

From: [Kathy Howard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Need consensus candidates for SF Police Commission --
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 1:22:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am concerned that the current candidates for the SF Police Commission may be too controversial to achieve consensus on improving police policy. I encourage the Board of Supervisors to find candidates who can bring people together to help formulate better policies for police actions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Katherine Howard

District 4

From: [Jim Santos](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE NANCY TUNG AND Geoffrey GORDON-CREED!!!
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 1:41:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I OPPOSE NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED!!!

PLEASE do not put them on the police commission!!

Thank you!!

From: [Shannon Padlog](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED!!!
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 1:42:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I OPPOSE NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED!!!

PLEASE do not put them on the police commission!!

Thank you!!

From: [Kyle Ng](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: I oppose Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 1:51:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I oppose Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the SF Police commission

--

Kyle Ng
Outer Shell Adventure

1466 San Bruno Ave, Suite C6
San Francisco, CA 94110
OuterShellAdventure.com
[instagram.com/outer.shell](https://www.instagram.com/outer.shell)



From: [Heather Warm](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I'm an SF resident
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:00:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I oppose Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the SF Police Commission.

Heather Warm
1359 Scott Street

From: alexandra.noel93@yahoo.com
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: I oppose Nancy Tung and Jeffory Gordon-Creed for SF Police commisson
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:09:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I oppose Nancy Tung and Jeffory Gordon-Creed for the SF police commission.

From: [Dana Silver](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: SF Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:11:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, my name is Dana Silver. I live in District 5. I'm writing to oppose Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the SF Police commission.

Thank you,
Dana

From: [The McCulloughs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Police Commission Nominees
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:13:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I write to urgently request that you vote No on the Police Commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed. Neither has advocated for police reform, which as we know is urgently needed — now more than ever, though the need has been pressing for many years. Now is the time, please!

In hope,
Judith McCullough

From: [Matt Kanter](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I oppose noms of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for SF police commission
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:26:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Matt Kanter
786 Capp St
94110

From: [Tom Fisher](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: I Oppose Tung and Gordon--Creed
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:37:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I Oppose Tung and Gordon--Creed for the SF Police Commission.

-Tom

From: [Kysha Shaffer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: OPPOSE NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED FOR SF POLICE COMMISSION
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:46:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I AND THE COMMUNITY OPPOSE THE ELECTION OF Nancy Tung AND Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the SF Police Commission. They do not prioritize the community's safety and are not fit for the position. We demand that you deny the election of these candidates immediately.

Kysha Shaffer
SF Resident

From: [Sherri Roberts](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Police Commission nominees
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 3:53:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of the Haight Ashbury, District 5, I urge you to **vote no** on Police Commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed because they are not advocates of police reform, and to tell the Mayor to withdraw the nominees and find nominees who are real advocates.

Now is not the time to run the same old playbook. True reform is being called for across the Nation. San Francisco should be a leader, not a follower.

Sincerely,

Sherri Roberts

From: bm803=georgetown.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brittany Maupin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 4:14:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

I urge you to follow the recommendation of the Rules Committee to reject these nominees. Following 7 hours of hearings & public testimony, the vast majority of which was in opposition to the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed, the residents of San Francisco have made it clear that they demand nominees who better reflect San Francisco values as well as a desire for police accountability and real change.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Brittany Maupin
bm803@georgetown.edu

From: [Justin Connolly](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Oppose Nancy Tung and Geoffry Gordon-Creed
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 4:54:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I oppose Nancy Tung and Geoffry Gordon-Creed for the SF Police Commission. They will not bring the accountability to the SFPD that we currently need.

Justin Connolly,
District 5

From: [Ben Golvin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Police Commissioner selection
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 5:40:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I know I am late in writing, but I strongly support the call for the Mayor to appoint Police Commissioners who are deeply, deeply committed to, and knowledgeable about, police reform to address bias and racism in the criminal justice and policing system.

Thank you.

Ben Golvin
814 Alvarado Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

From: [Leila Kashani-Sabet](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\); Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: [Action Req"d] No on Tung and Gordon-Creed
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 6:42:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Leila, from Pacific Heights, and I am writing to ask you to vote NO on Police Commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed. Right now, our community needs police reform. Neither of these candidates have experience in, or are advocates of, this sort of reform. Moreover, their opinions, or lack thereof, on past instances of murder by police officers is beyond concerning. As Police Commission members, these candidates would be responsible for holding the police accountable in these instances, and they have each on separate occasions shown that they have no interest in doing so.

The specific instances I am referring to are the cases of Mario Woods and Jessica Williams, when Nancy Tung stated that she didn't believe officers should be charged or fired, and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed claimed to have no opinion on the issue. A candidate who has "no opinion" on the issue of police brutality and murder of our residents has absolutely no place on the police commission: not now, not ever. This is unacceptable. I urge you to vote "NO" on these two candidates.

All the best,
Leila Kashani-Sabet

From: [Catherine Shi](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [SFPD Commission \(POL\)](#)
Subject: Police Commission - June 10, 2020
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:35:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My name is Catherine Shi, and I am a decade long resident of San Francisco and current registered voter of District 5.

I am writing to express my extreme disappointment in the proceedings of the Police Commission Meeting tonight, June 10th. The technology supporting the call was clearly insufficient to facilitate public comment and our democratic process. I was never called on or unmuted to voice my comment despite trying to raise my hand repeatedly. Near 1am after the queue had been cleared after every single topic, I was still unable to get in the queue to participate in public comment for my primary purpose of calling in after 8 hours. I am also writing to express my extreme disappointment in the childish and extremely hostile attitude of the commissioners who repeatedly silenced the voices of concerned community members in deference to protocol technicalities when the commission purposefully made the SFPD budget the last item on such a packed agenda and scheduled this meeting to start at 5:30pm. The timing was unfair and undemocratic for such an important issue.

Therefore, I call on the city to redress the structure of these meetings to allow for true democratic participation by our community - which despite all barriers was still clearly overwhelming. I do not believe that my call was ever reflected in the # of callers on the line waiting to comment and that the total number of concerned residents who tried to participate in the call was far above what the WebEx meeting could handle. This was clearly evident when even the consultant presenters of item 2 were not able to call in.

In addition, I would like to include my comments:

- in regards to agenda item 1a, Chief Scott's report:

As noted by many of my neighbors, the chief's report was full of baldfaced lies regarding the events and arrests associated with the protests of the past week clearly easily disprovable by widespread media coverage of evidence in the form of videos. In his statement, he has made unequivocally clear the unconstitutional, illegal and abusive mindset with which he has directed his force to respond to the community by abusing their power to violate our First Amendment rights. That he was able to speak this way about the actions of his officers in the forum of the police commission meeting is deeply disturbing and I call on the police commission to deeply question the credibility of the Chief.

- in regards to agenda items 1b-d:

The idea that the commission would form a working group to think about implementation of bias testing in the hiring process of our police force is farcical in the face of the clear and undeniable racism rampant in the SFPD. That this is a seriously considered strategy by the commission before discussing serious actions to redress the long-standing, well-documented

cases of abuse of power and unjust brutalization committed by still current, undisciplined members of the police force, many of which were repeatedly called out by community members, is embarrassing. The commission is clearly out of touch with the needs and values of our community.

- in regards to agenda item 2:

I vehemently dispute the conclusions drawn by the consultants on the staffing analysis which finds that there are not enough police officers on patrol. The data and model used by the consulting agency is insufficient and inappropriate. As voiced by so many of my neighbors who called in, and which I can personally speak to having witnessed on uncountable occasions in my 10 years in the city, perhaps if our police officers spent less time (almost always in groups of 5) brutalizing the most vulnerable members of our community (usually a single Black or brown, and also usually disabled, person), perhaps they could actually make progress on serving and protecting our community as well as making it through the backlog of paperwork of they apparently have. That this analysis recommends increasing police presence not only in our streets but also in our schools & to further criminalize our unhoused community members, despite overwhelming evidence of the harms, should make the police commission regard it with deep suspicion. I support the voices of the commissioners who voiced skepticism regarding the level of service provided to the districts which are home to the most number of our most vulnerable community members, as well as the commissioners who asked questions regarding the nature of police calls that could be better served by other social services. In fact, in light of the chief's report and the combined voices of the concerned citizens of our city, I find the entire discussion around increasing SFPD staffing to be wholly inappropriate and out of touch.

item 3, SFPD budget

DEFUND, DIVEST, DISMANTLE, ABOLISH. Reject the SFPD budget. The proposed budget cuts are weak and pathetic in the face of the shortfalls that the city is facing when our education system, healthcare system, social services, housing for the homeless and small businesses have already been so poorly served for so long and have continued to further suffer due to the coronavirus pandemic. The mess of conversation regarding the proposed budget from SFPD on "what is available to cut" that only offers up currently unfilled positions demonstrates the unwillingness of the police organization to honor the request of Mayor Breed to offer meaningful budget cuts. The SFPD has proved time and again that it does not truly serve or protect the residents of San Francisco and has no credibility in that function. The political posturing of the commissioners as being tough on the budget is offensive and inadequate in the face of the kinds of cuts our other city services will take and when the voices of the community are so clear. Furthermore the commitment to completing the DOJ recommended reforms is misguided at best, deeply offensive to community values at worst, considering the piss poor progress amid ongoing controversy, scandal and legacy and current reality of brutality. It is too late for reform. I could not get on the queue to comment, but the voices of my neighbors were loud and clear: defund the SFPD now.

Thank you for your consideration. I will hold you accountable come election time.

Cheers,
Catherine

--

Catherine A. Shi
109 Buchanan St. Apt 2

San Francisco, CA 94102