1	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
2	SAN FRANCISCO CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	
6	CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
7	
8	
9	
10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEO RECORDING
11	THURSDAY, APRIL 11, 2019
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY: DANIEL DASPIT, CSR NO. 14182
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	SAN FRANCISCO CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
2	16. 95 NORDHOFF STREET - REQUEST A
3	CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE SUBDIVISION OF
4	AN EXISTING LOT
5	
6	>> We can move on to item 16 for case
7	number 2018-015554CUA for 95 Nordhoff Street. This
8	is a conditional use authorization.
9	>> Good afternoon, President Melgar,
10	Commissioners, Gabrielle Pentova, department staff.
11	The case before you is a request for
12	conditional use authorization for the subdivision of
13	an existing lot currently containing a single-family
14	home into four new dwelling units four new lots.
15	Sorry. Two of which will be substandard lots. The
16	proposal will individually develop two of the
17	proposed four lots with single-family homes, for a
18	total of three single-family homes. And alter the
19	existing single-family home. And one lot will remain
20	vacant. The project site is a 7,346 square foot
21	property located on the west side of Nordhoff Street
22	between Stillings and Mangels Avenue within the RH-1
23	and 40-X Height in Bulk District. And within the
24	Ader Mission neighborhood. The media neighborhood
25	includes one to three story single-family homes. The

item before you is required by planning code section 2 121 for the subdivision of an existing lot into four new lots, two of which will be substandard lots. 3 Prior to the listed project, the project sponsor 5 sought to subdivide the subject lot into four conforming lots, and develop each lot with conforming 6 7 single-family homes. 8 The existing lot -- the existing building of the subject property was proposed to be demolished. 10 However, during the notification period, pursuant to 11 section 311, a discretionary review request was 12 submitted to the planning department. 13 discretionary review applicant stated concerns with 14 regards to demolition of the existing single-family 15 home, and to the removal of an existing age redwood 16 tree located at the subject property. 17 Upon filing the discretionary review request, discussions were had between the listed 18 19 property owner, and discretionary review applicant. 20 Ultimately, a compromise was reached between 21 both parties, which preserved both the existing 22 dwelling units, and the age redwood tree of the 23 subject property. The reached compromised is the 24 listed project sought under the listed conditional 25 use authorization application. To date, the

1 department has not received any correspondence in 2 opposition of the project. The department has 3 received 20 correspondence in support of the project, and members of the public expressing support of the 5 project state, the applicant and projects ability to satisfy previously raised neighborhood concerns. 6 7 The department recommends approval with 8 conditions, and believes the project is necessary and desirable for the following reasons: 10 The department finds the project is on 11 balanced and consistent with the objectives and 12 policies of the general plan, and meets all 13 applicable requirements of the planning code. 14 The project will maximize the use of a 15 currently under-utilized lot, and will provide two 16 additional dwelling units to the cities housing stock 17 with a potential of a third unit to be developed at 18 the proposed vacant lot. 19 The project will provide a use compatible 20 with the RH-1 zoning district, and construct 21 buildings that are compatible in size, density, 22 height, and architectural characteristics of the 23 immediate residential neighborhood. The proposed 24 project will not displace any existing residential 25 tenants of the subject, or remove any rent-controlled

```
or affordable housing from the cities housing stock.
 2
   This concludes staff's presentation, and I'm
   available for any questions.
 3
            >>
                Thank you. We will now hear from the
 4
 5
   project sponsor.
 6
                I am technically challenged,
 7
   Commissioner. I think it's there. Can you put it on
 8
   the screen? There we go. Can we make it bigger?
   How can we make it bigger? All right.
10
            Good afternoon, commissioners. My name is
11
   Tony Pantaleoni with Kotas Pantaleoni Architecture.
12
   We're the project architects. I would like to walk
13
   through the project with you. The project is located
14
   at the corner of north west -- I'm sorry. The north
15
   west corner of Nordhoff and Stilling Street, and
   Nordhoff is to the left, a lesser slope, and
16
17
   Stillings is to the right. Okay? The proposed
18
   project is to subdivide the lot into four smaller
19
   lots.
20
            This is an aerial view of the site. You see
21
   the house in the middle of the photograph there? The
22
   lot is about 7,346 square feet, and we're essentially
23
   surrounded by single-family homes.
2.4
            Oh, thank you. If you can, that would be
25
   great.
```

1 Take a look. This is looking at the house 2 on the corner, 95 Nordhoff, and some of our neighbors 3 surrounding us. It's Nordhoff Street to the left, and Stilling Street to the right. Stilling Street is 5 a steeper street, and Nordhoff Street is a lesser slope. Not going anywhere. 6 This is looking at Nordhoff, our house, our 7 property is between the pink home one on the left, 8 and our existing home on the right. 10 This is Stilling Street. Our house is to 11 the left, and there's a large redwood tree and open 12 space behind our house, our property, and then the 13 neighbors. 14 This is the existing site plan currently. 15 The single-family home will be maintained, and 16 there's a redwood tree right behind it, that we're also maintaining. We've had some various meetings 17 18 with the neighborhood neighbors and, as you 19 mentioned, is a previous historic presentation that 20 you had, some of the neighbors felt that this was a 21 historic property, and we had a historic resource 22 evaluation done, and they didn't find that, but we're 23 saving it, we're restoring it, and we're preserving 2.4 it. Okay? 25 This is the proposed subdivision. So the

1 current -- the houses at the corner, we would have to 2 modify it a little bit. It's a little bit too wide. 3 There's a wrap around porch that needs to be removed on the side of it, and then the rear of it needs to 5 be also reduced in size. So that lot, the reason we're here today is a conditional use application. 6 The lot on the corner would be 24 feet wide, instead 7 of the required 25 feet wide, and we have 1501 square 8 feet, rather than the 1750 that we need. 10 The lot to the left, it will be a vacant 11 We're not proposing to build on that at this 12 We're proposing to sell it. And then the other two lots facing Nordhoff, those are what we're 13 14 proposing to build also. 15 In terms of the condition use application, 16 89 Nordhoff, the lot at the bottom is only 24 feet 17 wide, and it's code requires it to be 25, and those 18 are the conditional use requests. 19 This is a site plan for the house at the 20 Again, as I mentioned, we have to reduce the 21 size somewhat. We're also proposing an addition at 22 The tree, again, will remain, but by doing 23 this again, we're allowed to have two lots facing 2.4 Nordhoff for two new homes. Let's see here. 25 one second.

1 This is the plan for the existing house. 2 has a one-car garage, bedroom on the basement level, living, dining, and kitchen area on the first floor, 3 and then three bedrooms on the second floor. Because 5 of the roof slope of the existing, the front of the house, which we're maintaining, the bedroom is really 6 tight. We're only allowed to put sort of one tight 7 bedroom there and some closets to the side, but at 8 the rear portion, you can see that where the existing 10 part is remaining and the new to the left, allows us 11 to have two new bedrooms back there. 12 This is the elevation of the house 13 currently. We will be removing the asbestos siding, 14 and replacing it with new horizontal siding, new 15 windows, new trim, new garage door, so rehabilitating 16 the entire house. These again, are the elevations of the 17 Nordhoff house 95, and then the two proposed houses 18 next door. 19 2.0 I'm going. Yeah, here we go. 21 And then this is the rendering for the 22 corner house 95 Nordhoff that we're preserving, and 23 you see the raised portion at the back to get the 24 extra height, but keeping it in character with the

existing house.

25

```
1
            >>
                Mr. Pantaleoni, your time is up.
 2
   Commissioners may have questions for you.
 3
                Okay. Well, I am here to answer any
   questions you have.
 5
                Thank you very much. Okay. With that,
            >>
   we will take public comments on this item.
 6
                                                I have a
 7
   few speaker cards, Joshlyn Shelly, Larry Catalain,
   Jennifer Pullopshuck, Bashir Abdallah, Brian
 8
   Freedman, Carolyn Flag, and David Pierce.
10
            And if you want to speak on this item, and I
11
   didn't call your name, you can come up, just line up
12
   on the left side please. Okay. Someone has to come
13
   up first don't be shy.
            >> My name is Larry Ketalar, and I own the
14
15
   property at 65 Nordhoff Street. I have been living
16
   there for about 40 years, and I knew the lady that
   passed away at 95 Nordhoff. The entire time I have
17
18
   been there, of course, it's been a nice big open
19
           Everyone that I know of at the end of the
20
   block always knew that there were actually two lots,
21
   if you go to the city there was 95, and I don't know
22
   what that 89, or whatever that was, and then there
23
   was 65.
            So we're not opposed to the development of
2.4
   this corner, when that Connie's house was sold, 95
25
   Nordhoff, it was expected that somebody would develop
```

```
that side lot. And I applaud the architects for what
 2
   they have come up, what they've done, but my concern
 3
   is, is that these -- I'm sorry for not being more
   articulate. What we would like to see, what I would
 5
   like to see, is that, 95 and another house on the
 6
   property, and not, you know, three skinny little
   houses that are much taller than all the other
 7
   adjacent houses around there. I think the architects
 8
   said that this -- the architectural plans were in
10
   keeping with the surrounding land, the architectural.
11
   And I disagree because the houses are very old. My
12
   house is over a hundred years old. And the house
13
   across the street, I think it's 89 Nordhoff is over a
14
   hundred years old. I think 69 Nordhoff, Mr. Bashir's
15
   house is probably around that age too. And we have
   large lots. I mean, that is one of the charms of
16
17
   living in this particular neighborhood is that we
18
   have deep backyards. We have -- not all of us have
19
   two lots, of course, but I have a lot and a half, and
20
   two -- this kind of density, on that corner lot is --
21
   impacts the whole nature of the neighborhood.
22
   just diminishes it. And I think that's it. That's
23
   my comment.
                Thank you.
2.4
                Thank you very much. Next speaker
25
   please.
```

1 >> I'm Joshlyn. I live directly across the 2 street from 95 Nordhoff Street. Some time ago, we 3 were able to see a presentation of what their original design was for this piece of property, and 5 that was nice. There was going to be two homes on Nordhoff Street, and then there was going to be two 6 homes facing Stillings, so that worked out well. 7 took away the -- this -- this new design has too much 8 density, too much weight on it. Where the other two, 10 sort of, divided it up. There were two homes facing 11 Nordhoff Street and two homes facing Stillings, and 12 the other problem is, right now, presently, is that on the other street, it's one block long, and there 13 14 is just so much traffic, and if you have all of those 15 homes facing that street, it's just going to be an 16 overload and severe traffic congestion and related vehicle problems. So we're urging you to consider 17 18 not this layout, but maybe revert back to the 19 proposal of the two homes on Nordhoff Street, and the 20 additional home on Stillings, or maybe two on 21 Stillings. 22 Okay. Next speaker please. 23 Hi. I'm Carolyn Flag. I live -- I'm 2.4 the other house that is directly across the street 25 from this -- this lot that is being developed. And

thank you for hearing us. Um, so I have a few 2 concerns, and one is also about traffic that, um, 3 this is one -- one street, long street, and people use it to cut through from Monterey down to Chenery 5 as they do Congo Street, and it has recently gotten Well, a lot of discussion between 6 very bad. neighbors about it. And there was a lister next 7 door, and there was a long discussion on there, and 8 another one going on now about the same area. So my 10 concern is about the increase in traffic, but also 11 combined with the parking that's there because this 12 will take away two, three, maybe, parking spots with 13 the driveways coming out all on Nordhoff Street. 14 previous design had two driveways on Stillings, and 15 just two on Nordhoff Street. And the other thing I'm concerned about is the height of the property and 16 17 that the windows -- you know, there was some 18 discussion with another, the housing on Cayuga, this 19 seems to have windows face out on the street which 20 goes directly into my house, and into the house on 21 the corner. And the neighborhood is set up so nicely 22 the way they built the houses so skillfully none of 23 the windows look into anybody else's. It's very 2.4 I live on a single lot, and I'm very happy 25 being there. I'm one of the newer neighbors being

there, living there, I moved in in 2013, but most of the people who live on the street have lived there for generations.

25 l

Um, I also wanted to say that it was unfortunate the timing of the meeting. There are a lot of people who could not come because of working, and picking up kids, things like that, there were a lot of neighbors who wanted to speak, but were not able to today. So pretty much our whole block feels this way, and we're a pretty united block and a pretty friendly block. We don't want to keep people out, we just want it to still be a neighborhood. So thank you.

>> Thank you. Next speaker please.

>> I'm Jennifer Polishook. I live at 66
Nordhoff, across the street. And my house was built
in 1906. It's similar to a lot of the houses. This
project is different from the first one we heard at
915 Cayuga. This is not affordable housing. These
are going to be \$2 million single-family homes.

There are concerns today, I heard being here all day, about the cannibalization of neighborhoods, and the importance of open space. And I think this project really echoes both of those. There is a double lot with one existing home in Glen Park, and

```
the plans are to take this corner lot, and fill it
 2
   with four large homes with no space in between.
   will overshadow the neighborhood neighboring homes by
 3
   four feet, increasing traffic in an already busy
 5
   intersection, and removing green space.
 6
            Both Nordhoff and Stillings are one lane of
 7
   traffic. People park on both sides, and so in the
   morning, at the commute time, you have people
 8
   constantly having to back up, people needing to be
10
   directing traffic, and they only have one lane to
11
   work with, so it makes it for a narrow street.
12
   Personally, my car has been hit three times parking
13
   on the street. I have lived there almost 20 years.
14
            This construction will take away three
15
   street parkings, adding two garages, but also adding
16
   only one-car garages, and adding two new families.
17
            The density is not in harmony with Glen Park
   neighborhood. I ask your consideration to maintain a
18
19
   safe and scale, and narrow streets with family
2.0
   residences.
                Thank you.
21
                Thank you very much. Next speaker
22
   please.
23
            >> Good afternoon, commissioners.
24
   Bashir Abdallah, residing at 69 Nordhoff Street.
25
   am next to the proposed development of the property.
```

I have been living there since 1967. My children are 2 born and raised in there, and we love the 3 neighborhood, and we would like to keep the traffic flow, the security aspects of the community at 5 controllable. My concern, basically, is -- a lot have been said earlier, is the development is going 6 to produce density, I think, it's going to create a 7 sense of height and, basically, it will not be in 8 harmony with the rest of the existing dwellings on 10 Nordhoff Street. Nordhoff Street will take much more 11 blunt with this subdivision. In terms of the parking 12 and traffic, and also the harmony aspect of it. 13 Traffic, naturally, is going to be a 14 concern. Parking, as well, has been mentioned 15 So I would like the commissioners to 16 reconsider the plan to subdivide this for conditional 17 use, with more stress on the corner lot and -- and, um, so your part in the planning process would be 18 19 most welcome. Thank you. 20 >> Thank you very much. Next speaker 21 please. 22 Hello? My name is David Pierce. 23 at 20 Mangels Avenue, and I have been there for 24 30 years. I have several issues with this proposal, 25 one is the height. I don't think it's in with the

current status of the houses that's are there now. 1 2 I also think that -- and, previously, we 3 already had concerns with proposals from the developer in regards to the tree, the redwood tree, 5 it's now going to be moved to a separate stand alone lot, which he has proposed to sell. 6 I don't know when he sells that, how he is going to be developed. 7 You can't develop that lot without the tree being 8 removed. And I think the tree is essential to the 10 neighborhood. It provides shade and cooling for 11 properties, and we have fought this battle before, 12 and it looks like we're going to have to continue to 13 fight this battle until we die because they're set on 14 removing that tree, and it's just another way to get 15 around that for this tree to be removed. 16 concerned with the quote substandard lot sizes. don't think that is conducive to the neighborhood. 17 18 And, again, I want to echo what they said

And, again, I want to echo what they said about the traffic. Parking is already bad. This will acerbate the problem. With Lyft and Uber going through the neighborhoods, the traffic has increased substantially more, so in the past five years, if not more recently, and I think that this -- the way the current proposal is, it's not conducive to the neighborhood.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
            And I would appreciate you considering what
 2
   we have said, and take that into consideration, and
 3
   make them come back to the drawing board again.
   Thank you for your time. Appreciate it.
 5
                Thank you. Next speaker please.
            >>
 6
                Hello. My name is Barbara Dobrinin.
            >>
   live at 8 Nordhoff Street for the past 50 years.
 7
   raised our children there, and loved the almost
 8
   suburban feeling of our street, and we love the
10
   continuity of our neighbors, and we loved having a
11
   less dense area to live in.
12
            We fought for the redwood tree to remain on
13
   the lot, and I'm glad that that will still happen,
14
   but I really question building four homes on one
15
   corner lot, which looks like too much, too much
16
   density. And I wish that it could be one other house
17
   on this lot, and not the two small ones, leave them
18
   out. And I know they want money, and money is very
19
   powerful, but I think beauty is even more powerful,
20
   and it would be great to preserve our neighborhood by
21
   having just two homes on that lot. Thank you.
22
                Thank you. Next speaker please.
23
   on up.
2.4
                I live 38 feet from 95 Nordhoff, and do
25
   not oppose development of the property, but this
```

should be a balanced truck. 1 2 State your name for the record please. 3 I'm sorry? 4 >> State your name. 5 >> My name is Rohan Clark. I oppose allowing the developer to subdivide the lot into four 6 7 This would create a far too dense living situation which is out of sink with the neighborhood, 8 and exacerbate traffic jams throughout construction. 10 Three lots would be better, and would still allow the 11 developer massive profits of millions of dollars. 12 Please reconsider this plan. I met with my 13 neighbors, the consensus is that they all oppose 14 subdivision of the lot into four new lots, and they 15 oppose four story new homes that are out of character with the neighborhood. 16 17 More neighbors who oppose the developers 18 request wanted to attend the hearing today, but 19 couldn't because the hearing is in the middle of the 20 workday. This stands to favor the developer. I can 21 test the developer's submission that there are quote 22 "No features of the project that could be detrimental 23 of those residing in the area." Four new lots will 24 definitely have an adverse impact on the neighborhood 25 for years. Aren't three lots enough to make a huge

profit?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

As streets have become a major connection artery between 280 north and 280 south, and 101, and are very narrow to begin with, single-driving-car width, if you add construction vehicles for this much time, blocking these outlets, you're going to cause collisions and potential fatalities, and we won't be able to easily access our homes anymore.

Has the developer confirmed all three of the currently requested buildings, there could be four that will be built the same time rather than one after the after, drawing out the inconvenience for the neighbors and anyone traveling on these roads. This is an important question. Each new house would be a years' worth of construction, noise, and traffic, and parking problems. Our neighborhood is comprised of people who have been drawn to its peace and tranquility, and some have lived here for decades and generations, and this kind of development would destroy the neighborhood and remove a lot of green space. It isn't somber. Exhibit D, page 51, of the developers PDF, none of the new housing would be below market rate or affordable. None. Most likely, 3 million dollar houses, and one even has an elevator. And this isn't about allowing average

```
people to buy new homes in the city, the developer's
 1
 2
   request is simply him trying to maximize his profit
 3
   to an excessive level, making millions on each
   property and leaving. He won't have to live through
 5
   years of construction for each new house or
   renovation, or the end result of such overly dense
 6
   houses in this neighborhood. The proposal does not
 7
   fit in with the character of the neighborhood at all.
 8
   And, importantly, two of the three houses are not
10
   three-story buildings as mentioned before.
11
   worded it as three-story, over-garage, obfuscating
12
   the reality of four-story buildings. And these are
13
   not common building types in the area, which is
14
   normally two stories. One of those, the garage
15
   level, the developer included 20 legislature
16
   [indiscernible], stating the developer team, who
17
   worked over the past, did satisfy all the neighbors
18
   as best as possible. Not true, they didn't reach out
19
   to my partner and I, and as far as I am aware, the
20
   surrounding neighbors. The signed names, the 20
21
   submissions of letters, found almost all the
22
   retailers for Caldwell Bank or real estate, and two
23
   work for a title company or lender, and they're going
2.4
   to clearly support a developer. Only one of the 20
25
   people lives in Glen Park. 19 letters are exactly
```

```
1
   the same wording, and were clearly given by the
 2
   developer. Is it a clear conflict of interests, and
   the second time this developer has done this in
 3
   support of his building.
 5
            >> Thank you, sir, your time is up.
 6
                I didn't get a chance to put it on the
 7
   overhead. That is the list. If you can just include
         All of the developers --
 8
   that.
 9
            >> You can submit the list, your time is
10
   up.
11
            >>
                Thank you.
12
                I didn't get a chance to actually submit
13
   the other letters but here they are.
14
            >> You can submit them, sir, but your time
15
   is up.
16
            >> Thank you very much. Any other public
17
   comment on this item?
18
            >> Okay. With that public comment is
            Commissioner Moore.
19
   closed.
20
            >> I just want to speak to the project
21
   without having really received comments prior to
22
   looking at the project, and speak to it as we do look
   at all as a project. Particularly, with our very
23
24
   difficult responsibility to look at infill
25
   opportunities, and an appropriate densification
```

whenever we can find a lot.

2.4

When I looked at the plans, and I'm not saying that in response, and not hearing you, I heard the neighbor's concerns, I take them very much into consideration, and speaking to what is in front of me, which did not include any of your comments, seeing that, actually, this project made me smile because there is a creativity to how this is resolved that made me feel that we're on the right track here.

The projects of the three buildings, the two buildings, which are composed, are code compliant.

The minor lot adjustments that are really the subject of our decisions today are, I think, completely in keeping with of what our task really is.

The subdivision is a little bit more complicated due to the fact that previous commitments about the retention of the redwood tree drove the retention of the other home, which further on then, complicates a lot itself, is subdivided in a manner by which multiple buildings could comfortably sit on a very large corner parcel, emphasis on corner parcel. So I have to actually have to nod to Mr. Pantaleoni as this being a very creative and very harmonious solution.

I do also have to take staff's careful

```
evaluation into consideration, which basically, does
 2
   not leave one page unturned to look as to whether or
   not there are deficiencies or not.
 3
                                        There are none.
   The staff believes that from code compliance to
 5
   density, and architectural characteristics, the
   architect is compatible with the neighborhood, and
 6
   further to that, it's a necessary and desirable
 7
   project given the very difficult charge for us to
 8
   support density and support sensitive infill, which,
   I believe, this project achieves.
10
11
                Thank you. Commissioner Hillis.
12
                So, I mean, I generally like that
13
   there's new units coming on this lot, but can I ask
14
   the architect a question, for a minute? I mean, I
15
   did a little -- this -- it is 7300 square feet, the
16
   lot?
17
               Existing lot, yes.
            >>
18
                Which if you do the math it's 25 by a
            >>
19
   hundred, is three lots, you have divided it into
20
         Why did you do that, first of all?
21
            >> Well, because we're under the planning
22
   code, at a corner, we're allowed to reduce the size
   of the lots down to 1750, within a 150 feet of the
23
24
   corner, 150 or 130, anyway, that gave us the
25
   opportunity for more density at the corner.
```

```
1
            >>
                Okay. And then the 4th, why weren't you
 2
   proposing anything for the 4th lot? Is it the tree?
 3
            >> No. Our neighbor next door has
   property-line windows right on the property line, and
 5
   we had a discussion with them about a year and a half
   ago about his possibly purchasing the project
 6
   property, so that maybe he could use it as a side
 7
   yard, or develop it some day. So we decided to sort
 8
   of put that on hold and focus on the other three
10
   parcels facing Nordhoff.
11
            >> Okay. And then as far the height of the
12
   two buildings on Nordhoff, I mean, I know they're
13
   code compliant -- and I apologize to the neighbors --
14
   I do use Congo to cut through and get to Monterey, so
15
   I'm sorry, but it's actually given me the opportunity
16
   to see this neighborhood, which is lovely, but they
17
   are tall compared to -- I mean, I get the code, but
18
   it's tall. I mean, I don't think I have seen other
19
   four-story structures there, but maybe I just don't
20
   go the right route. Can you tell us about the
   context there?
21
22
            >> Well, the 1st floor is essentially a
23
   basement, so that it's dug into the ground, and there
24
   are stairs for them to come up out of the garage.
25
   And then there's three stories above it, and the
```

```
height limit is 35 feet, and it follows the contour
 1
 2
   of the elevation because it slopes up. And that is
   how we can get -- the uppermost floor is set back, I
 3
   think, 15 feet from the front facade.
 5
               Right.
            >>
 6
                So that will help eliminate the massing
 7
   of it from the street.
 8
            >> Okay. And how many square feet are the
 9
   two --
10
                Two new houses are approximately 1200 --
11
   sorry. 2600 square feet.
12
            >>
                That is liveable, but gross.
13
            >>
                Gross.
14
                Right. I mean, you're not including the
15
   garage in that are you?
16
            >> I have that here. Total square footage
   is 3,466, roughly.
17
                That -- I would have loved to see four
18
19
   smaller houses on this. I mean, it's Glen Park, you
20
   know? You're fairly close to the BART station there,
21
   you know, I can -- I think you're just doing too
22
   much. You know, especially not knowing what is going
23
   to happen with that 4th lot. You're, generally,
24
   taller than most, your lots are smaller than most.
25
   get wanting to densify, but I would love to see four
```

2,000 square foot homes or, you know, 2200 square 2 foot homes on all these lots than the two 3300, and I 3 get it, you have gone underneath but, to me, it's just a little -- a little too much you're asking for 5 in these with kind of not knowing what that 4th parcel is going to be. And, again, I'm fine with 6 four units here, but I think it would be -- I would 7 have loved to fit two units in those two buildings, in the building two each, but it's one, but I think 10 in that size, you can fit that in the footprint you 11 I like a lot about that this project, but it's 12 making me uneasy because it's too large. I don't 13 know if you want to call them monster homes, but 14 they're pretty big. And then one to come, I would 15 imagine. 16 Thank you. Commissioner Richards. 17 So I'm in complete agreement with >> Commissioner Hillis. 18 19 I looked at this, and the first thing I 20 saw was the tree, and despite millions of dollars of 21 trying to save the tree at 323 Cumberland, and we had 22 an arborist come in and do a tree saving plan, they 23 developed the lot, not only on 323 Cumberland, but 2.4 the lot on Sanchez, and the tree is dead, and it was 25 huge, it must have been 12 feet around. I think

```
1
   you're doing too much here. I would like to see two
   units in each of the buildings. I would add an ADU.
   Since we're now allowed to do ADUs in new
 3
   construction. I would take the lots, and take them
 5
   all the way to the back property line, you have the
   three houses, you have nice backyards, the tree gets
 6
 7
   saved, and you get two units in each building. That
 8
   is my two cents.
 9
            >> Commissioner Koppel.
10
                I'm with Commissioner Richards on this
11
   one. Don't know where the votes are going right now.
12
               Want to make a motion?
13
            >>
               Make a motion.
14
                I'm going to make a motion to continue.
15
                Second.
            >>
16
                With the instructions? Or can't we just
17
   do the conditional use now with what I had said?
18
            >> Are you giving direction to project
19
   sponsor to -- you'd like to see the -- an ADU
2.0
   designed within the two --
            >> All three.
21
22
            >> All three structures including the
23
   structure that is going to be -- remain the original
2.4
   structure on the corner?
25
               Yeah.
```

```
1
            >>
               Okay.
 2
                And the lots go all the way -- the
   lots -- the lots -- you don't subdivide to four lots,
 3
   you subdivide to three.
 5
            >> Right. Reconfigured. Okay. We would
 6
   probably need a continuance. I don't think we can
 7
   really --
 8
            >>
               Second.
               We're going to -- as the -- did
 9
   Commissioner Johnson -- did you want to say
10
11
   something?
12
            >> Yeah. I just want to make sure, in that
13
   direction, there's also a direction to explore two
   units in each of the buildings?
14
15
               Yeah, that's what the motion --
                That's in there.
16
            >>
17
            >>
               Okay.
               Yeah. It's an ADU.
18
            >>
                It has to be because it's RH-1.
19
            >>
20
                They could go up to 1200 square feet, I
            >>
   think, by state law. Commissioner Moore?
21
22
            >> What is in front -- what is in front of
23
   this commission is a subdivision only. That is
24
   basically the issue. I think that I have to rely on
25
   Mr. Washington, but in this, it will open as a whole
```

other can of worms because I have a hard time giving 2 the concerns the public has, or the neighbors have 3 with density that adding three ADUs here, in any other neighborhood would be desirable, I think would 5 exactly strike the wrong tone because now you're adding instead of, like, one living unit, you're 6 adding, basically, two, which did increase the 7 potential number of cars, which increases a 8 significant number of people. 10 So I have to defer to you, what is in front 11 of this commission is only the issue of subdivision. 12 We're an RH-1, as to whether or not they're are 13 creative solutions to adding ADUs. I don't know, and it will also not deal with the lot width because it 14 15 will not make the lot wider, it will then only make 16 them long, which is not exactly what the community is 17 addressing here. 18 >> Mr. Washington. Yes. Go ahead. 19 It's triggering the conditional use 2.0 So that aspect -- if that has changed authorization. 21 because you no longer want to have that 4th parcel 22 subdivided abutting the neighbor, that would have to In essence, it would come back to more as a 23 removed. 2.4 standard 311 notification, which could be DRed back

25

to the commission, and we would be giving them

1 direction if they withdrew if they took -- withdrew 2 this conditional organization. 3 >> Could you speak in the microphone? It would be still be back through 4 5 notification of 311, and that could potentially be a 6 DR. If I can clarify. So the 7 >> Yeah. conditional use authorization is brought forth to 8 you. Because it's a combination with single-family 10 So, in this case, the two substandard lots 11 that are being presented, are the ones that are being 12 developed with the single-family homes, and that is 13 triggering the conditional use authorization. And if 14 the subdivide, the lot, had come in with confirming 15 lots, or just single-family homes, the only way you 16 guy would see that project is if it was DR, it would 17 just be a standard 311 notification at that point. >> Commissioner Hillis? 18 19 So to follow up on that though, if you 2.0 took on the Nordhoff Street frontage, and tried to 21 divided it into three lots, whether you had the 4th 22 lot in the back or not, you would still have two 23 substandard lots; right? Because you can't get the 2.4 25 foot; is that not true? 25 We would have three standards lots

```
1
   facing Nordhoff.
 2
            >> Can I just mention it would be difficult
 3
   in the existing house to put and ADU. It's too
           It would be very difficult to do that.
 5
   You're talking five.
 6
                If you go two -- I think to address both
 7
   neighborhood concerns, and the project itself, I get
 8
   the ADU, so you would have two new buildings with
   four units, but two would be ADUs, you know, and we
10
   run into that. We can design them so they look and
11
   feel like ADUs, but no guarantees that they're
12
   necessarily used as ADUs. I would rather see the
13
   size of those buildings come down because I don't
14
   think you can bring them down by putting ADUs in them
15
   because you need two units. Is to develop the --
16
   bring a proposal in for the 4th lot, and have 3
17
   2,000 -- 2100 square foot home -- 2200 square foot
18
   homes that could potentially have ADUs in them down
19
   the line, instead of two larges ones with ADUs that
20
   may or may not be used by ADUs.
21
                I'm very confused about what you're
22
   wanting.
23
                You lost me a little bit too.
2.4
                They're asking for direction -- or
25
   Commissioner Richards was asking for direction to
```

```
eliminate that 4th lot.
 1
 2
                Gentlemen, can you put the site plan up?
 3
                 SF GOV, can you go to the computer
   please?
 5
            >>
                You would want two ADUs in those new
   structures, and eliminate the 4th lot?
 6
 7
            >> Yeah. I mean, if possible.
 8
                Or we can have three-single family --
 9
                Yes, go ahead, Commissioner Richards.
10
                Or we can have three-single-family
11
   homes, that are on regular conforming lots, and they
12
   can put an ADU in them if they want.
13
            Here we talked about biodiversity an hour
   ago, and we got this big redwood tree that we know
15
   damn well is going to go away if that lot is
   developed.
16
17
                Not if we have --
            >>
18
                I don't believe you. I am sorry.
            >>
19
   don't believe you.
            >> Commissioner Moore.
2.0
21
                To me, conforming lots, we don't have
22
   the lineal lanes along Nordhoff --
23
            >> Correct.
2.4
                 -- in order to create three 25 foot
25
   lots.
```

1 >> Correct. 2 I was wrong. We do not. 3 There is just not the width. See, even if you make three lots and drop the 4th lot, we will 5 not get compliant lots, we will always have, basically, the same question to approve a subdivision 6 for sightly smaller lots, with three homes which have 7 deeper yards, or with four lots, which later on, at 8 some point, yes or no, will add another building, 10 which will have a larger deeper backyard. 11 >> Commissioner --12 We cannot create -- we cannot create 13 25-foot lots for the three properties. That's is 14 impossible. That's what I said. 15 Commissioner Richards. 16 >> 17 So I move to approve the subdivision >> 18 with three single-family homes as is with the lots 19 going to the end of the property line. You have 20 three single-family homes, you got your lots, you got 21 more open space, more liveability. 22 Commissioner Hillis. >> 23 I think we just -- I support a 2.4 continuance that looks at those, at the two 25 alternatives. One, where you have what you're asking

```
1
   for now, two new homes with ADUs, potential for an
 2
   ADU in the existing structure. Although, I see your
 3
   point on how that may be difficult, or you keep the
   four lots, and you have four single-family -- you
 5
   know, four single-family homes that could have ADUs
   in them, but I think that are more consistent and
 6
   contextual with that neighborhood that they're three
 7
   stories, a little smaller, you know, and maybe don't
 8
   dig in for the garage because I think that is what is
10
   troubling me is kind of the size of those homes, and
11
   maybe it's acceptable with an ADU, but I just don't
12
   see it at this point.
13
               And I would support that. Go ahead.
14
                Just to clarify, if they were standard
15
   lots, it wouldn't be a conditional use.
                                             So it would
16
   really be -- it would just be a denial of a
17
   conditional use. By continuing it, I think it gives
18
   you a little more -- you and the developer more
19
   flexibility on how they approach. And if they choose
20
   to have standard size lots, then they can withdraw
21
   the conditional use application, and it won't come
22
   back to you except through a DR.
23
            >>
                Can I just ask a question?
2.4
                Yes, Commissioner.
25
                I think you can only get -- if you came
```

```
in and made this code compliant, and tried to get
 2
   two -- the maximum number of code compliant lots, I
   think you can only get two; is that correct?
 3
            I think that is right because you can only
 4
 5
   fit two on Nordhoff, and that is your biggest
              I would love to see three or four lots,
 6
   frontage.
   but even if you do three, they're all going to be
 7
   substandard.
 8
 9
                      Commissioner Richards.
               Okay.
10
                Okay.
                       So the substandard of the lot is
11
   the 1 feet, the 24 feet. That's all. We're dealing
12
   with one foot here. If we had three additional feet,
13
   we wouldn't be sitting here.
14
                Yes.
15
                Then why not approve it with three
16
   buildings one foot less each lot? You kind of get --
17
                Mr. Washington?
            >>
18
                I think at this point it probably would
19
   be best -- normally, I'm always in support of a
20
   decision being made at this hearing, I think in this
21
   particular case, because of the comments raised by
22
   the neighbors, the distinctive design elements that
23
   are associated with this parcels and this property,
24
   it might be best for the project sponsor if we did a
25 l
   continuation, they could go back to the drawing board
```

```
1
   and address some of the concerns raised by the
 2
   neighbors, and determine if it's in their best
 3
   interest to either keep the active CEU, or if not
   withdraw it, come back, they have heard direct
 5
   comment from our commission. And, if necessary, they
   may have to come back, and it may eliminate the need
 6
   for a CEU, and they go for the normal 311
 7
   notification.
                  I'm very confident there is a design
 8
   out for this property, one that will meet the project
10
   sponsor needs, and also address the concerns raised
11
   by the neighbors.
12
            >> Okay. Commissioner Moore.
13
                I would ask the city attorney, does this
14
   fall under housing accountability discussion?
15
                No.
                President Melgar, Kate Stacey, we would
16
   have to look at this issue when the continuance
17
   occurs. There is a modification from the code
18
19
   requirements here, and we would look at that and see
20
   what the standards of the code would be.
                                              We can look
21
   at that during the continuance.
22
                Thank you.
            >>
23
            >>
                Okay.
2.4
                Mr. Pantaleoni, giving the direction
25 l
   from the commission how many time do you think you
```

```
1
   will need?
                I would image at least a month.
 2
                So another hearing?
 3
                Yeah.
                Do we have to notify 311, and all that
 4
            >>
 5
   kind of stuff?
 6
            >>
                No.
                All right. I would say if you gave us a
 7
 8
   month.
 9
                May 23rd, commissioners?
            >>
                May 23rd.
10
            >>
11
            >>
                And --
12
            >>
                Yes. Mr. Washington.
13
            >>
                      If I can interject. I would just
                Yes.
   like to get some clarification from the commissioner.
15
   I have kind of heard two different sides here from
   Commissioner Hillis and Commissioner Richards, as far
16
17
   as if you would be supportive of either not
18
   subdividing and coming in the with ADUs, in the
19
   current configuration, or just looking at another
20
   alternative, but keeping this currently out on the
21
   subdivision. It just wasn't really clear.
22
   want to know, when we go back and talk with the
   architect that we have some clear direction from our
23
   commission.
2.4
            >> Commissioner Hillis.
25
```

1 >> Yeah. I mean, I heard two things. 2 Three lots, there still would be a substandard lot, 3 but if you're going to go with a larger structure in ADU in it, or four lots, but four perhaps smaller 5 structures in those. And in my sense, it would be you still go up to the 35 feet, but don't go as deep 6 7 in the ground, and you eliminate the 4th floor. Those can have ADUs or not. 8 >> Commissioner Richards. 9 10 I really think we can still get four 11 units with two structures on co-conforming lots, it 12 would be better. If you pack another house on that 13 lot in the back, I mean, I think that is doing 14 everybody a disservice. I mean, you can still get 15 four units with actually more than is being proposed with the two ADUs and the new construction. I mean, 16 I would rather do that. 17 18 So I'm sorry. I'm going to point out 19 that we haven't voted on this. And I think that 20 there are a variety of opinions, but I think that you 21 have been sitting through this commission enough to 22 know that we want to do both, increase housing in the 23 city, and at the same time, be responsive to the 24 neighbors in terms of density, which is not always 25 possible, so perhaps what Commissioner Hillis is

```
suggesting is that we take down the bulk a little bit
 1
   to, you know -- and in that, so I think that in
   between all of those things, that we're giving you a
 3
   direction to explore different design. I just want
 5
   you -- to point out that we haven't voted on this.
 6
   So to be given a clear direction is difficult when we
   don't have a consensus. Okay.
 7
 8
            >> Commissioners, there is a motion that
   has been seconded to continue this matter to May 23rd
10
   with some sort of direction from the commission.
11
            >> On that motion, Commissioner Hillis?
12
            >>
                I.
13
            >>
                Commissioner Johnson?
14
            >>
                I.
                Commissioner Moore?
15
16
                I don't know what we're saying, so I
17
   will just say I.
18
            >> Continuing it.
19
                We're continuing it.
            >>
20
                Just continuing it?
            >>
21
            >>
                Yeah.
22
                Okay. I will continue it. Thank you.
            >>
23
   Yes.
2.4
            >>
                Τ.
25
                Commissioner Richards?
```

```
>>
                 I.
 1
 2
             >> Commissioner Koppel?
 3
             >> I.
             >> And Commissioner President Melgar?
 4
             >> So move, commissioners, that motion
 5
   passes unanimously passes five -- excuse me. Six to
 6
 7
   zero.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1	
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)
3	
4	I, Daniel Daspit, CSR No. 14182, a Court
5	Reporter for the County of Riverside, State of
6	California, do hereby certify:
7	That said audio recorded material was
8	transcribed into typewriting under my direction and
9	supervision, and I hereby certify that said material is
10	a full, true, and correct transcript of the audio
11	recorded material.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel
13	for nor related to any party to said action, nor in any
14	way interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto subscribe my
16	name this 9th day of February.
17	
18	David Davit
19	Daniel Daspit
20	Court Reporter in and for the County
21	Of Riverside, State of California
22	
23	
24	
25	

14182 1:21 41:4 **-** 2:2 **15** 25:4 **40** 9:16 **150** 23:23,24 **40-X** 2:23 **1501** 7:8 **\$2** 13:20 4th 24:1,2 25:23 26:5 **16** 2:2,6 29:21 30:21 31:16 32:1,6 33:4 38:7 **1750** 7:9 23:23 >> 2:6,9 5:4,6 **19** 20:25 9:1,3,5,14 10:24 **1906** 13:17 11:1,22,23 13:14,15 **50** 17:7 14:21,23 15:20,22 **1967** 15:1 **51** 19:21 17:5,6,22,24 1st 24:22 18:2,3,4,5 21:5,6,9,11,12,14,16, 18,20 **65** 9:15,23 23:11,12,17,18,21 **2,000** 26:1 31:17 **66** 13:15 24:1,3,11,22 **20** 4:3 14:13 15:23 25:5,6,8,10,12,13,14, **69** 10:14 14:24 20:15,20,24 16,18 26:16,17,19 27:9,10,12,13,14,15,1 **2013** 13:1 6,18,21,22,25 **2018-015554CUA** 2:7 **7,346** 2:20 5:22 28:1,2,5,8,9,12,15,16 **2019** 1:11 **7300** 23:15 ,17,18,19,20,22 29:18,19 **2100** 31:17 30:3,4,7,18,19,25 **2200** 26:1 31:17 31:2,6,21,23,24 **8** 17:7 32:2,3,5,7,8,9,10,17, **23rd** 37:9,10 39:9 18,20,21,23,24 **89** 7:16 9:22 10:13 **24** 7:7,16 35:11 33:1,2,3,11,12,15,16, 17,22,23 **25** 7:8,17 23:18 30:24 34:13,14,23,24,25 32:24 **915** 13:19 35:9,10,14,15,17,18 **25-foot** 33:13 **95** 2:2,7 6:2 8:18,22 36:12,13,15,16,22,23, **2600** 25:11 9:17,21,24 10:5 11:2 17:24 37:2,3,4,6,7,9,10,11, 280 19:3 12,13,25 38:1,9,10,18 **9th** 41:16 39:8,11,12,13,14,15,1 3 6,18,19,20,21,22,24,2 **3** 19:24 31:16 5 40:1,2,3,4,5 **Abdallah** 9:8 14:24 **3,466** 25:17 ability 4:5 **30** 15:24 **1** 35:11 **able** 11:3 13:9 19:8 **311** 3:11 29:24 30:5,17 **101** 19:3 abutting 29:22 36:7 37:4 **11** 1:11 acceptable 34:11 **323** 26:21,23 **12** 26:25 **3300** 26:2 access 19:8 **1200** 25:10 28:20 **35** 25:1 38:6 accountability 36:14 **121** 3:2 **38** 17:24 acerbate 16:20 **130** 23:24 achieves 23:10

across 10:13 11:1,24 13:16 action 41:13 active 36:3 actually 9:20 21:12 22:7,22 24:15 38:15 **add** 19:5 27:2 33:9 adding 14:15,16 29:3,6,7,13 addition 7:21 additional 4:16 11:20 35:12 address 31:6 36:1,10 addressing 29:17 Ader 2:24 adjacent 10:8 adjustments 22:12 **ADU** 27:2,19 28:18 31:3,8 32:12 34:2,11 38:4 **ADUs** 27:3 29:3,13 31:9,11,12,14,18,19,2 0 32:5 34:1,5 37:18 38:8,16 adverse 18:24 aerial 5:20 **affordable** 5:1 13:19 19:23 afternoon 2:9 5:10 14:23 age 3:15,22 10:15 ago 11:2 24:6 32:14 agreement 26:17 **ahead** 29:18 32:9 34:13 **allow** 18:10 **allowed** 7:23 8:7 23:22 27:3 allowing 18:6 19:25 allows 8:10 **alone** 16:5

already 14:4 16:3,19 **alter** 2:18 alternative 37:20 alternatives 33:25 **am** 5:6 9:3 14:25 20:19 32:18 41:12 answer 9:3 anybody 12:23 anymore 19:8 anyone 19:13 anything 24:2 anyway 23:24 anywhere 6:6 apologize 24:13 applaud 10:1 applicable 4:13 applicant 3:13,19 4:5 **application** 3:25 7:6,15 34:21 appreciate 17:1,4 approach 34:19 appropriate 21:25 approval 4:7 **approve** 33:6,17 35:15 approximately 25:10 **APRIL** 1:11 arborist 26:22 architect 23:6,14 37:23 architects 5:12 10:1,8 architectural 4:22 10:9,10 23:5 Architecture 5:11 area 8:3 12:9 17:11 18:23 20:13 **Aren't** 18:25 artery 19:3 articulate 10:4 asbestos 8:13

aspect 15:12 29:20 aspects 15:4 associated 35:23 **attend** 18:18 attorney 36:13 audio 41:7,10 authorization 2:3,8,12 3:25 29:20 30:8,13 available 5:3 **Avenue** 2:22 15:23 average 19:25 aware 20:19 away 9:17 11:8 12:12 14:14 32:15 R backyard 33:10 backyards 10:18 27:6 bad 12:6 16:19 balanced 4:11 18:1 Bank 20:22 Barbara 17:6 BART 25:20 **basement** 8:2 24:23 Bashir 9:8 14:24 **Bashir's** 10:14 basically 15:5,8 23:1 28:24 29:7 33:6 battle 16:11,13 **beauty** 17:19 become 19:2 bedroom 8:2,6,8 bedrooms 8:4,11 begin 19:4 behind 6:12,16 believe 23:10 32:18,19 believes 4:8 23:4

best 20:18 35:19,24

36:2

better 18:10 38:12 cause 19:6 37:18 **comment** 10:23 21:17,18 **bigger** 5:8,9 Cayuga 12:18 13:19 36:5 biggest 35:5 cents 27:8 comments 9:6 21:21 22:6 biodiversity 32:13 **certify** 41:6,9,12 35:21 **bit** 7:2 22:15 31:23 **CEU** 36:3,7 commission 1:2 2:139:1 challenged 5:6 28:23 29:11,25 **block** 9:20 11:13 36:5,25 37:24 38:21 **chance** 21:6,12 13:9,10,11 39:10 changed 29:20 blocking 19:6 commissioner 5:7 21:19 **character** 8:24 18:15 23:11 26:16,18 **blunt** 15:11 20:8 27:9,10 28:10,21 board 17:3 35:25 30:18 31:25 32:9,20 characteristics 4:22 33:11,16,22 34:24 born 15:2 23:5 35:9 36:12 **bottom** 7:16 charge 23:8 37:14,16,25 38:9,25 Brian 9:8 39:11,13,15,25 40:2,4 **charms** 10:16 bring 31:14,16 commissioners 2:10 5:10 Chenery 12:4 9:2 14:23 15:15 37:9 brought 30:8 **children** 15:1 17:8 39:8 40:5 build 7:11,14 **choose** 34:19 commitments 22:16 building 3:8 17:14 cities 4:16 5:1 **common** 20:13 20:13 21:4 26:9 27:7 city 1:1,2 2:1 9:21 **community** 15:4 29:16 33:9 20:1 36:13 38:23 commute 14:8 buildings 4:21 19:10 clarification 37:14 20:10,12 22:10,11,20 **company** 20:23 **clarify** 30:7 34:14 24:12 26:8 27:2 28:14 compared 24:17 31:8,13 35:16 **Clark** 18:5 compatible 4:19,21 23:6 **built** 12:22 13:16 19:11 clear 21:2 37:21,23 complete 26:17 bulk 2:23 39:1 39:6 clearly 20:24 21:1 completely 22:13 busy 14:4 compliance 23:4 **buy** 20:1 **close** 25:20 **compliant** 22:11 24:13 **closed** 21:19 33:5 35:1,2 closets 8:8 Caldwell 20:22 complicated 22:16 co-conforming 38:11 California 41:1,6,21 complicates 22:19 **code** 3:1 4:13 7:17 cannibalization 13:22 composed 22:11 22:11 23:4,22 24:13,17 35:1,2 car 14:12 comprised 19:17 36:18,20 cards 9:7 compromise 3:20 collisions 19:7 careful 22:25 compromised 3:23 combination 30:9 Carolyn 9:9 11:23 computer 32:3 combined 12:11cars 29:8 concern 10:2 12:10 comfortably 22:20

Catalain 9:7

case 2:6,11 30:10 35:21

coming 12:13 23:13

concerned 12:16 16:16

15:5,14

concerns 3:13 4:6 12:2 39:9,22 dealing 35:11 13:21 16:3 22:4 29:2 continuing 34:17 decades 19:18 31:7 36:1,10 39:18,19,20 decided 24:8 concludes 5:2 continuity 17:10 decision 35:20 condition 7:15 contour 25:1 decisions 22:13 conditional 2:3,8,12 controllable 15:5 **deep** 10:18 38:6 3:24 7:6,18 15:16 cooling 16:10 27:17 29:19 30:2,8,13 deeper 33:8,10 34:15,17,21 **corner** 5:14,15 6:2 **defer** 29:10 7:1,7,20 8:22 9:24 conditions 4:8 deficiencies 23:3 10:20 12:21 14:1 **conducive** 16:17,24 15:17 17:15 22:21 definitely 18:24 confident 36:8 23:22,24,25 27:24 demolished 3:9 correct 32:23 33:1 35:3 configuration 37:19 demolition 3:14 41:10 confirmed 19:9 **denial** 34:16 correspondence 4:1,3 confirming 30:14 dense 17:11 18:7 20:6 **counsel** 41:12 conflict 21:2 densification 21:25 County 1:1 41:2,5,20 conforming 3:6 32:11,21 densify 25:25 course 9:18 10:19 confused 31:21 **density** 4:21 10:20 11:9 Court 41:4,20 congestion 11:16 14:17 15:7 17:16 **create** 15:7 18:7 32:24 23:5,9,25 29:3 38:24 Congo 12:5 24:14 33:12 **department** 2:10 3:12 connection 19:2 creative 22:23 29:13 4:1,2,7,10 Connie's 9:24 creativity 22:8 **design** 11:4,8 12:14 consensus 18:13 39:7 31:10 35:22 36:8 39:4 CSR 1:21 41:4 consider 11:17 designed 27:20 **Cumberland** 26:21,23 consideration 14:18 **desirable** 4:9 23:7 29:4 current 7:1 16:1,24 17:2 22:5 23:1 37:19 despite 26:20 considering 17:1 **currently** 2:13 4:15 destroy 19:20 **consistent** 4:11 34:6 6:14 8:13 19:10 37:20 determine 36:2 constantly 14:9 cut 12:4 24:14 detrimental 18:22 construct 4:20 **develop** 2:16 3:6 9:25 D construction 14:14 18:9 16:8 24:8 31:15 damn 32:15 19:5,15 20:5 27:4 **developed** 4:17 11:25 38:16 Daniel 1:21 41:4 16:7 26:23 30:12 containing 2:13 Daspit 1:21 41:4 32:16 context 24:21 **date** 3:25 developer 16:4 contextual 34:7 18:6,11,20 19:9 **David** 9:9 15:22 20:15,16,24 21:2,3 **continuance** 28:6 33:24 day 13:22 24:8 41:16 34:18 36:17,21 **dead** 26:24 **developers** 18:17 19:22 continuation 35:25 **deal** 29:14 21:8

continue 16:12 27:14

developer's 18:21 20:1 **development** 9:23 14:25 15:6 17:25 19:19 **die** 16:13 different 13:18 37:15 39:4 difficult 21:24 23:8 31:2,4 34:3 39:6 dig 34:9 diminishes 10:22 dining 8:3 direct 36:4 directing 14:10 direction 27:18 28:13 30:1 31:24,25 36:24 37:23 39:4,6,10 41:8 directly 11:1,24 12:20 disagree 10:11 discretionary 3:11,13,17,19 **discussion** 12:6,8,18 24:5 36:14 discussions 3:18 displace 4:24 disservice 38:14 distinctive 35:22 district 2:23 4:20 divided 11:10 23:19 30:21 Dobrinin 17:6 **dollar** 19:24 dollars 18:11 26:20 **done** 6:22 10:2 21:3 door 8:15,19 12:8 24:3 **double** 13:25 **DR** 30:6,16 34:22 drawing 17:3 19:12 35:25 drawn 19:17 **DRed** 29:24

driveways 12:13,14 drop 33:4 **drove** 22:17 **due** 22:16 **dug** 24:23 during 3:10 36:21 dwelling 2:14 3:22 4:16 dwellings 15:9

 \mathbf{E} **earlier** 15:6,15 **easily** 19:8 echo 16:18 echoes 13:24 **either** 36:3 37:17 elements 35:22 **elevation** 8:12 25:2 elevations 8:17 elevator 19:25 **eliminate** 25:6 32:1,6 36:6 38:7 **else's** 12:23 emphasis 22:21 **entire** 8:16 9:17 especially 25:22 essence 29:23 essential 16:9 **essentially** 5:22 24:22 **estate** 20:22 **evaluation** 6:22 23:1 everybody 38:14 Everyone 9:19 exacerbate 18:9 **exactly** 20:25 29:5,16 **except** 34:22 excessive 20:3 excuse 40:6

5 of 13 **existing** 2:4,13,19 3:2,8,14,15,21 4:24 6:9,14 8:1,5,9,25 13:25 15:9 23:17 31:3 34:2 expected 9:25 **explore** 28:13 39:4 expressing 4:4 extra 8:24 F facade 25:4 **face** 12:19 facing 7:13,23 11:7,10,11,15 24:10 31:1 **fact** 22:16 fairly 25:20 fall 36:14 families 14:16family 14:19 32:8 fatalities 19:7 **favor** 18:20 features 18:22 February 41:16 feel 22:9 31:11 feeling 17:9 feels 13:9

feet 5:22 7:7,8,9,16 14:4 17:24 23:15,23 25:1,4,8,11 26:25 28:20 35:11,12 38:6 felt 6:20 **fight** 16:13

filing 3:17 **fill** 14:1 **finds** 4:10 **fine** 26:6 **first** 8:3 9:13 13:18 23:20 26:19

Exhibit 19:21

fit 20:8 26:8,10 35:5 **five** 16:22 31:5 40:6 Flag 9:9 11:23 flexibility 34:19 floor 8:3,4 24:22 25:3 38:7 **flow** 15:4 focus 24:9 foot 2:20 26:1,2 30:24 31:17 32:24 35:12,16 **footage** 25:16 footprint 26:10 **forth** 30:8 fought 16:11 17:12 four-story 20:12 24:19 **FRANCISCO** 1:1,2 2:1 Freedman 9:9 friendly 13:11 front 8:5 22:5 25:4 28:22 29:10 frontage 30:20 35:6 **full** 41:10

Gabrielle 2:10 garage 8:2,15 20:14 24:24 25:15 34:9 garages 14:15,16 general 4:12 generally 23:12 25:23 **generations** 13:3 19:19 Gentlemen 32:2 **gets** 27:6 given 21:1 23:8 24:15 39:6 **gives** 34:17 giving 27:18 29:1,25 36:24 39:3 **glad** 17:13

Glen 13:25 14:17 20:25 25:19 **gone** 26:3 gotten 12:5 **GOV** 32:3 great 5:25 17:20 green 14:5 19:20 gross 25:12,13 ground 24:23 38:7 guarantees 31:11 **guy** 30:16 half 10:19 24:5 happen 17:13 25:23 happy 12:24 hard 29:1 harmonious 22:24 harmony 14:17 15:9,12 haven't 38:19 39:5 having 14:9 17:10,21 21:21 **hear** 5:4 heard 13:18,21 22:3 36:4 37:15 38:1 hearing 12:1 18:18,19 22:3 35:20 37:2 height 2:23 4:22 8:24 25:1 **Hello** 15:22 17:6 help 25:6 **hereby** 41:6,9

12:16 15:8,25 24:11 hereunto 41:15 **Hi** 11:23 Hillis 23:11 26:18 30:18 33:22 37:16,25 38:25 39:11 historic 6:19,21 **hit** 14:12

6 of 13 hold 24:9 home 2:14,19 3:15 6:8,9,15 11:20 13:25 22:18 31:17 homes 2:17,18,25 3:7 5:23 7:24 11:5,7,10,11,15,19 13:20 14:2,3 17:14,21 18:15 19:8 20:1 26:1,2,13 30:10,12,15 31:18 32:11 33:7,18,20 34:1,5,10 horizontal 8:14 hour 32:13 house 5:21 6:1,7,10,12 7:19 8:1,6,12,16,18,22,25 9:24 10:5,12,15 11:24 12:20 13:16 17:16 19:14 20:5 31:3 38:12 houses 7:1 8:18 10:7,8,11 12:22 13:17 16:1 19:24 20:7,9 25:10,19 27:6 housing 4:16 5:1 12:18 13:19 19:22 36:14 38:22 huge 18:25 26:25 hundred 10:12,14 23:19

Ι I'm 5:2,14 8:20 10:3 11:1,23 12:15,24,25 13:15 14:23 16:15 17:13 18:3 22:2 24:15 26:6,17 27:10,14 31:21 35:19 36:8 38:18 **image** 37:1 imagine 26:15 immediate 4:23 impact 18:24

impacts 10:21

importance 13:23

important 19:14

importantly 20:9 Joshlyn 9:7 11:1 list 21:7,9 impossible 33:14 listed 3:4,18,24 include 21:7 22:6 lister 12:7 **Kate** 36:16 included 20:15 little 7:2 10:6 22:15 Ketalar 9:14 23:15 26:4 31:23 includes 2:25 **kids** 13:7 34:8,18 39:1 including 25:14 27:22 live 11:1,23 12:24 kitchen 8:3 inconvenience 19:12 13:2,15 15:22 **knew** 9:16,20 17:7,11,24 20:4 increase 12:10 29:7 Koppel 27:9 40:2 38:22 liveability 33:21 **Kotas** 5:11 increased 16:21 liveable 25:12 increases 29:8 lived 13:2 14:13 19:18 L increasing 14:4 **lives** 20:25 **lady** 9:16 indiscernible 20:16 living 8:3 9:15 10:17 **land** 10:10 13:1 15:1 18:7 29:6 individually 2:16 lane 14:6,10 **located** 2:21 3:16 5:13 infill 21:24 23:9 lanes 32:22 long 11:13 12:3,8 29:16 instead 7:7 29:6 31:19 large 6:11 10:16 14:2 longer 29:21 22:21 26:12 instructions 27:16 **lost** 31:23 larger 33:10 38:3 interest 36:3 **lot** 2:4,13,19 3:2,5,6,8 **larges** 31:19 interested 41:14 4:15,18 5:18,22 Larry 9:7,14 interests 21:2 7:5,7,10,11,16 **later** 33:8 10:1,19,20 11:25 interject 37:13 12:6,24 13:6,8,17,25 intersection 14:5 law 28:21 14:1 15:5,17 **layout** 11:18 isn't 19:21,25 16:6,8,16 17:13,15,17,21 **least** 37:1 issue 28:24 29:11 36:17 18:6,14 19:20 **leave** 17:17 23:2 **issues** 15:24 22:1,12,19 23:13,16,17 24:2 leaving 20:4 item 2:6 3:1 9:6,10 25:23 26:11,23,24 21:17 legislature 20:15 29:14,15 30:14,22 it's 5:7 6:3 7:2,17 **lender** 20:23 31:16 32:1,6,15 33:4 9:18 10:13 11:13,15 35:10,16 38:2,13 **less** 17:11 35:16 12:23 13:17 15:7,25 **lots** 2:14,15,17 3:3,6 16:5,14,24 23:7,18 **lesser** 5:16 6:5 5:19 7:13,23 9:20 24:15,18,23 25:19 **Let's** 7:24 10:16,19 26:3,9,11,12 28:18,19 18:7,10,14,23,25 29:19 30:9 31:3 34:11 **letters** 20:21,25 21:13 23:19,23 25:24 26:2 36:2 **level** 8:2 20:3,15 27:4 28:2,3 30:10,15,21,23,25 likely 19:23 J 32:11,21,25 limit 25:1 jams 18:9 33:4,5,7,8,13,18,20 line 9:11 24:4 27:5 34:4,15,20 35:2,6

Jennifer 9:8 13:15

Johnson 28:10 39:13

31:19 33:19

lineal 32:22

love 15:2 17:9 25:25

38:2,4,11

35:6 microphone 30:3 12:7,25 13:8 17:10 18:13,17 19:13 loved 17:8,10 25:18 middle 5:21 18:19 20:17,20 24:13 29:2 26:8 million 13:20 19:24 35:22 36:2,11 38:24 lovely 24:16 millions 18:11 20:3 neighbor's 22:4 Lyft 16:20 26:20 **neither** 41:12 minor 22:12 newer 12:25 M minute 23:14 **nice** 9:18 11:5 12:24 maintain 14:18 Mission 2:24 27:6 maintained 6:15 modification 36:18 nicely 12:21 maintaining 6:17 8:6 modify 7:2 nod 22:22 major 19:2 money 17:18 **noise** 19:15 Mangels 2:22 15:23 **monster** 26:13 none 12:22 19:22,23 manner 22:19 23:3 Monterey 12:4 24:14 market 19:23 **nor** 41:13 month 37:1,8 massing 25:6 Nordhoff 2:2,7,21 Moore 21:19 28:21 32:20 **massive** 18:11 5:15,16 6:2,3,5,7 36:12 39:15 7:13,16,24 8:18,22 material 41:7,9,11 morning 14:8 9:15,17,25 10:13,14 math 23:18 11:2,6,11,19 12:13,15 motion 27:12,13,14 13:16 14:6,24 15:10 28:15 39:8,11 40:5 matter 39:9 17:7,24 24:10,12 move 2:6 33:17 40:5 maximize 4:14 20:2 30:20 31:1 32:22 35:5 maximum 35:2 moved 13:1 16:5 normal 36:7 multiple 22:20 may 9:2 31:20 34:3 36:6 **normally** 20:14 35:19 37:9,10 39:9 **north** 5:14 19:3 maybe 11:18,20 12:12 notification 3:10 29:24 24:7,19 34:8,11 **narrow** 14:11,19 19:4 30:5,17 36:8 mean 10:16 23:12,14 naturally 15:13 **notify** 37:4 24:12,17,18 25:14,19 **nature** 10:21 32:7 38:1,13,14,16 necessarily 31:12 media 2:24 obfuscating 20:11 necessary 4:8 23:7 36:5 meet 36:9 neighbor 24:3 29:22 objectives 4:11 meeting 13:5 occurs 36:18 neighborhood 2:24 meetings 6:17 4:6,23 6:18 10:17,21 **Oh** 5:24 meets 4:12 12:21 13:12 14:3,18 Okay 5:17 6:24 9:3,5,12 15:3 16:10,17,25 Melgar 2:9 36:16 40:4 11:22 21:18 24:1,11 17:20 18:8,16,24 members 4:4 25:8 28:1,5,17 19:16,20 20:7,8 23:6 35:9,10 36:12,23 24:16 29:4 31:7 34:7 mention 31:2 39:7,22 neighborhoods 13:22 mentioned 6:19 7:20 old 10:11,12,14 16:21 15:14 20:10 one-car 8:2 14:16 neighboring 14:3 met 18:12

neighbors 6:2,13,18,20

ones 17:17 30:11 31:19

open 6:11 9:18 13:23 28:25 33:21 opinions 38:20 opportunities 21:25 **opportunity** 23:25 24:15 **oppose** 17:25 18:5,13,15,17 opposed 9:23 opposition 4:2 order 32:24 organization 30:2 original 11:4 27:23 **outcome** 41:14 outlets 19:6 over-garage 20:11 overhead 21:7 overload 11:16 overly 20:6 overshadow 14:3 owner 3:19

pack 38:12 page 19:21 23:2 Pantaleoni 5:11 9:1 22:23 36:24 parcel 22:21,22 26:6 29:21 parcels 24:10 35:23 park 13:25 14:7,17 20:25 25:19 parking 12:11,12 14:12 15:11,14 16:19 19:16 parkings 14:15 particular 10:17 35:21 Particularly 21:23 parties 3:21 partner 20:19 **party** 41:13

passed 9:17 passes 40:6 past 16:22 17:7 20:17 **PDF** 19:22 **peace** 19:17 Pentova 2:10 people 12:3 13:2,6,11 14:7,8,9 19:17 20:1,25 29:9 perhaps 38:4,25 period 3:10 Personally 14:12 photograph 5:21 picking 13:7 **piece** 11:4 **Pierce** 9:9 15:22 **pink** 6:8 **plan** 4:12 6:14 7:19 8:1 15:16 18:12 26:22 32:2 planning 1:2 2:1 3:1,12 4:13 15:18 23:21 plans 10:9 14:1 22:2 **please** 9:12 10:25 11:22 13:14 14:22 15:21 17:5,22 18:2,12 32:4 point 30:17 33:9 34:3,12 35:18 38:18 39:5 policies 4:12 Polishook 13:15 porch 7:3 **portion** 8:9,23 possible 20:18 32:7 38:25 possibly 24:6 potential 4:17 19:7 29:8 34:1 potentially 30:5 31:18 powerful 17:19

presentation 5:2 6:19 11:3 presented 30:11 presently 11:12 preserve 17:20 preserved 3:21 preserving 6:23 8:22 **President** 2:9 36:16 40:4 pretty 13:9,10,11 26:14 previous 6:19 12:14 22:16 previously 4:6 16:2 prior 3:4 21:21 probably 10:15 28:6 35:18 problem 11:12 16:20 problems 11:17 19:16 process 15:18 produce 15:7 profit 19:1 20:2 profits 18:11 project 2:20 3:4,24 4:2,3,5,8,10,14,19,24 5:5,12,13,18 13:18,24 18:22 21:20,22,23 22:7 23:8,10 24:6 26:11 27:18 30:16 31:7 35:24 36:9 projects 4:5 22:10 properties 16:11 33:13 property 2:21 3:9,16,19,23 6:8,12,21 9:15 10:6 11:4 12:16 14:25 17:25 20:4 24:4,7 27:5 33:19 35:23 36:9 property-line 24:4 proposal 2:16 11:19 15:24 16:24 20:7 31:16 proposals 16:3

proposed 2:17 3:9 record 18:2 resolved 22:8 4:18,23 5:17 6:25 **recorded** 41:7,11 resource 6:21 8:18 14:25 16:6 38:15 **RECORDING** 1:10 response 22:3 proposing 7:11,12,14,21 **reduce** 7:20 23:22 responsibility 21:24 24:2 reduced 7:5 responsive 38:23 provide 4:15,19 redwood 3:15,22 6:11,16 rest 15:9 provides 16:10 16:4 17:12 22:17 restoring 6:23 public 4:4 9:6 21:16,18 32:14 29:2 result 20:6 regards 3:14 16:4 Pullopshuck 9:8 retailers 20:22 regular 32:11 purchasing 24:6 **retention** 22:17,18 rehabilitating 8:15 pursuant 3:10 **revert** 11:18 related 11:16 41:13 **putting** 31:14 review 3:11,13,17,19 rely 28:24 **RH-1** 2:22 4:20 28:19 remain 2:19 7:22 17:12 Q. 29:12 27:23 question 17:14 19:14 Richards 26:16 27:10 23:14 33:6 34:23 remaining 8:10 31:25 32:9 33:16 35:9 questions 5:3 9:2,4 removal 3:15 37:16 38:9 39:25 quote 16:16 18:21 **remove** 4:25 19:20 **Riverside** 41:2,5,21 roads 19:13 removed 7:3 16:9,15 R 29:23 Rohan 18:5 raised 4:6 8:23 15:2 removing 8:13 14:5 **roof** 8:5 17:8 35:21 36:1,10 16:14 rate 19:23 roughly 25:17 rendering 8:21 rather 7:9 19:11 31:12 route 24:20 renovation 20:6 38:17 **run** 31:10 rent-controlled 4:25 **reach** 20:18 replacing 8:14 reached 3:20,23 S REPORTED 1:21 **safe** 14:19 real 20:22 **Reporter** 41:5,20 **SAN** 1:1,2 2:1 reality 20:12 REPORTER'S 1:10 Sanchez 26:24 really 8:6 13:24 17:14 request 2:2,11 3:11,18 21:21 22:12,14 28:7 **satisfy** 4:6 20:17 34:16 37:21 38:10 18:18 20:2 **save** 26:21 rear 7:4,22 8:9 requested 19:10 **saved** 27:7 reason 7:5 requests 7:18 **saving** 6:23 26:22 reasons 4:9 **required** 3:1 7:8 **saw** 26:20 received 4:1,3 21:21 **requirements** 4:13 36:19 **scale** 14:19 recently 12:5 16:23 requires 7:17 screen 5:8 recommends 4:7 residences 14:20 **second** 7:25 8:4 21:3 Reconfigured 28:5 residential 4:23,24 27:15 28:8

reconsider 15:16 18:12

residing 14:24 18:23

seconded 39:9

section 3:1,11 26:10 31:13 34:10,20 **staff** 2:10 23:4 **sizes** 16:16 security 15:4 **staff's** 5:2 22:25 skillfully 12:22 seeing 22:7 **stairs** 24:24 skinny 10:6 seems 12:19 **stand** 16:5 **standard** 29:24 30:17 seen 24:18 **slope** 5:16 6:6 8:5 34:14,20 **sell** 7:12 16:6 slopes 25:2 **standards** 30:25 36:20 **small** 17:17 31:4 **sells** 16:7 **stands** 18:20 sense 15:8 38:5 **smaller** 5:18 25:19,24 33:7 34:8 38:4 **state** 4:5 18:2,4 28:21 sensitive 23:9 41:1,5,21 **smile** 22:7 separate 16:5 stated 3:13 **sold** 9:24 several 15:24 stating 20:16 solution 22:24 **severe** 11:16 station 25:20 solutions 29:13 **SF** 32:3 status 16:1 **somber** 19:21 **shade** 16:10 steeper 6:5 somebody 9:25 Shelly 9:7 Stilling 5:15 6:4,10 Someone 9:12 **shy** 9:13 **Stillings** 2:22 5:17 somewhat 7:21 **sides** 14:7 37:15 11:7,11,20,21 12:14 **sorry** 2:15 5:14 10:3 14:6 siding 8:13,14 18:3 24:15 25:11 **stock** 4:16 5:1 sightly 33:7 32:18 38:18 **stories** 20:14 24:25 **signed** 20:20 **sort** 8:7 11:10 24:8 34:8 39:10 significant 29:9 **story** 2:25 18:15 **sought** 3:5,24 **similar** 13:17 **street** 2:2,7,21 5:15 **south** 19:3 **simply** 20:2 6:3,4,5,10 9:15 10:13 **space** 6:12 9:19 13:23 11:2,6,11,13,15,19,24 **single** 12:24 14:2,5 19:21 33:21 12:3,5,13,15,19 single-driving-car 19:4 13:2,16 **speak** 9:10 13:8 single-family 14:11,13,15,24 15:10 21:20,22 30:3 2:13,17,18,19,25 17:7,9 25:7 30:20 **speaker** 9:7 10:24 11:22 3:7,14 5:23 6:15 **streets** 14:19 19:2 13:14 14:21 15:20 13:20 30:9,12,15 17:5,22 **stress** 15:17 33:18,20 34:4,5 speaking 22:5 strike 29:5 sink 18:8 **sponsor** 3:4 5:5 27:19 **structure** 27:23,24 34:2 sir 21:5,14 35:24 36:10 **sit** 22:20 **spots** 12:12 **structures** 24:19 27:22 **site** 2:20 5:20 6:14 32:6 38:5,11 **square** 2:20 5:22 7:8 7:19 32:2 23:15 25:8,11,16 26:1 **stuff** 37:5 **sitting** 35:13 38:21 28:20 31:17 **subdivide** 3:5 5:18 situation 18:8 **ss** 41:1 15:16 18:6 28:3,4 Six 40:6 30:14 **Stacey** 36:16 **size** 4:21 7:5,21 23:22

subdivided 22:19 29:22 subdividing 37:18 **subdivision** 2:3,12 3:2 6:25 15:11 18:14 22:15 28:23 29:11 33:6,17 37:21 subject 3:5,9,16,23 4:25 22:12 submission 18:21 submissions 20:21 submit 21:9,12,14 submitted 3:12 subscribe 41:15 substandard 2:15 3:3 16:16 30:10,23 35:8,10 38:2 substantially 16:22 suburban 17:9 suggesting 39:1 supervision 41:9 support 4:3,4 20:24 21:4 23:9 33:23 34:13 35:19 supportive 37:17 **sure** 28:12 surrounded 5:23 surrounding 6:3 10:10 20:20 talk 37:22 talked 32:13 talking 31:5 tall 24:17,18

T
talk 37:22
talked 32:13
talking 31:5
tall 24:17,18
taller 10:7 25:24
task 22:14
team 20:16
technically 5:6
tenants 4:25
terms 7:15 15:11 38:24

test 18:21 thank 5:4,24 9:5 10:23,24 12:1 13:13,14 14:20,21 15:19,20 17:4,5,21,22 21:5,11,16 23:11 26:16 36:22 39:22 that's 10:22 12:11 16:1 28:15,16 33:13,15 35:11 **thereof** 41:14 there's 6:11,16 7:3 23:13 24:25 28:13 they're 16:13 20:23 24:12 26:14 29:12 31:11,24 34:7 35:7 they've 10:2 **third** 4:17 three-single 32:8 three-single-family 32:10 three-story 20:10,11 throughout 18:9 THURSDAY 1:11 tight 8:7 title 20:23 today 7:6 13:9,21 18:18 22:13 tone 29:5 **Tony** 5:11 total 2:18 25:16 track 22:9 traffic 11:14,16 12:2,10 14:4,7,10 15:3,12,13 16:19,21 18:9 19:16 tranquility 19:18 transcribed 41:8 transcript 1:10 41:10

17:12 22:17 24:2 26:20,21,22,24 27:6 32:14 tried 30:20 35:1 triggering 29:19 30:13 trim 8:15 troubling 34:10 truck 18:1 true 20:18 30:24 41:10 trying 20:2 26:21 **types** 20:13 typewriting 41:8 **Uber** 16:20 Ultimately 3:20 **um** 12:1,2 13:4 15:18 unanimously 40:6 underneath 26:3 under-utilized 4:15 uneasy 26:12 unfortunate 13:5 unit 4:17 29:6 **united** 13:10 units 2:14 3:22 4:16 23:13 26:7,8 27:2,7 28:14 31:9,15 38:11,15 unturned 23:2 **Upon** 3:17 uppermost 25:3 **urging** 11:17 vacant 2:20 4:18 7:10 variety 38:20 various 6:17 vehicle 11:17

7:22 16:4,8,9,14,15

tree 3:16,22 6:11,16

traveling 19:13

vehicles 19:5

VIDEO 1:10 workday 18:20 **view** 5:20 worked 11:7 20:17 **voted** 38:19 39:5 working 13:6 **votes** 27:11 worms 29:1 worth 19:15 **wrap** 7:3 **walk** 5:12 wrong 29:5 33:2 Washington 28:25 29:18 35:17 37:12 wasn't 37:21 yard 24:8 weight 11:9 **yards** 33:8 **welcome** 15:19 we're 5:12,22 Z 6:16,22,23 **zero** 40:7 7:6,11,12,13,21,23 zoning 4:20 8:6,7,22 9:23 11:17 13:10 16:12 22:9 23:21,22 27:3 28:9 29:12 35:11 39:3,16,19 west 2:21 5:14,15 We've 6:17 whatever 9:22 whenever 22:1 **WHEREOF** 41:15 whether 23:2 29:12 30:21 whole 10:21 13:9 28:25 wide 7:2,7,8,17 wider 29:15 width 19:5 29:14 33:3 windows 8:15 12:17,19,23 24:4 wish 17:16 withdraw 34:20 36:4 withdrew 30:1 **WITNESS** 41:15 worded 20:11 wording 21:1 work 14:11 20:23