my tenant died from covid19, I should provide free housing for the family permanently. It doesn't make sense

Kui Gong
kgc94@yahoo.com
1331 south Wolfe rd
Sunnyvale , California 94087

From: [Le bin Su](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:03:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Le bin Su
yuechangtan3@gmail.com
956 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Emily Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:05:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Lee

elee5698@gmail.com

18th Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Emily Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:05:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Lee

elee5698@gmail.com

18th Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Sophia Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:06:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Chen
fayewong_7699@yahoo.com
84 Raymond Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Kenneth Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:09:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenneth Ng

ken-ng@pacbell.net

532 20th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Qi fen huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:09:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qi fen huang

fennyfenny68@gmail.com

2175 revere Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Qi fen huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:09:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qi fen huang

fennyfenny68@gmail.com

2175 revere Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [May LawNg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:10:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May LawNg

maylawng@yahoo.com

532 20th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Peter Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:11:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents.

First, whoever bring this, should donate their whole year salary to housing development project.

Also abandon all the City and County fees for the rental properties to be fair.

Third, this is not communist society.

During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination

of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small “mom and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Wu

pwu1ar.realtor@yahoo.com

8001 Arroyo Vista Dr

Sacramento, California 95823

From: [Paul Szeto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:12:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paul Szeto
szetoclarence@yahoo.com
244 Edwin Way
Hayward, California 94544

From: [Wei Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:14:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Wong

weiwongus@yahoo.com

446 11th Ave, #4

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Wei Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:14:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Wong

weiwongus@yahoo.com

446 11th Ave, #4

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Maggie Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:14:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Maggie Chu

gary_chu@att.net

275 thrift st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lisa Kuang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:15:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Kuang

lisakuang123@icloud.com

87 rudden ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Johnny Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:15:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Johnny Zhou
johnzhousf@yahoo.com
1250 sunnydale ave
san francisco, California 94134

From: [Johnny Zhou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:15:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Johnny Zhou
johnzhousf@yahoo.com
1250 sunnydale ave
san francisco, California 94134

From: [Maggie Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:16:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Maggie Chu

gary_chu@att.net

275 thrift st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jenny huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:17:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny huang

jinghua_us@yahoo.com

3111 ZUNI WAY

pleasanton, California 94588

From: [Maggie Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:17:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Maggie Chu

gary_chu@att.net

275 thrift st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [aeboken](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: JOINING WITH BOS Agenda Item #30 Supporting California State Assembly Bill No. 2054 (Kamlager) - Community Response Initiative to Strengthen Emergency Systems (C.R.I.S.E.S) Act. File #200591
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:18:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am joining with the Board of Supervisors in supporting AB2054 (Kamlager) aka the Community Response Initiative to Strengthen Emergency Systems (CRISES) Act.

Eileen Boken
Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Gary Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:19:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gary Chu

gary_chu@att.net

1007 Capitol ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lily Lu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:20:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Lu

lilylu362@gmail.com

362 Sailfish Isle

Foster City, California 94404-1842

From: [Lily Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:20:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Lu

lilylu362@gmail.com

362 Sailfish Isle

Foster City, California 94404-1842

From: [Sadie Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:20:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sadie Wong

sadiewongg@gmail.com

Sadiewongg@gmail.com

San Francisco , California 94080

From: [leanne_luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:22:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

leanne Luo

luoleanne@yahoo.com

138 Miramar ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [leanne Luo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:22:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

leanne Luo

luoleanne@yahoo.com

138 Miramar ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [May Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:22:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Xu
mayhuang940@yahoo.com
2250 20th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Claudia Xi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:24:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Claudia Xi
claudiaxi@mail.com
4532 Kathy Dr.
La palma, CA90623

From: [E.G. Yang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:24:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

E G Yang

egyang@yahoo.com

333 Parnassus Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94117

From: [E.G. Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:24:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

E G Yang

egyang@yahoo.com

333 Parnassus Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94117

From: [Kathy Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:24:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kathy Woo

kathywoo07@gmail.com

76 Miramar Ave

San Francisco, Ca 94112

From: [Bizhu Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:27:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bizhu Li

judylee0821@hotmail.com

2158 bay shore blvd

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Eugene Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:28:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eugene Leung
geneel169@gmail.com
118 Vicksburg Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Ken Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:28:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ken Ho
kenhosf@yahoo.com
465 Grant Ave
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [ida kwong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:29:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ida kwong

idakwong@hotmail.com

3300 Geary Street

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Eva Chao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:29:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eva Chao

A hardworking first-generation immigrant household who has worked 90 hours per week for years and years in order to purchase a home, and who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while providing quality housing for my parent, my family and my renters.

Eva Chao

mhcllc000@gmail.com

67 Barcelona Avenue

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Eva Chao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:29:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eva Chao

A hardworking first-generation immigrant household who has worked 90 hours per week for years and years in order to purchase a home, and who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while providing quality housing for my parent, my family and my renters.

Eva Chao

mhcllc000@gmail.com

67 Barcelona Avenue

San Francisco, California 94115

From: chao.yong.li
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:30:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chao yong li
962huron@gmail.com
727 36th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121-3401

From: [Chun Poon](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:31:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chun Poon

poonchun2010@gmail.com

1114 silver ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Chun Poon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:31:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chun Poon
poonchun2010@gmail.com
1114 silver ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Edward Mandoza](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:31:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edward Mandoza
maggie.chusf@outlook.com
1017 Capitol ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Amy Kong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:31:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Kong
amykong@gmail.com
444 Ralston Street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Kam Tong Chak](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:32:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kam Tong Chak
superbssonicc@gmail.com
78 Lois Ln
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Kam Tong Chak](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:32:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kam Tong Chak
superbssonicc@gmail.com
78 Lois Ln
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Xiupin Guillaume](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:33:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiupin Guillaume
xiupin828@yahoo.com
1530 17th ave
San Francisco , California 94211

From: [charles.kwong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:33:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

charles kwong

cykwong@yahoo.com

195 Parker

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Xiupin Guillaume](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:33:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiupin Guillaume
xiupin828@yahoo.com
1530 17th ave
San Francisco , California 94211

From: [Daniel Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:33:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Daniel Huang
danhua1202@gmail.com
Silver & Scotia Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Daniel Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:33:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Daniel Huang
danhua1202@gmail.com
Silver & Scotia Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Candy Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:33:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Candy Chan
candytamm68@gmail.com
962 Capitol Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Candy Chan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:33:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Candy Chan

candytamm68@gmail.com

962 Capitol Ave

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [edwin mok](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:34:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

edwin mok

yfmok@yahoo.com

194 stonecrest

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Tai Chan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:34:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tai Chan
winprofit88@gmail.com
962 Capitol Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Tai Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:34:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tai Chan
winprofit88@gmail.com
962 Capitol Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: kamlei724@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:35:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

kamlei724@gmail.com

396 Allison street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Pansy Dong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:36:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pansy Dong
pansydong@gmail.com
471 3rd Avenue
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Andy Ho](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:38:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andy Ho

jenny.ho@hotmail.com

265 Harold Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Andy Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:38:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andy Ho

jenny.ho@hotmail.com

265 Harold Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Winnie Trang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:38:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Trang
wwtrang130@gmail.com
130 Circular Ave.
San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Ning Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:39:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ning Li
lingsu96@yahoo.com
151 El Camino Real
Millbrae , California CA

From: [bin Xue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:39:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

bin Xue

binxue1970@yahoo.com

80 Exeter st

San Francisco , Colorado CA94124

From: [Karen Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:40:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Liu

karen128liu@icloud.com

2945 Moraga st

San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Win C](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:40:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Win C

wpm63128@gmail.com

Morse st

Sf, California 94112

From: [eileen.lai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:44:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

eileen lai

eileen2014@sbcglobal.net

530 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [eric.tsang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:47:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

eric tsang

erictsangre@gmail.com

530 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [chloe tsang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:47:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chloe tsang

chloetsangre@gmail.com

530 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Jean Hwang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:48:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jean Hwang
jjkhh@aol.com
482 Marietta dr
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Jean Hwang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:48:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jean Hwang
jjkhh@aol.com
482 Marietta dr
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Bing Quan Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:48:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bing Quan Li
bingquanli@gmail.com
808 31Ave
SAN FANCIES , Ca94121

From: [yeungkwong.tsang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:48:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yeungkwong tsang

link4tsang@sbcglobal.net

532 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Michelle Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:49:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Lam
michelle19@gmail.com
1524 Bacon st
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Salina Au](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:49:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Salina Au

Salina2020@gmail.com

532 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Elaine Szeto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:49:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Szeto

szeto2886@yahoo.com

21 st Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Ying mei Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying mei Li
mayli6926@gmail.com
630 Skyline Blvd
San Bruno city , CA94066

From: [Nina Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nina Wong
ninawongyee@yahoo.com
34 Inverness Dr
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Wai Kum Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wai Kum Zhang

fs940_monitor@hotmail.com

#215 Montana Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Victor Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Victor Fong
vichousebowler@yahoo.com
125 Whittier St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Victor Fong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Victor Fong
vichousebowler@yahoo.com
125 Whittier St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Cailling Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:52:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cailing Zhou

zhoucailing999@mail.com

307 Orizaba Ave

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Kenneth Siu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:52:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenneth Siu

Freshmeatmarket@gmail.com

529 Magellan Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Bao Qing Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:52:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bao Qing Ma
boboma7@yahoo.com
143 Bridgeview Dr
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Kenneth Siu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:52:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenneth Siu
Freshmeatmarket@gmail.com
529 Magellan Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Bao Qing Ma](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:52:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bao Qing Ma
boboma7@yahoo.com
143 Bridgeview Dr
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Cailling Zhou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:52:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cailing Zhou

zhoucailing999@mail.com

307 Orizaba Ave

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Shiu, Billy \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:53:00 AM

Can you make this a rule to the Evictions folder for Erica?

From: Sally Wu <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:02 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small “mom and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sally Wu
sallywu56@yahoo.com
540 30th Ave
San Francisco , Ca 94121

|

From: [Grace Feng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:53:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Feng
flyover168@gmail.com
130 w Le Roy Ave
Arcadia , California 91108

From: [Hua Su](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:53:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hua Su

hua.su@ucsf.edu

216 Glenview Dr.

San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Jinsheng Yue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:53:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jinsheng Yue
yuejason@yahoo.com
2306 w pacific ave
West Covina , Ca 91790

From: [Susie yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:54:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susie yee

syvacations@yahoo.com

288 Gold Mine Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Liang Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:54:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liang Zhao
allenjill@126.com
2325 Banyan Way
Antioch , California 94509

From: [Liang Zhao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:54:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liang Zhao
allenjill@126.com
2325 Banyan Way
Antioch , California 94509

From: [Mei Choy](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:54:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Choy
yukmei01@gmail.com
1945 oakdale
S.F, Ca94124

From: [Mei Choy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:54:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Choy
yukmei01@gmail.com
1945 oakdale
S.F, Ca94124

From: [Susie yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:58:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susie yee

syvacations@yahoo.com

288 Gold Mine Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Donna Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:58:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Donna Chu
koba888@gmail.com
305 Orizaba Ave
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Donna Chu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:58:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Donna Chu
koba888@gmail.com
305 Orizaba Ave
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Le bin Su](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:58:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Le bin Su
yuechangtan3@gmail.com
956 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Susie yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:58:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susie yee

syvacations@yahoo.com

288 Gold Mine Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Bixian Zhu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:59:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bixian Zhu

junez88@hotmail.com

1153 Goettingen street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Bixian Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:59:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bixian Zhu

junez88@hotmail.com

1153 Goettingen street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Susie yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:59:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susie yee

syvacations@yahoo.com

288 Gold Mine Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Yan Ying Mai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:00:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Ying Mai
yanniemail123@gmail.com
2155 24th ave
Sf, California 94116

From: [Amy Zheng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:00:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Zheng
q805@yahoo.com
426 Head Street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Patricia Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:00:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Patricia Lam

patricialam59@yahoo.com

1727 Felton street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [michael chow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:00:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

michael chow
michaelchow9@gmail.com
990 duncan st
san francisco, California 94131

From: [Amy Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:00:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Zheng
q805@yahoo.com
426 Head Street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Raina Choy](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:02:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raina Choy
choyraina@gmail.com
1225 20th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Raina Choy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:03:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raina Choy
choyraina@gmail.com
1225 20th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [aeboken](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: SUPPORTING LU&TC Agenda Item #1 and BOS Agenda Item #19 Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections File #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:03:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am strongly supporting this Administrative Code revision to make COVID-19 tenant protections permanent.

Eileen Boken
Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Siwen Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:03:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Siwen Huang
simonnewon@gmail.com
2546 judah st
San francisco, California 94122

From: [Siwen Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:03:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Siwen Huang
simonnewon@gmail.com
2546 judah st
San francisco, California 94122

From: [Nick Johnson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:03:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nick Johnson

nick.johnson415@gmail.com

1390 Noriega Street

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Anna Gee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:04:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Gee

aygee18@gmail.com

2934 Dublin Dr

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Anna Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:04:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Gee

aygee18@gmail.com

2934 Dublin Dr

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Yanfeng Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:04:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yanfeng Wu

yanfhu@yahoo.com

46 Rebecca Ln

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Alan Chong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:05:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation as many will do. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of

this year; many of us are small “mom and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Chong
Asjrc@yahoo.com
288 gold mine
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Raina Choy](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:05:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raina Choy
choyraina@gmail.com
1225 20th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Raina Choy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:05:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raina Choy
choyraina@gmail.com
1225 20th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Mei Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:05:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Huang

huangmei10@hotmail.com

233 Broad street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Margaret Szeto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:05:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Margaret Szeto
crmts@aim.com
455 Gold Mine Dr
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Mei Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:05:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Huang

huangmei10@hotmail.com

233 Broad street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ka shing Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:06:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ka shing Wu
yanfhu@gmail.com
46 Rebecca Ln
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Christy Tan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:07:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christy Tan
christytan68@hotmail.com
265 Peabody st
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Christy Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:07:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christy Tan
christytan68@hotmail.com
265 Peabody st
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Tiffany Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:08:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Yu
43005109tt@gmail.com
176 Lee Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tiffany Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:08:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Yu
43005109tt@gmail.com
176 Lee Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Catherine Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:08:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Catherine Ma

chris_catherine@yahoo.com

786 Moscow Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Angela Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:10:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angela Wu
angela138810@yahoo.com
574 Moscow Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Angela Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:10:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angela Wu
angela138810@yahoo.com
574 Moscow Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Taylor Smart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:10:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Taylor Smart

taylorSMART120@gmail.com

1210 20th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Taylor Smart](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:10:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Taylor Smart

taylorSMART120@gmail.com

1210 20th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Mabel Quon](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:11:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mabel Quon

mabelquon1@gmail.com

37 Curtis st.

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Mabel Quon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:11:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mabel Quon

mabelquon1@gmail.com

37 Curtis st.

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Ray Kwong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:11:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ray Kwong
raykwong7@gmail.com
444 Ralston Street
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Ray Kwong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:11:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ray Kwong
raykwong7@gmail.com
444 Ralston Street
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Zhen Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:12:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhen Li

Lijaye88@gmail.com

4987 mission

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Zhen Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:12:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhen Li

Lijaye88@gmail.com

4987 mission

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Sandy Chow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:12:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Chow

sandykids2004@gmail.com

261 Goettingen Street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Sandy Chow](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:12:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Chow

sandykids2004@gmail.com

261 Goettingen Street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Selina Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:14:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Selina Chen

lingmeichen@yahoo.com

2423 29th avenue

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Selina Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:14:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Selina Chen

lingmeichen@yahoo.com

2423 29th avenue

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Michelle Lin](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:14:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Lin
mengjieu1@yahoo.com.tw
1542 47th ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Michelle Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:14:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Lin
mengjieu1@yahoo.com.tw
1542 47th ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Wendy Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:15:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Chen
wchen1327@yahoo.com
1239 Toyon Drive
Millbrae, California 94030

From: [Wendy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:15:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Chen
wchen1327@yahoo.com
1239 Toyon Drive
Millbrae, California 94030

From: [Barbara Ng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:16:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Barbara Ng
bng712@sbcglobal.net
445 Amazon Ave
SF, California 94112

From: [Barbara Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:16:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Barbara Ng
bng712@sbcglobal.net
445 Amazon Ave
SF, California 94112

From: [Alyssa Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:17:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alyssa Xu

xu_alysa@yahoo.com

261 Lobos street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ling Zhou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:17:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ling Zhou

ricebunnie318@gmail.com

1474 42nd ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Ling Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:18:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ling Zhou

ricebunnie318@gmail.com

1474 42nd ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Alyssa Xu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:18:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alyssa Xu

xu_alysa@yahoo.com

261 Lobos street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: guixia888@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:18:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

guixia888@gmail.com

533 Sunnyvale AVE

San Francisco, California 94134

From: guixia888@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:18:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

guixia888@gmail.com

533 Sunnyvale AVE

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Bun Gong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:18:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bun Gong

katherine9685@yahoo.com

1474 42ns Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Bun Gong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:18:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bun Gong

katherine9685@yahoo.com

1474 42nd Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Baobei Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:18:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baobei Tan

baobeitan78@yahoo.com

133 Whittier St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Baobei Tan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:18:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baobei Tan

baobeitan78@yahoo.com

133 Whittier St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Toan Trinh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:19:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Toan Trinh

ptrinh@gmail.com

830 meade ave

san francisco, California 94124

From: [Fangjuan Cheng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:20:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fangjuan Cheng
rosacheng8888@hotmail.com
2110 Ashby Ave
Berkeley , California 94705

From: [Fangjuan Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:20:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fangjuan Cheng
rosacheng8888@hotmail.com
2110 Ashby Ave
Berkeley , California 94705

From: [Rodney Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:20:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely, Rodney Leong, a property taxpayer and constituent of D1 for 20+ years.

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself and my renters.

Rodney Leong
abraxis_us@yahoo.com
5820 California Street
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Dena Aslanian-Williams](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:21:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dena Aslanian-Williams
denawilliams@msn.com
293 Magellan Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [cynthia.Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:21:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

cynthia Cheng
cheng1085@yahoo.com
330 25th Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Peter Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:21:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Lu

peter@quicklyusa.com

241 Peabody street

San Francisco , Ca 94134

From: [Peter Lu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:21:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Lu

peter@quicklyusa.com

241 Peabody street

San Francisco , Ca 94134

From: [Shaojie Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:21:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shaojie Yu

yu031394@gmail.com

14208 orchid dr

san leandro, California 94578

From: [Gary Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:22:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gary Fong
gtwosweet@yahoo.com
125 Whittier St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Gary Fong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:22:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gary Fong
gtwosweet@yahoo.com
125 Whittier St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Michelle Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:22:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Leong
mleong2621@yahoo.com
Irving and 32nd Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Xiaoming Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:22:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaoming Yang

Leannayang999@yahoo.com

Earle Ave

Rosemead , California 91770

From: [Angela Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:23:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angela Lu

angelalu138@yahoo.com

82 Curtis

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [Heidi Anch](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:24:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Heidi Anch

sweetpiglet107@hotmail.com

2451 23rd ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Angela Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:24:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angela Lu

angelalu138@yahoo.com

82 Curtis

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [Heidi Anch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:24:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Heidi Anch

sweetpiglet107@hotmail.com

2451 23rd ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jie ying Ou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:24:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jie ying Ou

jjeying2416@gmail.com

2416 Folsom street

San Francisco , California 94110

From: [Jie ying Ou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:24:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jie ying Ou

jjeying2416@gmail.com

2416 Folsom street

San Francisco , California 94110

From: [Amy P](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:24:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy P
amycalifornia2016@yahoo.com
2901 Mission St
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Sherman Choi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:24:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman Choi
schoi0993@yahoo.com
Granada and Holloway
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Kaitlin Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kaitlin Fong
kaitlinfong33@gmail.com
133 Whittier St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [James Tsao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Tsao
jamestsao1@gmail.com
452 21st Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Kaitlin Fong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kaitlin Fong
kaitlinfong33@gmail.com
133 Whittier St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [James Tsao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Tsao
jamestsao1@gmail.com
452 21st Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Kevin Cheng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Cheng
maiolinkailin@gmail.com
1463 47th Ave
San Francisco , Ca94116

From: [Kevin Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Cheng
maiolinkailin@gmail.com
1463 47th Ave
San Francisco , Ca94116

From: [Cindy Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Zhang

cindy.bijou@yahoo.com

Fransworth

San Leandro , California 94579

From: [EVA SOPO CHOI](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:26:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

EVA SOPO CHOI

evaschoi@hotmail.com

666 5th Ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [EVA SOPO CHOI](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:26:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

EVA SOPO CHOI

evaschoi@hotmail.com

666 5th Ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Kenneth Pham](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:26:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenneth Pham
kennethpham@yahoo.com
2467 21th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Kenneth Pham](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:26:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenneth Pham
kennethpham@yahoo.com
2467 21th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Chong L](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:27:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chong L

kellylo17@yahoo.com

50 Brussels St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Lai Ping Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:28:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lai Ping Yu
susanyu919@gmail.com
30th Ave & Balboa
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Simon Tam](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:28:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Simon Tam
tamdynasty@gmail.com
115 Nova Drive
Piedmont, Ca.94610

From: [Simon Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:28:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Simon Tam
tamdynasty@gmail.com
115 Nova Drive
Piedmont, Ca.94610

From: [Kyle Fong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:28:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kyle Fong

kylefong321@gmail.com

125 Whittier St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Nuo Cui](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:28:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nuo Cui
samcui1969@yahoo.com
143 Bridgeview Dr
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Nuo Cui](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:29:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nuo Cui
samcui1969@yahoo.com
143 Bridgeview Dr
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Kyle Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:29:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kyle Fong

kylefong321@gmail.com

125 Whittier St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Kiki Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:29:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kiki Wu
eastbay2009@gmail.com
72 Bruce ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Kiki Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:29:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kiki Wu
eastbay2009@gmail.com
72 Bruce ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Eva Choi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:30:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eva Choi
evaschoi@hotmail.com
666 5th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Jessie Xie](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:30:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessie Xie

jessiejxie@gmail.com

4039 19th ave

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Jessie Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:30:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessie Xie

jessiejxie@gmail.com

4039 19th ave

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Nelson Xu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:31:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nelson Xu

nj168sf@gmail.com

2761 Fleetwood dr

San Bruno , California 94066

From: [Nelson Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:32:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nelson Xu

nj168sf@gmail.com

2761 Fleetwood dr

San Bruno , California 94066

From: [Eleanor Tam](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:32:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eleanor Tam

eleanorytam@gmail.com

2400 30th Ave

San Francisco , Ca 94127

From: [Eleanor Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:32:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eleanor Tam
eleanorytam@gmail.com
2400 30th Ave
San Francisco , Ca 94127

From: [Margaret Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:33:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Margaret Ng
mng1124@yahoo.com
238 Sebastian
Milly, California 94030

From: [Margaret Ng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:33:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Margaret Ng
mng1124@yahoo.com
238 Sebastian
Milly, California 94030

From: [Qing Cai](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:34:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qing Cai
qingcai@yahoo.com
Lathrop Ave
Sf, California 94134

From: [Wanyi Zhu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:34:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wanyi Zhu

zwanyi11@gmail.com

115 Apollo St

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Wanyi Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:34:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wanyi Zhu

zwanyi11@gmail.com

115 Apollo St

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Michelle Hoffman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:35:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Hoffman
chenmichelle88@yahoo.com
1 bluesail cove
Buena Park , California 90621

From: [Qing Cai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:35:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qing Cai
qingcai@yahoo.com
Lathrop Ave
Sf, California 94134

From: [Macky Liang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:35:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Macky Liang
tammyhongkong@gmail.com
71 Credit Court
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Macky Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:35:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Macky Liang
tammyhongkong@gmail.com
71 Credit Court
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Mary Dunleavy Cassidy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:36:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mary Dunleavy Cassidy
mary.cassidy@cbnorcal.com
401 Twin Peaks Blvd
San Francisco, California 95115

From: [Josh Mooney-Capella](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:37:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board, rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent from tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Josh Mooney-Capella
jmooneycapella@yahoo.com
865 47th Ave, Apt 6
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Josh Mooney-Capella](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:37:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board, rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent from tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Josh Mooney-Capella
jmooneycapella@yahoo.com
865 47th Ave, Apt 6
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Kathy Mei](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:37:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kathy Mei

kathymeimei94102@yahoo.com

2118 34th ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [sujiao.chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:37:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

sujiao chen
juliel889@gmail.com
1365winston ave
san marino, California 91108

From: [Kathy Mei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:37:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kathy Mei

kathymeimei94102@yahoo.com

2118 34th ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Bing Chung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:37:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bing Chung

bingchung1234@gmail.com

2631 46th Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Hanyi Lei](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:38:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hanyi Lei

hanyilei007@gmail.com

2532 25th ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Hanyi Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:38:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hanyi Lei

hanyilei007@gmail.com

2532 25th ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jade Kwong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:39:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jade Kwong
jadekwong2334@hotmail.com
272 Oxford Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Jade Kwong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:39:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jade Kwong

jadekwong2334@hotmail.com

272 Oxford Street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Kris Ye](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:41:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kris Ye

kris.ye.ccsf@gmail.com

2332 Alemany Blvd

SF , California 94112

From: [Kris Ye](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:41:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kris Ye
kris.ye.ccsf@gmail.com
2332 Alemany Blvd
SF , California 94112

From: [MARKY LYNN QUAYLE](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:41:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

MARKY LYNN QUAYLE

markyquayle@gmail.com

2380 Broadway

San Francisco , California 94115-1234

From: [MARKY LYNN QUAYLE](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:41:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

MARKY LYNN QUAYLE

markyquayle@gmail.com

2380 Broadway

San Francisco , California 94115-1234

From: [Naomi Lopez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:42:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Naomi Lopez

naomi@naomilopez.com

735 Dolores St., Apt 1

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Fernando Lopez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:43:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fernando Lopez

fernlopez@att.net

Dolores X Liberty

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jie xing Zou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:43:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jie xing Zou
yvochung@yahoo.com
2618 Admiral cir
Hayward , California 94545

From: [Sammi Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:46:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sammi Wu

sammiwu807@gmail.com

60 alder st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Sammi Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:46:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sammi Wu

sammiwu807@gmail.com

60 alder st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Sharon Cassidy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:46:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sharon Cassidy
cassidyre@aol.com
1766 union street
SF, California 94123

From: [Shawn Tsai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:46:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shawn Tsai
shawntsai888@gmail.com
148 E Longden Ave
Arcadia, California 91006

From: [Cecilia Zhang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:47:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecilia Zhang
zhangsixin@hotmail.com
120 Montana st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Cecilia Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:47:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecilia Zhang
zhangsixin@hotmail.com
120 Montana st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Alice Ou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:47:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alice Ou

aliceou226@gmail.com

1235 west town and country road

Orange, California 92868

From: [Betty Xu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:47:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Betty Xu

bettyxure@gmail.com

730 Miramar Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Betty Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:47:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Betty Xu

bettyxure@gmail.com

730 Miramar Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Qiumei Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:48:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qiumei Chen

c_qiumei@yahoo.com

32nd Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Qiumei Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:48:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qiumei Chen

c_qiumei@yahoo.com

32nd Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Stephen Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:49:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stephen Tam

stephentam@gmail.com

229 Brannan St #2d

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Kam Mak](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:50:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kam Mak

kampui@pacbell.net

870 Huron Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Randy Yen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:50:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Randy Yen
yenrandy@yahoo.com
1425 Marlborough road
Hillsborough , CA 94010

From: [Randy Yen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:50:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Randy Yen
yenrandy@yahoo.com
1425 Marlborough road
Hillsborough , CA 94010

From: [Santing Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:50:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Santing Chen

jeffreychen2003@yahoo.com

2998 Hardeman st

Hayward , California 94541

From: [Yu-I Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:50:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu-I Huang
yuihuang0222@gmail.com
435 11th Ave
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Yu-I Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:50:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu-I Huang
yuihuang0222@gmail.com
435 11th Ave
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Tracy Hernandez](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:51:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Tracy Hernandez

P.S. I am a person who used to unthinkingly take the tenants' side in every conflict, but who has come to understand that landlords provide many services and provide shelter, and have many costs, in exchange for the income they earn. This doesn't make them greedy. This makes them earners.

Which other workers would eschew their paycheck for the goods/services they provide? It's not selfish to want to earn your income.

Tracy Hernandez
tbergenn@hotmail.com
459 44th St.
Oakland, California 94609

From: [Tracy Hernandez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:51:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Tracy Hernandez

P.S. I am a person who used to unthinkingly take the tenants' side in every conflict, but who has come to understand that landlords provide many services and provide shelter, and have many costs, in exchange for the income they earn. This doesn't make them greedy. This makes them earners.

Which other workers would eschew their paycheck for the goods/services they provide? It's not selfish to want to earn your income.

Tracy Hernandez
tbergenn@hotmail.com
459 44th St.
Oakland, California 94609

From: [Annia Ho](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annia Ho

yoonyap@hotmail.com

105 corona st

S f, California 94127

From: [Annia Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annia Ho

yoonyap@hotmail.com

105 corona st

S f, California 94127

From: [Fang Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fang Liu

fangliu777@gmail.com

3 Commodore Dr.

Emeryville , California 94608

From: [Fang Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fang Liu

fangliu777@gmail.com

3 Commodore Dr.

Emeryville , California 94608

From: [lisa.chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lisa chen

lisa580910@yahoo.com

3116 wawona st

san francisco, California 94116

From: [lisa.chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lisa chen

lisa580910@yahoo.com

3116 wawona st

san francisco, California 94116

From: [L.Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

L Wong

artstv@aol.com

1005 power st

San Francisco , Ca 94108

From: [L Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

L Wong

artstv@aol.com

1005 power st

San Francisco , Ca 94108

From: [Cecilia Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecilia Zhang
zhangsixin@hotmail.com
120 Montana st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Cecilia Zhang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecilia Zhang
zhangsixin@hotmail.com
120 Montana st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Arjun Sodhani](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Tenant AGAINST Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents.

I am a tenant and strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" for the following reasons:

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Second, Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. My landlord is retired and relies heavily on the rental income she has expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. Technically, I could stop paying rent because my job was affected by COVID-19 and she wouldn't be able to evict me.

Third, With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

Fourth, #200375 encourages tenants to make up financial distresses to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic, and they shouldn't be treated as such.

As a tenant, I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375.

Consider the effects on housing providers as well because they "may find themselves in an

ever-deepening financial hole," as the ordinance says.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking tenant whose job was impacted by COVID-19 but started a new job in the midst of the pandemic to continue to meet my contractual obligations to my landlord, insurance companies, credit card companies, and others, because using stuff that's going on in the world as an excuse to get out of paying rent is dumb.

Arjun Sodhani
arjun.sodhani@gmail.com
8th Ave x Irving
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Arjun Sodhani](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Tenant AGAINST Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents.

I am a tenant and strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" for the following reasons:

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Second, Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. My landlord is retired and relies heavily on the rental income she has expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. Technically, I could stop paying rent because my job was affected by COVID-19 and she wouldn't be able to evict me.

Third, With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

Fourth, #200375 encourages tenants to make up financial distresses to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic, and they shouldn't be treated as such.

As a tenant, I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375.

Consider the effects on housing providers as well because they "may find themselves in an

ever-deepening financial hole," as the ordinance says.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking tenant whose job was impacted by COVID-19 but started a new job in the midst of the pandemic to continue to meet my contractual obligations to my landlord, insurance companies, credit card companies, and others, because using stuff that's going on in the world as an excuse to get out of paying rent is dumb.

Arjun Sodhani
arjun.sodhani@gmail.com
8th Ave x Irving
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Lucy Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:54:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lucy Ho
ljho44@hotmail.com
2216 Flower Creek Ln
Hacienda Hts, California 91745

From: [Jim Ping](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:55:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jim Ping
tentent@yahoo.com
2937 balboa St
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Jim Ping](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:55:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jim Ping
tentent@yahoo.com
2937 balboa St
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Shunyingchen.Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:55:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shunyingchen Chen
carriechen33@Yahoo.com
1937 20Th Ave
SF, Ca94116

From: [Shunyingchen.Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:55:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shunyingchen Chen
carriechen33@Yahoo.com
1937 20Th Ave
SF, Ca94116

From: [Sunny Chow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:55:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sunny Chow

alwaysfang20012001@yahoo.com

Market

Oakland, California 94607

From: [Sunny Chow](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:56:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sunny Chow

alwaysfang20012001@yahoo.com

Market

Oakland, California 94607

From: [Kenny Yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:57:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenny Yee

yeeken99@yahoo.com

2415 30th ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Kenny Yee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:57:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenny Yee

yeeken99@yahoo.com

2415 30th ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sui Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:58:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sui Li

chincatpink@yahoo.com

1218 sunrise way

Milpitas, California 95035

From: [Sui Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:58:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sui Li

chincatpink@yahoo.com

1218 sunrise way

Milpitas, California 95035

From: [Xiaoxin Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:58:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaoxin Chen
shangrichan@yahoo.com
4564 balmoral park ct
Fremont, California 94538

From: [Xiaoxin Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:58:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaoxin Chen
shangrichan@yahoo.com
4564 balmoral park ct
Fremont, California 94538

From: [Zhongqiong Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhongqiong Yu
zqy92joanne@gmail.com
455 Lisa Ann St
Bay Point, California 94565

From: [Jenny Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Chen
jannsf@gmail.com
260 Loyola Drive
Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Jenny Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Chen
jannsf@gmail.com
260 Loyola Drive
Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Suzanna Dang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Suzanna Dang
suzanna88@yahoo.com
1625 Quintara st
SF, California 94116

From: [Merwin Lai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Merwin Lai

coolsf@sbcglobal.net

542 36th ave

San Francis , California 94121

From: [Trent Zhu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Trent Zhu

trent.zhu@hotmail.com

362 Gellert Blvd

Daly City , California 94015

From: [Kanny Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kanny Wong

kannymathew@yahoo.com

2496 Butternut dr

Hillsborough , California 94010

From: [Helen Guo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen Guo
yyx0505@yahoo.com
34453 Willow Lane
Union city, California 94587

From: [Helen Guo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen Guo
yyx0505@yahoo.com
34453 Willow Lane
Union city, California 94587

From: [Trent Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Trent Zhu

trent.zhu@hotmail.com

362 Gellert Blvd

Daly City , California 94015

From: [Rong Shao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rong Shao
angelshr@163.com
3540 Butcher Dr
Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Rong Shao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rong Shao
angelshr@163.com
3540 Butcher Dr
Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Yi fan He](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi fan He

eva468@gmail.com

691 Goettingen

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Yi fan He](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi fan He

eva468@gmail.com

691 Goettingen

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Kanny Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kanny Wong

kannymathew@yahoo.com

2496 Butternut dr

Hillsborough , California 94010

From: [Man Chu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:02:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Man Chu

joycechu168@yahoo.com

34351 Enea Ter

Fremont, California 94555

From: [Aliya Zeng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:02:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Aliya Zeng
azeng@tenayathera.com
116 Avalon Drive
Daly e, California 94015

From: [Man Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:02:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Man Chu

joycechu168@yahoo.com

34351 Enea Ter

Fremont, California 94555

From: [Wallace Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wallace Lee
wallyjlee@gmail.com
1924 Alemany Blvd
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Wallace Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wallace Lee
wallyjlee@gmail.com
1924 Alemany Blvd
San Francisco, California 94112

From: vickyg68@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

vickyg68@yahoo.com
609 Sawyer St
San Fransico , Ca 94134

From: [Elaine Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Young
eyoungster@gmail.com
2478 46th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Elaine Young](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Young
eyoungster@gmail.com
2478 46th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jun Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun Li

junlirealty@gmail.com

37600 central ct

Newark , California 94560

From: [Jun Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun Li

junlirealty@gmail.com

37600 central ct

Newark , California 94560

From: [Kathy Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kathy Wu

kathywu88@yahoo.com

2143 18th Ave

SF, California 94116

From: [Amanda Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:05:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amanda Lu
amandalu.realtor@gmail.com
2119 E 21st Street
Oakland , California 94607

From: [Kathy Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:05:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your moo constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kathy Wu

kathywu88@yahoo.com

2143 18th Ave

SF, California 94116

From: [Amanda Lu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:05:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amanda Lu
amandalu.realtor@gmail.com
2119 E 21st Street
Oakland , California 94607

From: [Doris Davis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:05:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Doris Davis

doris@dordavis.com

889 Bauer Drive

San Carlos, California 94070

From: [Doris Davis](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:06:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Doris Davis

doris@dordavis.com

889 Bauer Drive

San Carlos, California 94070

From: [Vicky Guan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:06:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Guan

vickyg68@yahoo.com

609 Sawyer st

San Fransico, Colorado CA 94134

From: [Vicky Guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:06:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Guan
vickyg68@yahoo.com
609 Sawyer st
San Fransico, Colorado CA 94134

From: [Lucy Lu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:07:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lucy Lu
20062006@yahoo.com
227 Ashton ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lucy Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:07:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lucy Lu
20062006@yahoo.com
227 Ashton ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Adrienne Fung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:09:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Adrienne Fung
adrienneartmail@gmail.com
363 21st ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Yuki Zhang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:09:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuki Zhang

yukizhang2018@gmail.com

900 silver Ave.

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Yuki Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:09:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuki Zhang

yukizhang2018@gmail.com

900 silver Ave.

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [hailey.he](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:10:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

hailey he
tohailey2002@gmail.com
1559 24th avenue
San francisco, California 94122

From: [Jessica Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:10:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Huang
blomm_inspiration@yahoo.com
234 Pope Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jessica Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:10:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Huang
blomm_inspiration@yahoo.com
234 Pope Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [peter.dea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:10:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

peter dea
Ptshea8866@gmail.com
66 Somerset st
S.F., California 94134

From: [peter.dea](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:10:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

peter dea
Ptshea8866@gmail.com
66 Somerset st
S.F., California 94134

From: [Fei wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:11:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fei wong

wongfeiha@me.com

486 40 Th

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94121

From: [Xiaodeng Liao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:11:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaodeng Liao
dengliao900@gmail.com
900 silver Ave.
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Xiaodeng Liao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:11:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaodeng Liao
dengliao900@gmail.com
900 silver Ave.
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Fei wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:11:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fei wong

wongfeiha@me.com

486 40 Th

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94121

From: [Jenny Deng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Deng
jennydeng007@gmail.com
411 park ave
San jose, California 95110

From: [Jenny Deng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:11:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Deng
jennydeng007@gmail.com
411 park ave
San jose, California 95110

From: [Linda Tse](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:12:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Tse
yenb1@yahoo.com
201 Brussels st
Sf, California 94134

From: [Linda Tse](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:12:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Tse
yenb1@yahoo.com
201 Brussels st
Sf, California 94134

From: [Amy ma Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:12:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy ma Chen
amyma123@gmail.com
26 moneta way
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [Amy ma Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:12:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy ma Chen
amyma123@gmail.com
26 moneta way
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [June Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:13:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

June Zhang
zhuo1976@yahoo.com
5970 Pilgrim Ave
San Jose, California 95129

From: [June Zhang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:13:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

June Zhang
zhuo1976@yahoo.com
5970 Pilgrim Ave
San Jose, California 95129

From: [Emerald Hsu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:13:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emerald Hsu
emerald.hsu21@gmail.com
39 Clearview Dr
Daly City, California 94015

From: [Emerald Hsu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:14:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emerald Hsu
emerald.hsu21@gmail.com
39 Clearview Dr
Daly City, California 94015

From: [Ada Ling](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:14:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ada Ling
sfyl2020@yahoo.com
1256 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Ada Ling](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:14:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ada Ling
sfyl2020@yahoo.com
1256 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Pei Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:15:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pei Liu
Sunnyliu.art@hmail.com
83 King Ave
Fremont , California 94536

From: [Pei Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:15:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pei Liu
Sunnyliu.art@hmail.com
83 King Ave
Fremont , California 94536

From: [Haiying Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:15:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Haiying Wu
xiaoying5050@gmail.com
3271 Tracy Dr
Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Haiying Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:15:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Haiying Wu
xiaoying5050@gmail.com
3271 Tracy Dr
Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Lawrence Choi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:15:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lawrence Choi

cyeung.gsmtg1@sbcglobal.net

469 Harvard Street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Lawrence Choi](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:15:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lawrence Choi

cyeung.gsmtg1@sbcglobal.net

469 Harvard Street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Anita Lau](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Lau

ahlau38@hotmail.com

43 John st

San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Anita Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Lau

ahlau38@hotmail.com

43 John st

San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Vanessa Miao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vanessa Miao
vanessamiao.realtor@gmail.com
1341 Beacon Ave
San Mateo, California 94401

From: [Wilson Yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wilson Yee

wilsonwyee@gmail.com

34 Inverness Dr

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Vanessa Miao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vanessa Miao
vanessamiao.realtor@gmail.com
1341 Beacon Ave
San Mateo, California 94401

From: [Shirley Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Tan

sukyeetan@yahoo.com

377 el paseo

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Wilson Yee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wilson Yee

wilsonwyee@gmail.com

34 Inverness Dr

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Haiying Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Haiying Wu
xiaoying5050@gmail.com
3271 Tracy Dr
Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Haiying Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Haiying Wu
xiaoying5050@gmail.com
3271 Tracy Dr
Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Shing Fung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shing Fung
sfyl2020@yahoo.com
1256 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Shing Fung](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shing Fung
sfyl2020@yahoo.com
1256 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Soi Hong Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Soi Hong Wong

hi_totoro@sbcglobal.net

723 22nd Avenue

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Soi Hong Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Soi Hong Wong

hi_totoro@sbcglobal.net

723 22nd Avenue

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Xun Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xun Li

yingxiangye@gmail.com

131 Laura st

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Xun Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xun Li

yingxiangye@gmail.com

131 Laura st

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Michelle Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Huang
michellehuang168@hotmail.com
19 Augusta st
SF, California 94124

From: [Michelle Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Huang
michellehuang168@hotmail.com
19 Augusta st
SF, California 94124

From: [Min Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min Huang

jaydenzhou007@gmail.com

363 Head St

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Min Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min Huang

jaydenzhou007@gmail.com

363 Head St

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Chaolu Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chaolu Chen
cchen2828@foxmail.com
1400 Carpentier St
San Leandro , California 94577

From: [Chaolu Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chaolu Chen
cchen2828@foxmail.com
1400 Carpentier St
San Leandro , California 94577

From: [Michael Chan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:18:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Chan
mikech1980@gmail.com
300 Delano ave.
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Michael Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:18:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Chan
mikech1980@gmail.com
300 Delano ave.
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Janice Ooi](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:18:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Ooi

janiceooi@sbcglobal.net

Yoshida

Hayward , California 94545

From: [Janice Ooi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:18:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Ooi

janiceooi@sbcglobal.net

Yoshida

Hayward , California 94545

From: [Eugene Chi](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:19:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eugene Chi
eugcchi@yahoo.com
2115 Balboa St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Eugene Chi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:19:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eugene Chi
eugcchi@yahoo.com
2115 Balboa St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Shelly Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:19:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shelly Chen
ericjee88@yahoo.com
168 Shawnee ave
Sf, California 94112

From: [Tammy Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:20:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tammy Ho

tammy94112@yahoo.com

1911 an Jose Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Shelly Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:20:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shelly Chen
ericjee88@yahoo.com
168 Shawnee ave
Sf, California 94112

From: [Jade Tchong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jade Tchong
jadetchong1@gmail.com
240 Robert place
Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Jade Tchong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jade Tchong
jadetchong1@gmail.com
240 Robert place
Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Kwan Cheung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kwan Cheung

kwanlingcheung@yahoo.com

1912 via natal

San Lorenzo, California 94580

From: [Kwan Cheung](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kwan Cheung

kwanlingcheung@yahoo.com

1912 via natal

San Lorenzo, California 94580

From: [Laura Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laura Li
lanying@comcast.net
239 Santa Rosa ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Agnes Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Agnes Huang

agnes.huang@ymail.com

201 Folsom st

San Francisco , California 94105

From: [Agnes Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Agnes Huang

agnes.huang@ymail.com

201 Folsom st

San Francisco , California 94105

From: [Laura Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laura Li
lanying@comcast.net
239 Santa Rosa ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Yeda Guo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yeda Guo

mengxin009@126.com

1400 Carpentier St,

San Leandro, California 94577

From: [Yeda Guo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:21:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yeda Guo

mengxin009@126.com

1400 Carpentier St,

San Leandro , California 94577

From: [Mei yu Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:22:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei yu Li

meiyuli50@gmail.com

1478 23rd ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Mei yu Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:22:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei yu Li

meiyuli50@gmail.com

1478 23rd ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Hsuanyu Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:23:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hsuanyu Huang

ahiang5869@yahoo.com

PO Box 10

Mountain View , California 94042

From: [Hsuanyu Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:23:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hsuanyu Huang

ahiang5869@yahoo.com

PO Box 10

Mountain View , California 94042

From: [Nancy Lim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:23:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nancy Lim
nancylimre@gmail.com
2301 30th Avenue
SF , California 94116

From: [Cherisa Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:23:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cherisa Lee
jchshjA@yahoo.com
2670 30th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Cherisa Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:23:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cherisa Lee
jchshjA@yahoo.com
2670 30th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jui Han Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:23:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jui Han Yu

Xxariouxx@gmail.com

3936 Reston Ct

South San Francisco , California 94080

From: [Jui Han Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:23:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jui Han Yu

Xxariouxx@gmail.com

3936 Reston Ct

South San Francisco , California 94080

From: [Laura Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:24:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laura Li
lanying@comcast.net
239 Santa Rosa ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Michael Hsu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:24:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Hsu

mike@madisonhunter.com

434 Kirkham st

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Michael Hsu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:24:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Hsu

mike@madisonhunter.com

434 Kirkham st

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Laura Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:25:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laura Li
lanying@comcast.net
239 Santa Rosa ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Sophia Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:25:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Li

sophialisu@gmail.com

4575 Balmoral Park CT

Fremont , California 94538

From: [Sophia Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:25:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Li

sophialisu@gmail.com

4575 Balmoral Park CT

Fremont , California 94538

From: [Kelly Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:25:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kelly Liu

kellyhengliu@gmail.com

13686 old tree way

Saratoga , California 95070

From: [Kelly Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:25:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kelly Liu

kellyhengliu@gmail.com

13686 old tree way

Saratoga , California 95070

From: [Mike Mian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:26:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mike Mian

mike.mian@gmail.com

2165 48th ave

Oakland, California 94601

From: [Feng Ping Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:26:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Feng Ping Yu

kcu_11@yahoo.com

1117 Plymouth Avenue

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Feng Ping Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:26:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Feng Ping Yu

kcu_11@yahoo.com

1117 Plymouth Avenue

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Mike Mian](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:26:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mike Mian

mike.mian@gmail.com

2165 48th ave

Oakland, California 94601

From: [Michelle Kuang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:26:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Kuang
sweetlkus@yahoo.com
557 Sawyer St
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Michelle Kuang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:26:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Kuang
sweetlkus@yahoo.com
557 Sawyer St
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Yan Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:27:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Tan
mayzhe123@iclou.com
26 Paul st
Daly City , Ca94014

From: [Yan Tan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:27:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Tan

mayzhe123@iclou.com

26 Paul st

Daly City , Ca94014

From: [Sophia Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:27:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Li

sophia@winpluswealth.com

Sophia@winpluswealth.com

Fremont , California 94538

From: [Huan hui Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:27:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huan hui Huang

haunhuang@yahoo.com

685 Dwight st

S f, Ca94134

From: [Huan hui Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:27:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huan hui Huang
haunhuang@yahoo.com
685 Dwight st
S f, Ca94134

From: [Sophia Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:27:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Li

sophia@winpluswealth.com

Sophia@winpluswealth.com

Fremont , California 94538

From: [Dongxiao Feng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:27:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dongxiao Feng
fengdx@hotmail.com
1820 29th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Dongxiao Feng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:27:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dongxiao Feng
fengdx@hotmail.com
1820 29th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Angel Lui](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:28:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angel Lui
angelhome2019@outlook.com
227 Argonaut ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [April Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:28:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

April Xu
aprilxu5@gmail.com
130 Plymouth ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Angel Lui](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:28:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angel Lui
angelhome2019@outlook.com
227 Argonaut ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Grace Yun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:28:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Yun

grace.k.yun@wellsfargo.com

1269 Geneva Avenue

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Grace Yun](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:28:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Yun

grace.k.yun@wellsfargo.com

1269 Geneva Avenue

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Emily Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:28:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Li
emyhli@gmail.com
215 Princeton St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [April Xu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:28:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

April Xu
aprilxu5@gmail.com
130 Plymouth ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Crystal Jian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:28:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Crystal Jian
crystalmeijian@yahoo.com
463 Lisbon st
San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Crystal Jian](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:28:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Crystal Jian
crystalmeijian@yahoo.com
463 Lisbon st
San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Saulian Yep](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:29:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Saulian Yep
cchen2828@gmail.com
960 84th Ave
Oakland , California 94621

From: [Fan Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:30:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fan Li

fanlistens@gmail.com

6585 madina drive

Oakland , California 94611

From: [Fan Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:30:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fan Li

fanlistens@gmail.com

6585 madina drive

Oakland , California 94611

From: [Andy Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:30:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andy Huang
marking982001@yahoo.com
4813 Noriker drive
Elk Grove , CA 95757

From: [Saulian Yep](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:31:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Saulian Yep
cchen2828@gmail.com
960 84th Ave
Oakland , California 94621

From: [Wilson Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:32:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wilson Young
wilsonyoung884@gmail.com
3544 San Bruno Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Jason Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:33:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Li
jli415@gmail.com
215 Princeton st
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Wei lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:34:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei lee

letmegetin@hotmail.com

136 Montana st, San Francisco, Ca 94112

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [David Miao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:34:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Miao
miaocpa@yahoo.com
201 Folsom st
San Francisco , California 94105

From: [David Miao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:34:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Miao
miaocpa@yahoo.com
201 Folsom st
San Francisco , California 94105

From: [Chao wei Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:34:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chao wei Li
chaoweili47@gmail.com
1478 23rd Avenue
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Chao wei Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:34:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chao wei Li
chaoweili47@gmail.com
1478 23rd Avenue
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Kaixia Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:35:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kaixia Li

likaixia0516@gmail.com

1823 27th Avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Kaixia Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:35:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kaixia Li

likaixia0516@gmail.com

1823 27th Avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Yungwei Miao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:35:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yungwei Miao

miaocpa@hotmail.com

338 main st

San Francisco , California 94105

From: [Yungwei Miao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:35:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yungwei Miao

miaocpa@hotmail.com

338 main st

San Francisco , California 94105

From: [Peter yao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:35:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter yao

peterxyao@gmail.com

1370 26th ave

san francisco, California 94122

From: [Xiao Li Hong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:36:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiao Li Hong
xiaolihong1@gmail.com
215 Princeton St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Ya Ling Liao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:36:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ya Ling Liao

stevenchen415@yahoo.com

Lisbon St and Brazil Ave

San Francisco, Ca94112

From: [Ya Ling Liao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:36:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ya Ling Liao

stevenchen415@yahoo.com

Lisbon St and Brazil Ave

San Francisco, Ca94112

From: [Lucy Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:36:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lucy Liu

liulucy@sbcglobal.net

7547 Donegal Drive

Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Lucy Liu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:36:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lucy Liu

liulucy@sbcglobal.net

7547 Donegal Drive

Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Zhendong Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:36:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhendong Wu
ryanwu19951222@gmail.com
231 russia ave
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94112

From: [Zhendong Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:36:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhendong Wu
ryanwu19951222@gmail.com
231 russia ave
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94112

From: [SHIRLEY YAO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

SHIRLEY YAO

SHIRLEYXYAO@GMAIL.COM

432 35TH AVE

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94121

From: [jianyi Yan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jianyi Yan

cindyyan024@gmail.com

1823 27th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [jianyi Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jianyi Yan

cindyyan024@gmail.com

1823 27th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Yueming Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yueming Liu
anneliu1013@gmail.com
5779 Balmoral Dr
Oakland , California 94619

From: [Wilson Young](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wilson Young
wilsonyoung884@gmail.com
3544 San Bruno Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Jen Chiu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jen Chiu

jENCHIU@hotmail.com

1174 pomeroy ave

Santa clara, California 95051

From: [Jen Chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jen Chiu

jENCHIU@hotmail.com

1174 pomeroy ave

Santa clara, California 95051

From: [jianyi Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jjanyi Yan
cindyyan024@gmail.com
1823 27th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [jianyi Yan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:38:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jianyi Yan

cindyyan024@gmail.com

1823 27th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [jianyi Yan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:38:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jianyi Yan

cindyyan024@gmail.com

1823 27th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [jianyi Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:38:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jianyi Yan

cindyyan024@gmail.com

1823 27th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [ben yao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:38:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ben yao

benxyao@gmail.com

432 35TH AVE

san francisco, California 94121

From: [Jerry Zhao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:38:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jerry Zhao

brojerry555@gmail.com

233 Randolph St

San Francisco , California 94132-3117

From: [Jerry Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:38:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jerry Zhao

brojerry555@gmail.com

233 Randolph St

San Francisco , California 94132-3117

From: [Guo Hua Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:38:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Guo Hua Li
ghluoua@gmail.com
215 Princeton St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Baozhen Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baozhen Ma

baozhenma220@gmail.com

220 Argonaut Ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [EvaMaria Tisdale](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

EvaMaria Tisdale
astraue@comcast.net
43391 Ellsworth street
Fremont, California 94539

From: [Baozhen Ma](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baozhen Ma

baozhenma220@gmail.com

220 Argonaut Ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [EvaMaria Tisdale](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

EvaMaria Tisdale
astraeu@comcast.net
43391 Ellsworth street
Fremont, California 94539

From: [jianyi Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jianyi Yan

cindyyan024@gmail.com

1823 27th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [jianyi Yan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jianyi Yan

cindyyan024@gmail.com

1823 27th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [JunQuan Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

JunQuan Chen
quan888@yahoo.com
723 22nd Avenue
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [JunQuan Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

JunQuan Chen
quan888@yahoo.com
723 22nd Avenue
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Lily Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Li

lilyli95050@yahoo.com

234 Odyssey

Milpitas , California 95035

From: [Lily Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Li

lilyli95050@yahoo.com

234 Odyssey

Milpitas , California 95035

From: [Joey Cao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joey Cao

joeycao1993@gmail.com

1174 pomeroy ave

Santa clara, California 95051

From: [Joey Cao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:39:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joey Cao

joeycao1993@gmail.com

1174 pomeroy ave

Santa clara, California 95051

From: [K cloudsrest](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Yan, Calvin \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com
Subject: Fwd: NO on # 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Board Members, Erica Major:

Please vote "NO" on #200375.

Many property owners in the City are Asian. I often wonder if proposals like #200375 are intended to be discriminatory as they seem to target small time Asian landlords like myself, who are elderly with disabilities and worked hard their entire lives, in the face of discrimination. Some tenants alleging inability to pay due to financial burdens caused by COVID-19 continue to earn high salaries. They probably out-earn me. Being a woman of color, there is always the thought of some underlying form of discrimination against me/us. I would like to ask you - if you worked hard your entire life to purchase a small piece of rental property to provide affordable housing, would you want your basic rights taken away from you? Please look at both sides and evaluate a situation fairly. Please read the rest of my message, below. Thank you.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Date: Mon, Jun 1, 2020 at 8:44 AM
Subject: RE: NO on # 200375
To: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>

Greetings,

Thank you for your testimony, it has been added to Board File No. 200375.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:56 PM
To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com; Dion wong <wong_dion@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: NO on # 200375

Dear Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Peskin, Supervisor Preston, Supervisor Safai, Erica Major,

My revised statement to present before the Land Use Committee on June 1, 2020 at 1:30 pm:

NO on #200375

I am a District 3 constituent and co-owner of a small mixed-use apartment rental building that also serves as my residence; I live alongside our tenants, with whom we have a genuinely trusting, businesslike relationship. I take pride in maintaining my property in above average condition and treating my tenants with the utmost respect by faithfully carrying out the lease agreement and addressing their inquiries and requests in a timely manner. My building is over 100 years old and requires high level maintenance to keep it in good working order and a habitable condition for my family and my tenants. My building serves as MY HOME and that of my tenants. I have both a legal and moral obligation to be a responsible landlord and property manager for each of my tenants. I will never waiver from this obligation.

- The city does not have legal authority under the Governor's order to permanently restrict a landlord's ability to recover rent due.
- This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom and pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and maintenance expenses.
- This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.
- The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights.
- Mom and pop landlords like myself are particularly hit hard by renters who cannot pay. If even one renter in a 4-unit building cannot pay, the owner also experiences a financial hardship. The impact is made worse if the landlord has long-term tenants paying extremely below-market rent. For example, I have several long-term tenants paying well below market rents based on a 30 year tenancy. Their total combined rent would not cover a major repair job so every dollar that I don't collect impacts my ability to meet both routine and extraordinary monthly expenses. Should there be a major leak in a drain pipe – which would cost thousands of dollars – the cost would exceed the rents collected and I would be operating at a loss. Being a mom and pop landlord has its inherent risks. But, I continue to meet these expenses even if it means paying out of pocket from my meager retirement income. Proposal #200375 only adds to my existing hardship to make ends meet, so, you see, it is not always the tenant who endures financial hardship.
- Many property owners in the City are Asian. I often wonder if proposals like #200375 are intended to be discriminatory as they seem to target small time Asian landlords like myself, who are elderly with disabilities. Some tenants alleging inability to pay due to financial burdens caused by COVID-19 continue to earn high salaries. They probably out-earn me. What are your thoughts on this?
- All I ask is that you put politics and emotions aside and see the situation from BOTH SIDES. Help the good landlords survive and thrive in this City by applying the law fairly so we can meet our expenses and continue to provide fair housing during these challenging times and beyond. Thank you.

Karen Y. Wong

Native San Franciscan

On Fri, May 29, 2020 at 3:13 PM Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org> wrote:

Greetings,

Thank you for your testimony, it will be added to the official Board File No. 200375 - Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:22 AM
To: Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: NO on # 200375

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Vote "NO" on # 200375. Work with the good mom&pop landlords in the City. Thank you!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, May 29, 2020 at 11:19 AM
Subject: NO on # 200375
To: <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>, Dion wong <wong_dion@hotmail.com>, Kenton Wong <ahwahnee1927@gmail.com>

Dear Board of Supervisors, Erica Major:

This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners like myself to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom & pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and high maintenance expenses, particularly with older buildings like mine.

This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers (who have been financially impacted by COVID) from using California state law to enforce our rights.

I worked hard my entire life to make my rental property a success – for both my family and my tenants. Please help the good landlords of the City succeed so we can continue to provide comfortable, clean, safe and well-maintained housing for people. Please work WITH US NOT AGAINST US. That is all we ask but we need your help to make this work. I just feel that the Board is constantly picking on good landlords like myself. I comply with every single ordinance whether it makes sense or not, and now I feel like I'm fighting a losing battle. Please work with us, not against us. Thank you!

Karen Wong

District 3 constituent & native San Franciscan

Apartment bldg co-owner

mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen

mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen

mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen

mobile #415-992-2489

From: [Cindy Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Yan
cindyyanjy@cmi.chinamobile.com
1823 27th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Cindy Yan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Yan
cindyyanjy@cmi.chinamobile.com
1823 27th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Amy Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Li
ali415@gmail.com
215 Princeton St
San Francisco, California 94134-1313

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:41:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:41:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman King
lionshermanking@gmail.com
2038 16th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Fan Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:41:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fan Li

fanlistens@gmail.com

6585 madina drive

Oakland , California 94611

From: [Fan Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:41:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fan Li

fanlistens@gmail.com

6585 madina drive

Oakland , California 94611

From: [Vivian Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:42:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vivian Li
vivi1688@outlook.com
Holloway
SF, California 94112

From: [Vivian Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:42:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vivian Li
vivi1688@outlook.com
Holloway
SF, California 94112

From: [Sue Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:44:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sue Zhou

suezhou1251@yahoo.com

1251 38th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sue Zhou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:44:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sue Zhou

suezhou1251@yahoo.com

1251 38th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Lina Zhong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:44:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lina Zhong
linazhong@yahoo.com
15 Chancery Lane
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lina Zhong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:44:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lina Zhong
linazhong@yahoo.com
15 Chancery Lane
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jun kai Zheng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:45:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun kai Zheng
kz5656@yahoo.com
858 Duncan Street
San Francisco, Kansas 67131

From: [Jun kai Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:45:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun kai Zheng
kz5656@yahoo.com
858 Duncan Street
San Francisco, Kansas 67131

From: [Wendy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:45:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Chen
fengyingchen415@hotmail.com
274 Pope st
Sf, California 94112

From: [Estella Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:45:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Estella Li

li.estella@yahoo.com

1705 Hampton Lane

Daly City , California 94014

From: [Yu Ming Hong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:49:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Ming Hong
socapy@gmail.com
359 Cambridge St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [May Mok](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:49:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Mok

mmok375@yahoo.com

375 12th Ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [May Mok](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:49:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Mok

mmok375@yahoo.com

375 12th Ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Yin Zhen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:49:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yin Zhen

yinzhen117@gmail.com

45 concord st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Yin Zhen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:49:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yin Zhen

yinzhen117@gmail.com

45 concord st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Janet Pan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:50:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janet Pan

jp200us@yahoo.com

562 Pala Avenue

San Leandro, California 94577

From: [Janet Pan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:50:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janet Pan

jp200us@yahoo.com

562 Pala Avenue

San Leandro, California 94577

From: [Kim Ming Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:50:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kim Ming Wong
KMWongHK@gmail.com
194 Stonecrest
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Jim Caudil](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:51:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jim Caudil
tongli28@yopmail.com
995A filbert st
San Francisco , Texas 94113

From: [Jim Caudil](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:51:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jim Caudil

tongli28@yopmail.com

995A filbert st

San Francisco , Texas 94113

From: [Vicky Ng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:51:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Ng

vvickyng@hotmail.com

130

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Vicky Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:51:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Ng

vvickyng@hotmail.com

130

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Grace Mok](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:51:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Mok

GraceMok2020@gmail.com

194 Stonecrest

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Frank Ribeiro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:52:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Frank Ribeiro
fribeiro1099@gmail.com
1099 Holloway Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Frank Ribeiro](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:52:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Frank Ribeiro
fribeiro1099@gmail.com
1099 Holloway Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Henry Low](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:52:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Henry Low

henrylow@pmp1988.com

950 Taraval St

San Francisco, Ca, California 94116

From: [Camilla He](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:52:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Camilla He

chunyuanhe2@yahoo.com

3219 Judah

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Camilla He](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:52:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Camilla He

chunyuanhe2@yahoo.com

3219 Judah

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sandy Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:52:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Yang
sandysyang@gmail.com
1098 huron
Sf, California 94112

From: [Sandy Yang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:52:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Yang
sandysyang@gmail.com
1098 huron
Sf, California 94112

From: [Edward Kwong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:53:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edward Kwong
EdwardKwong2020@gmail.com
3300 Geary Blvd
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Lisa Remmer](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:54:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Remmer
lisaremmmer@gmail.com
15 Alpine Terrace
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Ivy Shou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:55:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivy Shou

ivyshou40@gmail.com

22 Santa Barbara Ave

San Francisco, California 94022

From: [Ivy Shou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:55:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivy Shou

ivyshou40@gmail.com

22 Santa Barbara Ave

San Francisco, California 94022

From: [jones.lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:56:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jones lee
ljz6789@hotmail.com
holloway st
s.f, California 94112

From: [jones.lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:56:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jones lee
ljz6789@hotmail.com
holloway st
s.f, California 94112

From: [Cindy Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:56:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Cheng
cindychen505@gmail.com
238 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Bowen Situ](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:56:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bowen Situ
bowensitu@hotmail.com
1098 huron
Sf, California 94112

From: [Bowen Situ](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:56:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bowen Situ
bowensitu@hotmail.com
1098 huron
Sf, California 94112

From: [Jon Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:56:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jon Chen

dragon_95035@yahoo.com

2915 Meridien Circle

Union City, CA, California 94587

From: [Mike Liang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:57:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mike Liang

mliang@gmail.com

1560 Geneva Ave

SF, California 94112

From: [Mike Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:57:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mike Liang

mliang@gmail.com

1560 Geneva Ave

SF, California 94112

From: [Bessie Pretzer](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:57:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bessie Pretzer

kwusfalliance@gmail.com

Kwusfalliance@gmail.com

SF, California 94116

From: [Bessie Pretzer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:57:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bessie Pretzer

kwusfalliance@gmail.com

Kwusfalliance@gmail.com

SF, California 94116

From: [Debra Toy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:57:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Debra Toy
debratoy@gmail.com
1327 Leavenworth Street, #103B
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Wen yu Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:58:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wen yu Li

lilyhu688@gmail.com

234 Arleta ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Wen yu Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:58:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wen yu Li

lilyhu688@gmail.com

234 Arleta ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Chan Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:58:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chan Yu

allenyu48@yahoo.com

155 Sears St

San Francisco, California 94112-4029

From: [Chan Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:58:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chan Yu

allenyu48@yahoo.com

155 Sears St

San Francisco, California 94112-4029

From: [Shu Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:58:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shu Liang

sycatl25@gmail.com

3436 Vicente street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Shu Liang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:58:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shu Liang

sycatl25@gmail.com

3436 Vicente street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Chan Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:59:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chan Yu

allenyu48@yahoo.com

155 Sears St

San Francisco, California 94112-4029

From: [Chan Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:59:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chan Yu

allenyu48@yahoo.com

155 Sears St

San Francisco, California 94112-4029

From: [JiaSuey Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:59:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

JiaSuey Wu

kathywu88@hotmail.com

2143 18th Ave

SF, California 94116

From: [JiaSuey Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:59:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

JiaSuey Wu
kathywu88@hotmail.com
2143 18th Ave
SF, California 94116

From: [Raymond Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:59:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raymond Chang
cindychen505@gmail.com
238 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: andyli2300@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:00:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

andyli2300@yahoo.com

2300 16th Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: andyli2300@yahoo.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:00:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

andyli2300@yahoo.com

2300 16th Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Lisa Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:00:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Yang

lisayang777@yahoo.com

464 Delridge Dr

San Jose, California 95111

From: [Esther Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:00:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Esther Chen

estherchen7@gmail.com

3350 Irving St

San Francisco, California 94122-1315

From: [Esther Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:00:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Esther Chen

estherchen7@gmail.com

3350 Irving St

San Francisco, California 94122-1315

From: [Tracy Thompson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:01:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tracy Thompson
tracythomp24@yahoo.com
1883 16th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Lisa Yang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:01:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Yang

lisayang777@yahoo.com

464 Delridge Dr

San Jose, California 95111

From: [Tracy Thompson](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:01:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tracy Thompson
tracythomp24@yahoo.com
1883 16th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Hui Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:02:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Zhu
kennyr9119@gmail.com
250 Baltimore way
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hui Zhu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:02:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Zhu
kennyr9119@gmail.com
250 Baltimore way
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Yu xiang Zou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:03:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu xiang Zou

yuxiangz03@hotmail.com

368 Sweeny street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Yu xiang Zou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:03:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu xiang Zou

yuxiangz03@hotmail.com

368 Sweeny street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Hui Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:03:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Wong

huiwongsf@yahoo.com

400 Avalon Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Connie Cheung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:03:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Cheung
conche26@gmail.com
Randolph st
San Francisco , Ca 94132

From: [Connie Cheung](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:03:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Cheung
conche26@gmail.com
Randolph st
San Francisco , Ca 94132

From: [Hui Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:03:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Wong

huiwongsf@yahoo.com

400 Avalon Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Stera Cheung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:04:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stera Cheung
cindycheng505@gmail.com
238 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Annie Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:05:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Chan
aqmchan@gmail.com
Marengo ave
Alhambra, Ca91801

From: [Sally Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:05:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sally Huang

sallyhuang668@gmail.com

1706-48th Avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Sally Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:05:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sally Huang

sallyhuang668@gmail.com

1706-48th Avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Winnie Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:06:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Yu

dkwong287@att.net

287 Peninsula Ave

San Francisco , Ca94134

From: [Winnie Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:06:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Yu

dkwong287@att.net

287 Peninsula Ave

San Francisco , Ca94134

From: [viven Cheung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:06:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

viven Cheung
cindycheng505@gmail.com
238 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [L.C.](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:06:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

L C

openhouse94112@gmail.com

1030 Capitol Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Thomas Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:06:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Thomas Lee
siubobo2000@yahoo.com
767 delta street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Thomas Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:06:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Thomas Lee
siubobo2000@yahoo.com
767 delta street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [LC](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:07:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

L C

openhouse94112@gmail.com

1030 Capitol Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jane Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:09:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Zhang
jjeyu98@gmail.com
1121 Johnson Street
Redwood City, California 94061

From: [Jane Zhang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:09:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Zhang
jjeyu98@gmail.com
1121 Johnson Street
Redwood City, California 94061

From: [Sherry Yang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:09:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherry Yang

shangjunyang@gmail.com

2583 Greendale Dr

South San Francisco , California 94080

From: [Sherry Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:09:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherry Yang

shangjunyang@gmail.com

2583 Greendale Dr

South San Francisco , California 94080

From: [Min Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:09:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min Chen

m_chen97@yahoo.com

125 Connemara Way #115

Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [Min Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:09:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min Chen

m_chen97@yahoo.com

125 Connemara Way #115

Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [Yen Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:09:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Yen Ng

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yen Ng

yen_20022003@yahoo.com

Rhine Street and Flournoy Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tony Shou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:10:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Shou

luminous28@hotmail.com

22 Santa Barbara Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Tony Shou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:10:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Shou

luminous28@hotmail.com

22 Santa Barbara Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Tony Shou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:10:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Shou

luminous28@hotmail.com

22 Santa Barbara Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Tony Shou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:10:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Shou

luminous28@hotmail.com

22 Santa Barbara Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Tony Shou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:11:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Shou

luminous28@hotmail.com

22 Santa Barbara Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Philip Regenie](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:11:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Philip Regenie
pregenie@gmail.com
67 Barcelona Ave
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Philip Regenie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:11:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Philip Regenie
pregenie@gmail.com
67 Barcelona Ave
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Tony Shou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:12:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Shou

luminous28@hotmail.com

22 Santa Barbara Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: ty8384@yahoo.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:12:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ty8384@yahoo.com

831 Vallejo

San Francisco, California 94133

From: ty8384@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:12:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ty8384@yahoo.com

831 Vallejo

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Dan Cha](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:12:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dan Cha

dc68sfsu@yahoo.com

Dorado

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Susan Cheong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:13:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Cheong

susancheong11@gmail.com

22 Santa Barbara Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Susan Cheong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:13:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Cheong
susancheong11@gmail.com
22 Santa Barbara Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jonie Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:13:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jonie Lau

jonie.lau@gmail.com

658-3rd ave

San Francisco Ca, California 94118

From: [Ethel Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:14:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ethel Chan

ethelchan2020@gmail.com

530A 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Eddie Shou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:14:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eddie Shou
eddieshou@ymail.com
22 Santa Barbara Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Eddie Shou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:14:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eddie Shou
eddieshou@ymail.com
22 Santa Barbara Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Andra Cheung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:14:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andra Cheung
acre680@gmail.com
2 las villas ct
San francisco, California 94124

From: [Andra Cheung](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:15:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andra Cheung
acre680@gmail.com
2 las villas ct
San francisco, California 94124

From: [Helen Hou](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:16:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen Hou

helenhou@gmail.com

2005 de la cruz Blvd. #230

Santa Clara, California 95050

From: [Helen Hou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:16:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen Hou

helenhou@gmail.com

2005 de la cruz Blvd. #230

Santa Clara, California 95050

From: [jun wei](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:16:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jun wei
juncowrabbit@Gmail.com
547 40th
San Francisco CA, California 94121

From: [jun wei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:16:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jun wei
juncowrabbit@Gmail.com
547 40th
San Francisco CA, California 94121

From: guixia888@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:18:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

guixia888@gmail.com

533Sunnyvale AVE

San Francisco, California 94134

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:18:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: [Tian Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:18:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tian Zheng
nomnompiexd@gmail.com
2163 40th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:18:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:19:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:19:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: [Joyce Zhao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:20:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Zhao
joycezhao188@gmail.com
750 University Ave
Los Gatos , California 95032

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:20:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:20:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: [Nicole Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:20:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nicole Lei

nicolelei1234@gmail.com

16 Howthst

sf, California 94112

From: [Joyce Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:20:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Zhao

joycezhao188@gmail.com

750 University Ave

Los Gatos , California 95032

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:20:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:21:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:21:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: swy0415@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:21:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

swy0415@gmail.com

2158 san jose Ave #b

Alameda , California 94501

From: [Nicole Lei](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:21:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nicole Lei

nicolelei1234@gmail.com

16 Hawthst

sf, California 94112

From: [Nicole Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:21:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nicole Lei

nicolelei1234@gmail.com

16 Hawthst

sf, California 94112

From: [Nicole Lei](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:21:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nicole Lei

nicolelei1234@gmail.com

16 Howthst

sf, California 94112

From: [Linlin Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:21:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linlin Li
nclilinman@gmail.com
Klondike Dr.
Union City, California 94587

From: [Mai Cheong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:22:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mai Cheong
maicheong@yahoo.com
422 Haight Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Mai Cheong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:22:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mai Cheong
maicheong@yahoo.com
422 Haight Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Yukswa Iau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:22:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yukswa lau

lauyukswa@gmail.com

671-3rd Ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Liyan Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:22:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liyan Huang
lililuo28@yahoo.com
535 Raymond Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Jane Ding](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:22:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Ding
dingdang311@yahoo.com
Victoria & shield Street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Jane Ding](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:22:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Ding
dingdang311@yahoo.com
Victoria & shield Street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Liyan Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:22:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liyan Huang
lililuo28@yahoo.com
535 Raymond Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Laurence Sy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:23:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurence Sy
larrysy@gmail.com
2418 easy street
San Leandro , Ca 94578

From: [Laurence Sy](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:23:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurence Sy
larrys@gmail.com
2418 easy street
San Leandro , Ca 94578

From: [Jane Ding](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:23:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Ding
dingdang311@yahoo.com
Victoria & shield Street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Jane Ding](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:23:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Ding
dingdang311@yahoo.com
Victoria & shield Street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Zhijun Qian](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:24:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhijun Qian

jun200536@hotmail.com

1101 Fairfax ave

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Zhijun Qian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:24:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhijun Qian

jun200536@hotmail.com

1101 Fairfax ave

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Laurence Sy](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:25:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurence Sy
larrysy@gmail.com
2418 easy street
San Leandro , Ca 94578

From: [Laurence Sy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:25:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurence Sy
larrysy@gmail.com
2418 easy street
San Leandro , Ca 94578

From: [Brian Xin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:25:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Brian Xin

brianxin@yahoo.com

4445 westerly Common

Fremont , CA 94538

From: [Brian Xin](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:25:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Brian Xin

brianxin@yahoo.com

4445 westerly Common

Fremont , CA 94538

From: [Shao Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:25:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shao Xie

shaoxie8@gmail.com

#263 Sadowa Street

San Francisco, Ca 94112

From: [Yaqian Jiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:26:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yaqian Jiang
cicizhang188@gmail.com
93 Topeka Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Justin Cheong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:26:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Justin Cheong
jcheong59@gmail.com
854 Geary St.
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Justin Cheong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:26:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Justin Cheong
jcheong59@gmail.com
854 Geary St.
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Li Ming Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:27:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Li Ming Tan
lmtan168@yahoo.com
931 Plymouth Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Laurie Parle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:28:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurie Parle

LaurieRecommends@gmail.com

1373 17th Avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Laurie Parle](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:28:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurie Parle

LaurieRecommends@gmail.com

1373 17th Avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Laurence Sy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:28:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurence Sy
larrys@gmail.com
2418 easy street
San Leandro , Ca 94578

From: [Laurence Sy](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:29:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurence Sy
larrysy@gmail.com
2418 easy street
San Leandro , Ca 94578

From: [Michael Treadwell](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:29:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Treadwell
michaeltreadwell@gmail.com
422 Haight Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Michael Treadwell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:29:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Treadwell
michaeltreadwell@gmail.com
422 Haight Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Faquan Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:29:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Faquan Liang
faquan_liang@yahoo.com
1531 Santiago Street
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Rita Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rita Huang
ritahuang.2007@yahoo.com
457 Moscow st.
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Rita Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rita Huang

ritahuang.2007@yahoo.com

457 Moscow st.

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jacky Zhao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jacky Zhao
zeakchi@gmail.com
233 randolph st
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Jacky Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jacky Zhao
zeakchi@gmail.com
233 randolph st
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Neri Angulo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:31:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Neri Angulo
nangulo@ssfusd.org
22 Santa Barbara Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Neri Angulo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:31:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Neri Angulo
nangulo@ssfusd.org
22 Santa Barbara Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Joanne Feng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:31:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanne Feng
yanfeng1998@mail.com
1517 140th Ave
San Leandro , California 94578

From: [Joanne Feng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:31:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanne Feng
yanfeng1998@mail.com
1517 140th Ave
San Leandro , California 94578

From: [Paul Yeong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:33:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paul Yeong
py0808@yahoo.com
478 Leland street
San Francisco , Ca 94134

From: [Paul Yeong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:33:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paul Yeong
py0808@yahoo.com
478 Leland street
San Francisco , Ca 94134

From: [Jadine Tom](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:34:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jadine Tom

jadine_tom@sbcglobal.net

1377-17th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Jadine Tom](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:34:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jadine Tom

jadine_tom@sbcglobal.net

1377-17th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [ocean_mak](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:36:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ocean mak
oceanmaking@gmail.com
469 grand ave
ssf, ca 94080

From: [ocean_mak](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:36:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ocean mak
oceanmaking@gmail.com
469 grand ave
ssf, ca 94080

From: [Zhao Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:37:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhao Chen

zhaochen540@yahoo.com

540 30th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Zhao Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:37:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhao Chen

zhaochen540@yahoo.com

540 30th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Mei Mei Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:38:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Mei Chen
flexstructure@gmail.com
359 Cambridge St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Ava Chung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:38:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Ava

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ava Chung
chung.ava2@gmail.com
119 Delano Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Na Juan Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:38:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Na Juan Huang
joyccee9@gmail.com
212 Peabody street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Na Juan Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:38:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Na Juan Huang
joyccee9@gmail.com
212 Peabody street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Lili Luo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:39:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lili Luo
wuhaoyuan1982@yahoo.com
1219 Felton St
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Lili Luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:39:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lili Luo
wuhaoyuan1982@yahoo.com
1219 Felton St
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Nicole Hong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:39:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nicole Hong
introvertagenda@gmail.com
359 Cambridge St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Yen Lo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:39:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yen Lo

yenlo168@gmail.com

1221!Athens street

San francisco, Ca 94112

From: [Yen Lo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:40:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yen Lo

yenlo168@gmail.com

1221!Athens street

San francisco, Ca 94112

From: [Citania Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:40:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Citania Tam

citania.tam@gmail.com

1326 Guerrero St

San Francisco , California 94110

From: [Dan Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:41:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dan Huang

kristy_dh146@hotmail.com

2331 33rd Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Dan Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:41:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dan Huang

kristy_dh146@hotmail.com

2331 33rd Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [June Shen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:41:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

June Shen
yijuneshen@gmail.com
2455-46ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Bojun Rong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:42:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bojun Rong
yanfeng1998@gmail.com
252 sadowa st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Bojun Rong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:42:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bojun Rong
yanfeng1998@gmail.com
252 sadowa st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Wenwei Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:42:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wenwei Zhang
maggie.jks@gmail.com
1019 Russia Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94112

From: [Kevin Hong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:42:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Hong
introvertvalueproposition@gmail.com
359 Cambridge St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Gordon Wong](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:48:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gordon Wong
gordon28@gmail.com
469 grand ave
S San Francisco , Ca 94080

From: [Gordon Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:48:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gordon Wong
gordon28@gmail.com
469 grand ave
S San Francisco , Ca 94080

From: [Vicky Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:51:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Chen
ying9chen@hotmail.com
2450 Bayshore Blvd
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Vicky Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:51:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Chen
ying9chen@hotmail.com
2450 Bayshore Blvd
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Wan Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:53:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wan Zhu

famousdesign88@yahoo.com

717 delta st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Wan Zhu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:53:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wan Zhu

famousdesign88@yahoo.com

717 delta st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Karen Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:53:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Huang

yh_fashiom@yahoo.com

5530 chestnut ave

Long beach, California 90805

From: [Karen Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:53:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Huang
yh_fashiom@yahoo.com
5530 chestnut ave
Long beach, California 90805

From: [Michelle Navertte](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:54:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Navertte
dulelehuahua@gmail.com
15713 magnolia blvd
Encino, California 91436

From: [Michelle Navertte](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:54:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Navertte
dulelehuahua@gmail.com
15713 magnolia blvd
Encino, California 91436

From: [Daniel Xi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:55:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Daniel Xi

x_df@yahoo.com

21800 Almaden ave

Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Daniel Xi](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:55:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Daniel Xi

x_df@yahoo.com

21800 Almaden ave

Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Freddy Martin](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of Support for covid 19 eviction protections Preston legislation
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:55:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Matt Haney,

I am writing to voice my strong support for Supervisor Preston's Eviction Protection Ordinance, File No. 200375.

Even before COVID-19, renters in San Francisco were struggling to make ends meet. With so many people now out of work, and with no ability to make income in the foreseeable future, I am terrified of what will happen to tenants after the state of emergency expires, and months of back rent become due.

Supervisor Preston's ordinance would stop landlords from evicting tenants who can't pay because of COVID-19 related income loss. It doesn't stop landlords from getting what they may be owed, it just takes eviction off the table. This is the most important step San Francisco can take to stop mass displacement after the state of emergency.

Thanks,
Freddy Martin - SDA Housing Organizer

From: [Jan Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:55:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jan Tan

jjianzhitan65@gmail.com

158 boutwell st

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Karen Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:56:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Huang

yh_fashiom@yahoo.com

5530 chestnut ave

Long beach, California 90805

From: [Karen Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:56:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Huang

yh_fashiom@yahoo.com

5530 chestnut ave

Long beach, California 90805

From: [Julia Poon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:56:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia Poon

julia.poon@yahoo.com

Ellington Avenue

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [shine zuo](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:57:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

shine zuo

shinexzuo@gmail.com

40463 Eaton ct

fremont, California 94538

From: [shine zuo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:57:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

shine zuo

shinexzuo@gmail.com

40463 Eaton ct

fremont, California 94538

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:57:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen

amychenhome168@gmail.com

613 Myrtle Ave

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:57:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen

amychenhome168@gmail.com

613 Myrtle Ave

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Liman Zhao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:57:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liman Zhao
zhaoliman7@gmail.com
321 via famero dr
Acton, California 93510

From: [Anna Yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:57:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Yee

yeeanna82@gmail.com

67 Bruce Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Liman Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:57:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liman Zhao
zhaoliman7@gmail.com
321 via famero dr
Acton, California 93510

From: [Anna Yee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:57:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Yee

yeeanna82@gmail.com

67 Bruce Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Mu Xia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:59:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mu Xia

shamux@gmail.com

1346 Eleanor Way

Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [Mu Xia](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:59:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mu Xia

shamux@gmail.com

1346 Eleanor Way

Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [Anita Ng](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:59:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Ng

anita1338@gmail.com

2521 Glenview street

Alameda , California 94501

From: [Anita Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:59:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Ng
anita1338@gmail.com
2521 Glenview street
Alameda , California 94501

From: [Tina Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:00:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Yan
tinayan168@gmail.com
Filan way
San jose, Ca, 95135

From: [Tina Yan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:00:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Yan
tinayan168@gmail.com
Filan way
San jose, Ca, 95135

From: [Peter Hu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:03:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Hu

peterhu03@gmail.com

Filan way

San jose, CA 95135

From: [Peter Hu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:03:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Hu

peterhu03@gmail.com

Filan way

San jose, CA 95135

From: [Robert Wang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:03:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Robert Wang
robertwang777@gmail.com
7004 Longridge Avenue
North Hollywood , California 91605

From: [Robert Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:03:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Robert Wang
robertwang777@gmail.com
7004 Longridge Avenue
North Hollywood , California 91605

From: [San Ong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:06:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

San Ong
sanong@pacbell.net
7 Seville Court
Millbrae, California 94030

From: [David Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Lee

davidleeca@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [David Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:06:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Lee

davidleeca@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [David Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:06:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Lee

davidleeca@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [David Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:06:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Lee

davidleeca@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [Dave Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:08:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dave Lee

davecool001@hotmail.com

Scenic ave

Livermore, California 94551

From: [Dave Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:08:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dave Lee

davecool001@hotmail.com

Scenic ave

Livermore, California 94551

From: [Dave Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:08:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dave Lee

davecool001@hotmail.com

Scenic ave

Livermore, California 94551

From: [Dave Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:08:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dave Lee

davecool001@hotmail.com

Scenic ave

Livermore, California 94551

From: [Ying Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:09:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Lee

liying888@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [Ying Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:09:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Lee

liying888@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [Ying Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:10:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Lee

liying888@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [Ying Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:10:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Lee

liying888@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [Ryan Zhang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:11:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ryan Zhang
ryanz2@hotmail.com
61 Pathway
Irvine, California 92618

From: [Ryan Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:11:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ryan Zhang

ryanz2@hotmail.com

61 Pathway

Irvine, California 92618

From: [Kai Qian](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:12:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kai Qian

kaiqian.sf@gmail.com

1884 16th avenue

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94122

From: [Kai Qian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:12:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kai Qian

kaiqian.sf@gmail.com

1884 16th avenue

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94122

From: [Pei rong Gan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:12:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pei rong Gan

peipeisf@yahoo.com

35 Western Shore Ln 4

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Pei rong Gan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:12:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pei rong Gan
peipeisf@yahoo.com
35 Western Shore Ln 4
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Lisa Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:12:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lisa Yu

lisa_yu2007@yahoo.com

183 del medio ave

Mountain View , California 94040

From: [Lisa Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:12:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lisa Yu

lisa_yu2007@yahoo.com

183 del medio ave

Mountain View , California 94040

From: [Ying Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:12:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Lee

liying888@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [Ying Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:12:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Lee

liying888@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [Joe Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:13:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joe Li

jkli188@yahoo.com

29 Lisbon Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ying Lee](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:13:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Lee

liying888@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [Ying Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:13:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Lee

liying888@hotmail.com

Mahogany

Newark, California 94560

From: [Eileen Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:14:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eileen Zhang
ezhome888@gmail.com
3502 pinnacle ct
San Jose , California 95132

From: [Eileen Zhang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:14:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eileen Zhang
ezhome888@gmail.com
3502 pinnacle ct
San Jose , California 95132

From: [Juliana Struve](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:14:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Juliana Struve

justruve@gmail.com

39 29th St

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Juliana Struve](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:14:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Juliana Struve

justruve@gmail.com

39 29th St

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Henry Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:15:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Henry Huang

henyh1_98@yahoo.com

24538 A st

Hayward , California 94544

From: [Henry Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:15:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Henry Huang

henyh1_98@yahoo.com

24538 A st

Hayward , California 94544

From: [Jiaer Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:19:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jiaer Wu

jerrywu73@gmail.com

2602 Paige Way

San Ramon, California 94582

From: [Jiaer Wu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:19:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jiaer Wu

jerrywu73@gmail.com

2602 Paige Way

San Ramon, California 94582

From: [Sally Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:21:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sally Li

sallywenge@yahoo.com

1235 McAllister

San Francisco , Colorado CA94115

From: [Sally Li](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:21:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sally Li

sallywenge@yahoo.com

1235 McAllister

San Francisco , Colorado CA94115

From: yuanwen_wu@hotmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:24:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yuanwen_wu@hotmail.com

1115 Leslie Dr

San Jose, California 95117

From: yuanwen_wu@hotmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:24:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yuanwen_wu@hotmail.com

1115 Leslie Dr

San Jose, California 95117

From: [Erin Chin](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:25:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Erin Chin

ehychin1964@msn.com

329 fair haven rd

Alameda, Ca 94501

From: [Erin Chin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:25:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Erin Chin

ehychin1964@msn.com

329 fair haven rd

Alameda, Ca 94501

From: fs940_monitor@hotmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:31:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

fs940_monitor@hotmail.com

Delano & San Juan

San Francisco , California 94112

From: fs940_monitor@hotmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:31:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

fs940_monitor@hotmail.com

Delano & San Juan

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ping Yuen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:33:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ping Yuen

pingping1539@gmail.com

28th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Victoria Tanaka](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:38:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Victoria Tanaka
victoria98us@yahoo.com
1380 Alemany blvd
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Victoria Tanaka](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:38:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Victoria Tanaka
victoria98us@yahoo.com
1380 Alemany blvd
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lawrence Mak](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:38:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lawrence Mak

lingguo221@hotmail.com

Farragut ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lawrence Mak](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:38:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lawrence Mak

lingguo221@hotmail.com

Farragut ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Josephine Lo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:39:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Josephine Lo

josephinelo1733@yahon.com

Josephinelo1733@yahoo .com

SF, California 94112

From: [Lawrence Mak](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:39:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lawrence Mak

lingguo221@hotmail.com

Farragut ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lawrence Mak](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:39:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lawrence Mak

lingguo221@hotmail.com

Farragut ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jason Luk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:40:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Luk
josephinel01733@yahon.com
2417 filbert st
Oakland , California 94607

From: [Jason Luk](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:40:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Luk
josephinelo1733@yahoo.com
2417 filbert st
Oakland , California 94607

From: [Vicky Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:41:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Lau

alvin2159@yahoo.com

110 Hale Street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Amber Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:43:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amber Lu

honglu2005@gmail.com

896 pepper tree ct

Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Donna Ling](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:43:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Donna Ling
domna.ling@gmail.com
833 Peach Ave
Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [Donna Ling](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:43:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Donna Ling
domna.ling@gmail.com
833 Peach Ave
Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [YaYa Huang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:46:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YaYa Huang
winnyh2388@yahoo.com
2279 20th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [YaYa Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:46:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YaYa Huang
winnyh2388@yahoo.com
2279 20th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Peter Chow](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:53:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Chow

cchow17@sbcglobal.net

31st Ave

Sf, California 94116

From: [Peter Chow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:53:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Chow

cchow17@sbcglobal.net

31st Ave

S f , California 94116

From: [Lapway Chang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:55:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lapway Chang
LC828@HOTMAIL.COM
904 Southgate Ave
Daly City, California 94015

From: [Lapway Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:55:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lapway Chang
LC828@HOTMAIL.COM
904 Southgate Ave
Daly City, California 94015

From: [MeiPing Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:58:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

MeiPing Chen
meiandlin@163.com
44 Burr Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [yeungwing tsang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:59:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

This is YW Tsang from SF. I am asking you not to pass this ordinance.

I understand that some tenants are in financial difficulty under the covid 19 or have been in financial difficulty before the virus crisis. The matter here is these people need help. However, this ordinance is mandating property owners to help them. In fact, helping these tenants should be a responsibility of the public, not putting laws to help the tenants. This ordinance is just passing the responsibility to the property owners. In fact, this should be the city responsibility to assist the tenants. The city can provide programs to help tenants to pay rent but not mandate the property owner to help tenants' financial difficulty.

Please note that property owners are not the evil party in this crisis. They are just a small business owner in form of making property investment and the customer is called tenant and the product is the shelter called home. When people don't have money to get food on table, government provides assistant in form of food stamps or vouches. Government would not put in laws to order the food providers to give away food and services in this process. Why this ordinance would allow the tenants to demand the housing services without fair market compensation to the property owners? It is not a fair ordinance. It is just an ordinance for government to pass their responsibility to property owners. For tenants, as a beneficiary from the outcome, they will favor to the ordinance and the persons who made this proposal.

I strongly against this unfair and buck passing ordinance.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yeungwing tsang
et_inbox08@sbcglobal.net
1580 Taraval St

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Raymond Zhou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:00:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raymond Zhou
raymond4242@yahoo.com
1474 42 Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [yafei zhao](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:05:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yafei zhao

yafeizhao@hotmail.com

812 birch ave

Sunnyvale, California 94086

From: [yafei zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:05:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yafei zhao

yafeizhao@hotmail.com

812 birch ave

Sunnyvale, California 94086

From: [Corey Chac](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:10:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Corey Chac
coreychac@gmail.com
815 Excelsior
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [chirag Odhav](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:20:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chirag Odhav

chiragodhav@gmail.com

300 3rd street 1115

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [chloe Tsang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:20:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chloe Tsang

chloe.sl.tsang@gmail.com

300 3rd street 1115

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Janet Cheung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:24:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janet Cheung
janetycheung@gmail.com
1122 Admiralty Lane
Alameda, California 94502

From: [Qian Tan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:24:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qian Tan
sandytan1968@gmail.com
Central Ave
San Leandro , California 94578

From: [Qian Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:24:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qian Tan
sandytan1968@gmail.com
Central Ave
San Leandro , California 94578

From: [YEUK Hai Mok](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:25:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YEUK Hai Mok
sharmok@yahoo.com
194 Stonecrest
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Ellen Mok](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:25:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ellen Mok
sharmok@yahoo.com
194 Stonecrest
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Baoling Ding](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:27:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baoling Ding

baolingding@yahoo.com

18901 Ballinger st

Northridge , California 91324

From: [Baoling Ding](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:27:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baoling Ding

baolingding@yahoo.com

18901 Ballinger st

Northridge , California 91324

From: [Yuan Huan Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:27:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuan Huan Huang
Yuanhuanhuang2020@gmail.com
160 Bertita Street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Florence Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:29:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Florence Yu

florencemayyu@gmail.com

710 Edinburgh street

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Florence Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:29:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Florence Yu

florencemayyu@gmail.com

710 Edinburgh street

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [YS Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:29:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YS Huang

Yuanhuanhuang2020@gmail.com

160 Bertita Street

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hellen Choi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:30:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hellen Choi

hellenchoi@me.com

2450 46th Ave Ave.

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Kua Tao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:30:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kua Tao

kua.tao2000@gmail.com

2191 Placer Drive

San Leandro, California 94578

From: [Kwok Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:32:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kwok Zhu

KwokZhu2020@gmail.com

438 Holyoke St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Vitaliy Selivanov](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:32:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vitaliy Selivanov

vitalik70@gmail.com

81 mariners cir

San Rafael , California 94903

From: [Rena Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rena Lee

rena-lee@sbcglobal.net

438 Holyoke St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Kum Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:34:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kum Leung

KLeung4122@gmail.com

4122 19th Ave

San Francisco, California 94142

From: [Harry Koo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:36:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Harry Koo

HKoo001@gmail.com

800 41st Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Alex Shvartsman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:46:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alex Shvartsman
mralex@gmail.com
1057 Mississippi St
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Joyce Jin](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:50:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Jin

joyce8jin@yahoo.com

27 Santa Teresita

Irvine, California 92606

From: [Joyce Jin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:50:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Jin

joyce8jin@yahoo.com

27 Santa Teresita

Irvine, California 92606

From: [Kan Wei Pang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:02:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kan Wei Pang

kathy.pang18@gmail.com

2641 5th St

Alameda , Ca 94501

From: [Kan Wei Pang](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:02:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Calvillo,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kan Wei Pang

kathy.pang18@gmail.com

2641 5th St

Alameda , Ca 94501

From: [Miki Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:03:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Miki Li

mikiwyli@gmail.com

162 Hale street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Hai Qiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:08:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hai Qiu

haiyanqiu65@yahoo.com

371 Klamath Street

Brisbane, Ca, California 94005

From: [May Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:11:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Lee

mlee062@yahoo.com

57 Belle Ave.

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Phillip Chow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:28:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Phillip Chow

Chowphillip1692@gmail.com

661 46th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Bill Yip](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:31:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Yip
wtyip@yahoo.com
5125 Anza Street
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Vi Dam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:37:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vi Dam
1606716412T@gmail.com
2989 Giovana Way
Castro Valley, California 94546

From: [Matthew Shiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:40:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Matthew Shiu
matthewshiu@sbcglobal.net
2895 Birdsall Ave.
Oakland, California 94619

From: [Christine S.K. Wu](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: shamannwalton@sfgov.org; [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: OPPOSE-Proposed COVID-19 Tenant Protection Ordinance File No. 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:34:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, District Supervisor Gordon Mar and All Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to you today in Opposition to the recent proposed "COVID-19 Tenant Protection" Ordinance File 200375.

I am a widow raising 3 dedicated students, working full time and barely surviving with supplemental rent income to support our children, living in Sunset District where I feel home. I am in my late 50's, single income is not sufficient to support my family. Our families were immigrants who worked extremely hard to Achieve American Dream raising our children to achieve their goals.

San Francisco does not have the legal authority to permanently deprive landlords of their unlawful detainer rights and will ultimately harm both landlords and tenants. This proposal will bring down City of San Francisco Residents to poverty and impossible for small property owners like myself to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small Mom and Pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, ever rising property taxes, insurance, utility bills and cost of maintenance expenses. This proposal will financially impact to ALL San Francisco residents and ALL property owners. We can not allow tenants to live rent free and therefore we will not be able to pay property taxes if that happens. Who is going to bail us out if we are in financial trouble. We create essential jobs like constructions, Janitorial jobs and maintenance jobs throughout the city. If you take away our barely supplemental income, it will also be HUGE effect to the City of San Francisco and we can not afford to.

I STRONGLY OPPOSE to Ordinance file 200375 as it is illegal and void. The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights. San Francisco is very special place and please DO NOT turn the city to poverty.

We, small property owners already in Financial Hardship due to high property taxes, insurances and all other expenses to maintain. We all are barely breathing.

Sincerely,
Wu

From: [Bunny Peters](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on #: 200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 6:45:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi:

We oppose Ordinance #: 200375

My family owns a building on Lower Nob Hill. This building provides a major source of our income.

We can NOT afford to have tenants live rent free whilst we are obligated to pay our mortgage, property taxes, maintenance and repairs as well as utility fees for power, sewer, water & garbage.

Their mothers may have carried them for nine months, but we can't..... supporting non-paying tenants will drive us into bankruptcy.

- The city does not have legal authority under the Governor's order to permanently restrict a landlord's ability to recover rent due.
- This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom and pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and maintenance expenses.
- This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights.

Small owners are particularly hard hit by renters who cannot pay. If even one renter in a 40 unit building can't pay, the owner is also experiencing a financial hardship.

Sincerely,

Suze Peters
Cc:
Janet Katz
Jerry Katz
David Katz
Michelle Gilbert

From: [Mary Bhojwani](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Haneystaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [PRADHAN, MANU \(CAT\)](#); [Andrew Zacks](#); [Emily Lowther Brough](#); [Emma Heinichen](#)
Subject: Submission for Today's 1:30 PM Land Use Committee Meeting re: File. No.: 200375
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:26:11 AM
Attachments: [2020.06.01 Submission to Land Use Committee re File. No. 200375.pdf](#)
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee and Clerk of the Committee:

We are submitting the attached letter on behalf of the San Francisco Apartment Association, Small Property Owners of San Francisco, Coalition for Better Housing and the San Francisco Association of Realtors regarding File. No.: 200375 - Administrative Code COVID-19 Tenant Protections, listed as Item 2 on today's Regular Agenda. Thank you for circulating copies to the Board Members and adding our submission to the official record.

Kindly confirm receipt of this submission at your earliest opportunity.

Thank you.

Regards,
Mary

Mary Bhojwani
Assistant to Andrew M. Zacks
Zacks, Freedman & Patterson, PC
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 956-8100
Facsimile: (415) 288-9755
www.zfplaw.com

This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies. Unless expressly stated, nothing in this communication should be regarded as tax advice.

ZACKS, FREEDMAN & PATTERSON

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone (415) 956-8100
Facsimile (415) 288-9755
www.zfplaw.com

June 1, 2020

Honorable Aaron Peskin
Honorable Ahsha Safai
Honorable Dean Preston
Land Use Committee of the
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

VIA EMAIL

Re: Proposed San Francisco Ordinance No. 200375

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee:

We write on behalf of the San Francisco Apartment Association, Small Property Owners of San Francisco, Coalition for Better Housing, the San Francisco Association of Realtors, and numerous individual housing providers throughout the City and County of San Francisco. We understand that proposed San Francisco Ordinance No. 200375 (the “Ordinance”) will be heard before the San Francisco Land Use and Transportation Committee today, June 1, 2020. The Ordinance would restrict residential landlords from *ever* accessing unlawful detainer procedures for tenants’ failure to pay their rent during a specified time period for COVID-19 related reasons. But San Francisco has no power to permanently override state law in this way. Thus, the Ordinance violates constitutional law, state law, and Governor Newsom’s Executive Order temporarily suspending unlawful detainer procedures. And, ironically, the Ordinance would ultimately lead to more evictions.

First, San Francisco (the “City”) does not have the legal authority to permanently deprive landlords of their unlawful detainer (“UD”) rights for any term of non-payment. Although the Ordinance purports to fit within the power delegated to localities by the Governor’s March 16, 2020 Executive Order N-28-20 (the “Order”), the Order does not—and could not—allow localities to undercut the state UD procedure after the COVID-19 emergency ends.

The Order derives its apparent authority from the California Emergency Services Act (“ESA”). The ESA permits the Governor, during a state of emergency, to “**suspend** any regulatory statute, or statute prescribing the procedure for conduct of state business, or the orders, rules, or regulations of any state agency . . . where the Governor determines and declares

that strict compliance with any statute, order, rule, or regulation would in any way prevent, hinder, or delay the mitigation of the effects of the emergency.” (Gov. Code § 8571, *emph. add.*) The Governor’s orders under the ESA “shall have the force and effect of law.” (Gov. Code § 8567(a).) Orders under the ESA, however, “**shall be of no further force or effect**” after the state of emergency is terminated. (Gov. Code § 8567(b), *emph. add.*)

Consistent with the limited lifespan of all orders under the ESA, the Order here permits a locality to *temporarily* limit evictions for non-payment of rent due to the COVID-19 crisis. In pertinent part, the Order provides:

1) The time limitation set forth in Penal Code section 396, subdivision (f), concerning protections against residential eviction, is hereby waived. Those protections shall be in effect **through May 31, 2020**.

....

2) Any provision of state law that would preempt or otherwise restrict a local government’s exercise of its police power to impose substantive limitations on . . . evictions . . . is **hereby suspended** to the extent that it would preempt or otherwise restrict such exercise [T]he statutory cause of action for unlawful detainer, Code of Civil Procedure section 1161 et seq., and any other statutory cause of action that could be used to evict or otherwise eject a residential . . . tenant . . . **is suspended** only as applied to any tenancy . . . to which a local government has imposed a limitation on eviction pursuant to this paragraph 2, and only to the extent of the limitation imposed by the local government. **Nothing in this Order shall** relieve a tenant of the obligation to pay rent, nor **restrict a landlord’s ability to recover rent due**.

The protections in this paragraph 2 **shall be in effect through May 31, 2020, unless extended**.

(Order, *emphasis added*.) On May 29, 2020, the expiration date in paragraphs 1 & 2 of the Order was extended for 60 days, to July 30, 2020. The Order therefore allows municipalities to suspend access to unlawful detainer procedures *only* for a four-month period (unless extended). Indeed, it specifically provides that it does *not* “restrict a landlord’s ability to recover rent due.”

In contrast to the Order, the Ordinance provides that a landlord is *permanently* deprived of the remedy of UD action to obtain unpaid rent, if the rent was unpaid for a COVID-19 related reason during the time the Order is in place—from March 16, 2020 to July 30, 2020, unless

extended (the “COVID-19 Period”). But that permanent deprivation necessarily falls outside the scope of the ESA and the Order under the ESA since those authorities permits only the *temporary* suspension of state law. (See *In re Juan C.* (1994) 28 Cal.App.4th 1093, 1101 [ruling that a local curfew imposed under the ESA was constitutional because it was imposed “only so long as an emergency exists”].) Further, the Order unambiguously states: **“Nothing in this Order shall . . . restrict a landlord’s ability to recover rent due.”**

Nor does the City have authority to enact the Ordinance under its police powers. An exercise of a city’s police powers cannot conflict with state law. (Cal. Const. Art. XI, § 7.) The specific purpose of a UD action is to provide landlords a summary proceeding for recovery of possession of their properties based (in part) on any unpaid rent. (*Birkenfeld v. City of Berkeley* (1976) 17 Cal.3d 129, 149-151.) Additional procedural requirements imposed by local government that are not found in the UD statutes raise impermissible procedural barriers between landlords and that judicial proceeding. (*Ibid.*) Here, the City would not only be imposing an additional procedural “requirement” on the UD process, it would be permanently depriving landlords of that process to recover unpaid rents and possession of their property in certain circumstances. The Ordinance is thus inimical to the purpose of the UD statutes. Indeed, given that the Ordinance purportedly amends the San Francisco Rent Ordinance, if a landlord attempts to recover such rents through the filing of a UD action, the Ordinance subjects the landlord to civil and criminal penalties under existing law. The UD statutes thus preempt the Ordinance.

The City’s finding that the Ordinance is permissible and/or consistent with the California Tenant Protection Act of 2019 (“AB 1482”) does not save the Ordinance from preemption. First, while AB 1482 permits local government to enact “more protective” eviction laws, it expressly provides that any such protections must not be “prohibited by any other provision of law.” (Civ. Code § 1946.2(g)(1)(B)(ii).) Indeed, compliance with one state law does **not** authorize conflict with another. (*San Diego Gas & Electric Co. v. City of Carlsbad* (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 785, 804.)

Second, the Ordinance violates due process and results in an unconstitutional taking of private property without compensation. The Ordinance devalue landlords’ properties by not permitting landlords to use the summary UD procedure to recover possession of their properties despite continued nonpayment of rents. This necessarily means that landlords will be required to invoke the more arduous civil debt recovery process to attempt to remediate the nonpayment issue, even though landlords did not cause the problem to which tenants may now be exposed. (*Levin v. City and County of San Francisco* (2014) 71 F.Supp.3d 1072; *Nollan v. California Coastal Com’n* (1987) 483 U.S. 825; *Dolan v. City of Tigard* (1994) 512 U.S. 374.) Further, as

enacted and drafted, the Ordinance will unlawfully force property owners to accept occupants on their property without compensation. (See, *Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp.* (1982) 458 U.S. 419, 435.)

Finally, the Ordinance ironically would likely *increase* the number of evictions after the COVID-19 crisis ends. The Ordinance would lull tenants into a false sense of security that they could ignore their contractual obligations during the course of the COVID-19 Period, which is currently four months. And when the courts ultimately determine that the Ordinance is illegal and void, landlords will exercise their UD rights—but in reliance on the Ordinance, tenants will not have set funds aside to repay their past-due rent.

The Ordinance is a patently illegal regulation that exposes the City to significant liability and will ultimately bring harm to both landlords and tenants. The San Francisco Board of Supervisors should reject and/or amend the Ordinance to eliminate the legal deficiencies outlined herein.

Very truly yours,

ZACKS, FREEDMAN & PATTERSON, PC

/s/ Andrew M. Zacks

Andrew M. Zacks

cc San Francisco Supervisors Clerk
Land Use Committee Clerk
President Norman Yee
Supervisor Sandra Lee Fewer
Supervisor Catherine Stefani
Supervisor Gordon Mar
Supervisor Matt Haney
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman
Supervisor Hillary Ronen
Supervisor Shamann Walton
Mayor London Breed
City Attorney Dennis Herrera
Deputy City Attorney Manu Pradhan